arXiv:1606.08897v2 [math.OA] 24 Oct 2016

Generalized Connes-Chern characters in KK-theory with an application to weak invariants of topological insulators

Emil Prodan[1], Hermann Schulz-Baldes[2]

[1] Department of Physics and Department of Mathematical Sciences, Yeshiva University, USA

[2] Department Mathematik, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany

Abstract

We use constructive bounded Kasparov K-theory to investigate the numerical invariants stemming from the internal Kasparov products $K_i(\mathcal{A}) \times KK^i(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \to K_0(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{R}$, $i = 0, 1$, where the last morphism is provided by a tracial state. For the class of properly defined finitely-summable Kasparov $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-cycles, the invariants are given by the pairing of K-theory of $\mathcal{B}$ with an element of the periodic cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{B}$, which we call the generalized Connes-Chern character. When $\mathcal{A}$ is a twisted crossed product of $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathbb{Z}^k$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \rtimes^{\theta}_{\xi} \mathbb{Z}^k$, we derive a local formula for the character corresponding to the fundamental class of a properly defined Dirac cycle. Furthermore, when $\mathcal{B} = C(\Omega) \rtimes^{\phi}_{\xi'} \mathbb{Z}^j$, with $C(\Omega)$ the algebra of continuous functions over a disorder configuration space, we show that the numerical invariants are connected to the weak topological invariants of the complex classes of topological insulators, defined in the physics literature. The end products are generalized index theorems for these weak invariants, which enable us to predict the range of the invariants and to identify regimes of strong disorder in which the invariants remain stable. The latter will be reported in a subsequent publication.

Keywords: Connes-Chern character, KK-theory, crossed products, local index formula, topological insulators, weak invariants

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Outline and relation to other works **4**

3 Graded Hilbert C*-modules **5**

3.1 Graded C*-algebras 5

3.2 Right Hilbert modules over C*-algebras 8

3.3 Classes of operators on Hilbert C*-modules 10

3.3.1 Adjointable operators 10

3.3.2 Finite rank operators 11

3.3.3 Compact operators 11

3.3.4 Generalized Fredholm operators 12

3.4 Kasparov's stabilization theorem 13

3.5 Products of Hilbert C*-modules 13

3.5.1 The internal tensor product 13

3.5.2 The external tensor product 16

4 The complex K-groups of C*-algebras **17**
4.1 Classic characterization . . . 18
4.2 Characterization in terms of Hilbert modules . . . 18
4.3 Characterization in terms of generalized Fredholm operators . . . 20

5 Kasparov's KK-theory **22**
5.1 The KK-groups . . . 22
5.2 Kasparov products . . . 25
5.2.1 The notion of connection . . . 25
5.2.2 Definition and main properties of the Kasparov product . . . 27
5.3 Kasparov products involving K-groups . . . 28
5.3.1 The product $K_0(\mathcal{A}) \times KK^0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ . . . 28
5.3.2 The product $K_1(\mathcal{A}) \times KK^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ . . . 29
5.3.3 The product $K_0(\mathcal{A}) \times KK^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ . . . 30
5.3.4 The product $K_1(\mathcal{A}) \times KK^0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ . . . 31

6 The Generalized Connes-Chern characters **33**
6.1 A look back at the classical Connes-Chern characters . . . 33
6.1.1 The even Connes-Chern character . . . 33
6.1.2 The odd Connes-Chern character . . . 35
6.1.3 Final remarks and a look ahead . . . 35
6.2 Generalized Calderón-Fedosov principle and formula . . . 36
6.2.1 The canonically induced trace . . . 36
6.2.2 Generalized Calderón-Fedosov formula . . . 37
6.3 Generalized even Connes-Chern character . . . 40
6.4 Generalized odd Connes-Chern character . . . 42

7 Implementation for twisted crossed products **43**
7.1 Twisted crossed product algebras by $\mathbb{Z}^k$ . . . 43
7.2 Representation on the standard Hilbert C^*-module . . . 45
7.3 A generalized Connes-Chern character: the even case . . . 47
7.4 A generalized Connes-Chern character: the odd case . . . 53

8 Application to topological insulators **55**
8.1 Disordered crystals . . . 55
8.2 Algebra of physical observables . . . 57
8.3 Weak topological invariants in the weak disorder regime . . . 58

1 Introduction

As Jensen and Thomsen state in the preface of their textbook "Elements of KK-theory" [33], Kasparov's KK-theory [36, 37, 38, 39] is a powerful but forbiddingly difficult topic, encompassing several traditional branches of mathematics. With concepts such as KK-groups and Kasparov products, it provides the framework for a vast generalization of traditional K-theory of operator algebras. Unfortunately, many of the fundamental statements are not entirely constructive and this aspect has hampered a wider adoption of KK-theoretic methods and the applications remained limited for a while. As already emphasized in [13], this seems to be changing rapidly as recent years have seen a swift progress on what is now called the constructive Kasparov product [14, 28, 34, 35, 45] and on its associated numerical invariants [18, 21, 22]. In turn, this enabled several important applications in condensed matter [8, 11, 12, 13, 60] as well as high-energy physics [14, 70]. Our work fits into the applied side of this general program, with the caveat that our approach relies entirely on the bounded Kasparov theory, as opposed to most if not all the existing new developments which involve the

un-bounded version of the theory [2, 42]. Let us specify from the beginning, though, that the focus is rather on deriving local index formulas for numerical invariants derived from particular Kasparov products. More precisely, we seek a local index formula for the following numerical invariant

$$KK^*(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{A}) \times KK^*(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\text{Kasparov product}} K_0(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} \mathbb{R}\,. \tag{1.1}$$

Here Tr denotes a tracial state on matrices with entries in $\mathcal{B}$. In principle one could also obtain numerical invariants in another way (*e.g.* pairings with higher cocycles), but this will not be studied here. As the reader perhaps immediately realizes (see also our discussion in Section 6.1.3), (1.1) is a straightforward generalization of the numerical invariant

$$KK^*(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{A}) \times KK^*(\mathcal{A},\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\text{Kasparov product}} K_0(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} \mathbb{Z}\,, \tag{1.2}$$

which is at the heart of Connes' quantized calculus and defines the Connes-Chern character in the periodic cyclic homology and cohomology [24]. From a KK-theory viewpoint, the quantized calculus together with the local index formula of Connes-Moscovici [25] provide a constructive framework for the Kasparov products in (1.2). That this is a fruitful approach to index theorems was already stressed in Kasparov's works and further advocated by Higson and Roe [32, 30] (see also [64]). This point of view, for example, led to the index theory for locally compact noncommutative geometries [18].

As in past works [4, 61, 62, 63], our interest in index theorems is driven by applications to solid state systems with topological properties. In this work we prove generalized index theorems for the so-called weak numerical invariants distinguishing weak phases of complex topological insulators. The algebra of the physical observables for these systems is generated by the non-commutative tori as well as algebras of continuous functions over disorder configuration spaces. The latter is assumed to be compact and metrizable. In this context, a condensed matter system is defined by a self-adjoint Hamiltonian h from the stabilization of this algebra and its topology is encoded in either the Fermi projection or the Fermi unitary operator (see Section 8). Both operators can be constructed by functional calculus from h, *e.g.* using $\mathrm{sgn}(h-\mu)$ where μ denotes the Fermi energy. In the regime of weak disorder, the Fermi energy is located inside a spectral gap of h and these operators belong to a smooth sub-algebra of the C^*-algebra of physical observables. Hence the condensed matter phases are classified by its K-theory in this regime. When the disorder configuration space is contractible, a complete set of numerical invariants can be obtained by pairing the generators of the cyclic cohomology with the class of the topological phase in the K-theory. As shown in [63], the knowledge of the complete set of numerical invariants enables one to pin-point the K-theory class of a topological phase and each numerical invariant displays a bulk-boundary correspondence principle. The physical effect of the latter is that certain surfaces cut to a crystal induce essential spectrum at the Fermi level, and this spectrum cannot be removed by any local boundary condition. The strong invariant, *i.e.* the one obtained from the highest generating cyclic cocycle, was in [4, 61, 62] shown to be stable in the regime of strong disorder where the Fermi level is located in the essential, but Anderson-localized spectrum of h. In this regime, the operators encoding the topology are no longer inside the C^*-algebra, but instead they belong to a non-commutative Sobolev space. Furthermore, it was shown in [63] that the boundary spectrum induced by the strong invariant is not Anderson-localized in the presence of boundary disorder. Key for these developments were the index theorems stemming from (1.2), together with derivations of local index formulas for bounded Fredholm modules. For the weak invariants, the questions of whether they are stable in the regime of strong disorder and if the topological boundary spectrum can be Anderson-delocalized remain completely open, despite of numerical evidence that there are situations where the answer is affirmative [5, 41, 48, 66]. Although this work is restricted to the analysis of the weak disorder regime, we can announce here that the generalized index theorems stemming from (1.2) enabled us to identify such strong disorder regimes and that these findings will be object of a subsequent paper.

2 Outline and relation to other works

Parts of this work are review. It was our aim to collect most of the notions and known results on KK-theoy needed for the study of topological invariants in solid state systems. Unfortunately, they cannot be found in a single reference, but are rather scattered over the literature of the last decades, with some only dating back a few years. We hope that the detailed treatment in Sections 3, 4 and 5 will be useful for readers interested in applications of constructive KK-theory (which we expect to be far reaching). Not all, but many of the central arguments are included, in particular, those that we could not locate in the literature (*e.g.* Section 5.3.4). In any case, we took great care to provide detailed referencing.

Sections 6, 7 and 8 then mainly contain new material. We develop a constructive theory of (1.1) in the bounded version of Kasparov's theory without leaving the C^*-algebraic setting. This is a distinct feature of the present approach. For this purpose, the notion of finitely summable Kasparov cycles is used as an input to a generalization of the Calderon-Fedosov formula (Section 6.2) for the index map in K-theory. We believe this formula is new in the C^*-algebraic setting. Then it is shown (Sections 6.3 and 6.4) that (1.1) is given by a direct lift of the classical Connes-Chern character to the present context. Furthermore (Section 7), when $\mathcal{A}$ in (1.1) is a twisted crossed product of a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathbb{Z}^k$, we derive a local formula for the generalized Connes-Chern character corresponding to the fundamental class generated by the Dirac operator constructed by pairing of the derivations of an associated torus action with the generators of an appropriate complex Clifford algebra. The use of such auxiliary Clifford algebras already appeared in the work on the integer quantum Hall effect [4] and it was also successfully employed in the works of Pask, Rennie and Sims on graph algebras [54, 55]. Then the final step of the calculation of the generalized index theorems for crossed product algebras is based on certain geometric identities which were already the key to [4, 61, 62, 63]. This is an important feature of our work, as there are already strong indications that these identities pertain to extensions into the strong disorder regime. Lastly, in Section 8 we show that in the context of complex topological insulators, these local index formulas coincide with the bulk weak topological invariants, as defined in the physics literature. These local index formulas also cover the boundary invariants connected to the bulk weak invariants by the bulk-boundary principle [63], but this is not explicitly discussed here.

The present work does not constitute the first application of KK-theory to solid state systems. The effectiveness of KK-theory in this context was first pointed out in [11], where the bulk-boundary correspondence for Class A topological insulators in dimension 2 was reformulated in terms of the Kasparov product. A classification of the strong bulk-topological phases in the framework of KK-theory was developed in [8, 12], and in [13] the results were generalized to the whole periodic table of topological insulators. Note however that these works cover only the strong topological phases and only the weak disorder regime. For this reason, no statement could be made about the central issue in the field of topological insulators, namely, the delocalized character of the boundary spectrum. Independently and at the same time, the unpublished manuscript [60] of one of the authors pointed out that KK-theory can be used to derive index theorems for the weak invariants. From a physical point of view, this development is important because, if these theorems can be pushed in regimes of strong disorder, then the delocalization of the boundary states induced by the weak invariants can be proven by following the arguments used in [63] for the strong invariants.

Apart from the present approach based on the geometric identities alluded to above, it seems feasible to achieve index theorems for the weak invariants by evaluating the Connes-Moscovici local index formula [25, 31], more precisely its extensions to unital [21, 22, 23] and non-unital [18] semifinite von Neumann algebras. We will refer to the later as the semifinite local index formula. In the unital odd case, for example, given a $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of a semifinite von Neumann algebra $(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$ and a semifinite spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$, smooth and summable, the von Neumann spectral flow $\mathrm{Sf}(\mathcal{D}, u\mathcal{D}u^*)$ was interpreted in [21] as the pairing $\langle [u]_1, [(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})] \rangle$ between the K-theory and K-cohomology of the completion of $\mathcal{A}$ in the so-called δ-ϕ-topology. In this setting, the calculation of

the semifinite local index formula is initiated from the integral formula for the spectral flow [19, 20], which relies on the Breuer index for semifinite von Neumann algebras [9, 10]. This result is a general and yet constructive tool, which already found a variety of applications (see the last chapter of [18]). In particular, in Section 5.1 of [18], it was pointed out that the local index formulas simplifies considerably in the broad context of possibly non-unital C^*-algebras equipped with a continuous torus action (the unital case is essentially contained in [55]).

A delicate point of the semifinite index theory is the choice and the control of the various subalgebras involved, in particular, the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ above. This affects the range of the semifinite index pairing. In general, it is possible to use a specific C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{N}}$, the algebra of τ-compact operators in $\mathcal{N}$ (see [18, p. 37]). An important observation is that this subalgebra is separable whenever $\mathcal{A}$ is separable, in which case the semifinite index lands in a discrete subgroup of the real numbers. Unfortunately this separable algebra is rigidly fixed by the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and its K-theory is out of reach in most cases so that the range of the index is not easy to predict. In a further development, [35] introduced the notion of a spectral flow relative to any normed-closed ideal J of $\mathcal{N}$ and then a J-semifinite index. This extension appeals to the theory of J-Fredholm operators and J-indices [52], which are natural extensions of the Breuer's work. In this new form, the theory seems to give more freedom on choosing the algebra $\mathcal{B}$ in (1.1) and, equally important, it was also shown that the von Neumann spectral flow can factor through a C^*-spectral flow (see Theorems 5.2 and 5.5 in [35]). However, none of the existing results in the literature seem to cover the results proved here. Even if the technical problems linked to the choice of subalgebras can be overcome, a supplementary calculation is needed to evaluate the semifinite local index formula and connect it to the weak invariants. The present approach is considerably shorter and more direct. Furthermore, it can be extended to the regime of strong disorder. The difficulties encountered when trying to push the semifinite local index formula into this regime are discussed in [8, p. 77]. A further potential advantage of the present approach is that is easier to use a higher cocycle in the last arrow of (1.1) instead of a tracial state, though this is not worked out here.

3 Graded Hilbert C*-modules

In an attempt of making the exposition self-contained, this section develops the minimal background on Hilbert C*-modules with a particular focus on gradings, which are natural and central to Kasparov's K-theory but no so much in the other K-theories. Everything said here can be found in the original papers of Kasparov [36, 37, 38, 39] and in the standard textbooks on the subject, such as [6, 33, 69, 71, 32]. Other excellent sources are [56, 44], especially for the first part of the exposition. Regarding the presentation, we chose to introduce the concepts using definitions immediately followed by examples. Most of these examples will play an important role later in the exposition, hence the they also serve us to set up our notation. Together with the accompanying remarks and statements, they gradually prepare the ground for the main developments in later chapters.

3.1 Graded C*-algebras

As a preparation for the definition of graded Hilbert C*-modules, let us first recall the notion of grading on C*-algebras. Throughout, the algebras will be denoted by calligraphic capital letters from the beginning of the alphabet, such as $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, etc..

Definition 3.1 ([6] pp. 114, and [32], Appendix A) *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a C^*-algebra.*

(i) *The algebra is said to be graded if it is equipped with an order two $*$-automorphism $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$, that is, for all $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$,*

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(bb') \;=\; \gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b')\,, \qquad \gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b^*) \;=\; \gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b)^*\,, \qquad \gamma_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \gamma_{\mathcal{B}} \;=\; \mathrm{id}\,.$$

In this case, one says that $\mathcal{B}$ is graded by the grading automorphism $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$.

(ii) *An element $b \in \mathcal{B}$ of a graded C*-algebra is called even if $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = b$, and odd if $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = -b$. Both even and odd elements are called homogeneous.*

(iii) *The grading is said to be even (sometimes also called inner) if there exists a self-adjoint unitary g in the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ of $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = \mathrm{Ad}_g(b) = g^* b g$. Otherwise, the grading is said to be odd.*

(iv) *A graded homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ between graded C*-algebras is a $*$-homomorphism commuting with the gradings,*

$$\phi \circ \gamma_{\mathcal{A}} \;=\; \gamma_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \phi \;.$$

(v) *A homomorphism is said to be non-degenerate if for any non-zero element b of $\mathcal{B}$, there exists $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\phi(a)b \neq 0$.*

Remark 3.2 In the case when the algebras are unital, which is mostly the case considered in these notes, non-degeneracy is equivalent with $\phi(1) = 1$. ◇

Example 3.3 An ungraded C*-algebra can and will be regarded as a graded one with the trivial grading given by the identity map. ◇

Example 3.4 Throughout, we will denote the C*-algebra of $N \times N$-matrices with complex entries by $\mathbb{C}(N)$. In particular, $\mathbb{C}(2)$ will appear quite often in our exposition. A useful set of (linear) generators is provided by the identity matrix together with Pauli's matrices

$$\sigma_1 \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \qquad \sigma_2 \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\imath \\ \imath & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \qquad \sigma_3 \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Most of the time, $\mathbb{C}(2)$ will be considered with the inner grading Ad_{σ_3}. In general, $\mathbb{C}(2N)$ can be equipped with a canonical inner grading provided by the isomorphism $\mathbb{C}(2N) \cong \mathbb{C}(2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(N)$ and by the grading $\mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma_3} \otimes 1$ on the latter algebra. ◇

Example 3.5 Let $\mathbb{C}_k$ be the complex Clifford algebra generated by k elements $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_k$ satisfying

$$\Gamma_i \Gamma_j + \Gamma_j \Gamma_j \;=\; 2\,\delta_{i,j} \;, \qquad \Gamma_i^* \;=\; \Gamma_i \;, \qquad i, j = 1, \ldots, k \;.$$

Its standard grading is given by

$$\gamma_{\mathbb{C}_k}(\Gamma_j) \;=\; -\,\Gamma_j \;, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, k \;.$$

For k even, the grading is even and given by $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}_k} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\Gamma_0}$, where the so-called chiral element is defined by

$$\Gamma_0 \;=\; (-\imath)^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma_1 \cdots \Gamma_k \;.$$

For k odd, the grading is odd and no such element exists. ◇

Example 3.6 Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a graded C*-algebra and consider the direct sum $\mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{B}$. It is a C*-algebra when equipped with the addition $(a \oplus b) + (a' \oplus b') = (a + a') \oplus (b + b')$, multiplication $(a \oplus b)(a' \oplus b') = aa' \oplus bb'$, as well as the norm $\|a \oplus b\| = \max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\}$. This algebra accepts the following two natural gradings:

(i) The standard even grading $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}\oplus\mathcal{B}}(b \oplus b') = \gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b) \oplus (-\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b'))$.

(ii) The standard odd grading $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}\oplus\mathcal{B}}(b \oplus b') = \gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b') \oplus \gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b)$.

The C*-algebra $\mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ equipped with the odd grading is usually denoted as $\mathcal{B}_{(1)}$. ◇

Example 3.7 There exists the isomorphisms of graded algebras

$$\mathbb{C}_k \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}(2^{\frac{k}{2}}) & \text{for } k \text{ even}\,, \\ \mathbb{C}(2^{\frac{k-1}{2}}) \oplus \mathbb{C}(2^{\frac{k-1}{2}}) & \text{for } k \text{ odd}\,, \end{cases}$$

where for k even $\mathbb{C}(2^{\frac{k}{2}})$ is graded as in Example 3.4, and for k odd $\mathbb{C}(2^{\frac{k-1}{2}})$ is ungraded, but the direct sum is equipped with the standard odd grading introduced above. In particular, $\mathbb{C}_1 \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}_{(1)}$ and, if ϵ is the generator of $\mathbb{C}_1$, then ϵ is sent to $1 \oplus (-1)$ by this graded isomorphism. ◇

Example 3.8 Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{K}$, the algebra of compact operators on a separable Hilbert space. A natural inner grading $\gamma_{\mathbb{K}}$ can be introduced by using the isomorphism $\mathbb{K} \cong \mathbb{C}(2) \otimes \mathbb{K}$ together with the inner grading on $\mathbb{C}(2)$ introduced above. While the isomorphism used in the construction is not canonical, the graded algebras obtained this way are all graded-isomorphic. This example is important because most of the time the stabilization $\mathbb{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ of an ungraded C*-algebra will be viewed as a graded algebra with the grading $\gamma_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes \mathrm{id}$. ◇

Definition 3.9 ([6] pp. 116, and [32], Appendix A) *The (algebraic) graded tensor product $\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}$ of two graded C*-algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are defined by the following set of rules:*

(i) $(a\hat{\otimes}b)(a'\hat{\otimes}b') = (-1)^{\deg(b)\deg(a')} aa'\hat{\otimes}bb'$ *(multiplication)*

(ii) $(a\hat{\otimes}b)^* = (-1)^{\deg(a)\deg(b)}\, a^*\hat{\otimes}b^*$ *(conjugation)*

while the rule for addition remains unchanged. The grading is uniquely defined by the rule $\deg(a\hat{\otimes}b) = \deg(a) + \deg(b)$.

Remark 3.10 Since one of the algebras in the graded products encountered in our work will always be finite dimensional, the topology of the graded tensor product will never be an issue. ◇

Remark 3.11 Note that if one of the algebras is trivially graded, then the graded tensor product reduces to the ordinary one. However, we will continue to use the hat in such situations just to indicate that the result is a graded algebra. ◇

Definition 3.12 (Graded Commutator) *The natural version of the commutator when dealing with graded algebras is the graded commutator defined as the unique bilinear map satisfying $[x, y] = xy - (-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(y)} yx$ for homogeneous x, y elements of the algebra.*

Example 3.13 ([6] pp. 119) For a graded C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ with even grading $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$, the graded algebra $\mathcal{B}_{(1)}$ introduced in Example 3.6 is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1$. ◇

Example 3.14 Another useful example of graded product is the graded isomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{C}_1\hat{\otimes}\,\mathbb{C}_1 \to \mathbb{C}(2)$, with even grading on $\mathbb{C}(2)$. If 1 and ϵ are the generators of $\mathbb{C}_1$, then

$$\varphi(1\hat{\otimes}1) \,=\, 1\,, \qquad \varphi(\epsilon\hat{\otimes}1) \,=\, \sigma_2\,, \qquad \varphi(1\hat{\otimes}\epsilon) \,=\, \sigma_1\,, \qquad \varphi(\epsilon\hat{\otimes}\epsilon) \,=\, -\imath\sigma_3$$

provide this isomorphism. Indeed, then the following relations are satisfied: $(1\hat{\otimes}\epsilon)^2 = 1\hat{\otimes}1$, $(\epsilon\hat{\otimes}1)^2 = 1\hat{\otimes}1$, and

$$(1\hat{\otimes}\epsilon)(\epsilon\hat{\otimes}1) \,=\, -\epsilon\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\,, \qquad (\epsilon\hat{\otimes}1)(1\hat{\otimes}\epsilon) \,=\, \epsilon\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\,, \qquad (\epsilon\hat{\otimes}\epsilon)^2 \,=\, -1\hat{\otimes}1\,,$$

as required by the rules in Definition 3.9. Combining φ with Example 3.7 it also follows that $\mathbb{C}_1\hat{\otimes}\,\mathbb{C}_1 \cong \mathbb{C}_2$. This is true more generally, namely $\mathbb{C}_p\hat{\otimes}\,\mathbb{C}_q = \mathbb{C}_{p+q}$. ◇

3.2 Right Hilbert modules over C*-algebras

The Hilbert C*-modules are natural extensions of the ordinary Hilbert spaces. In our applications, they play the role of representation spaces for the algebras and, as it is well known [17], many of the classical tools can be generalized to this new setting. As a result, one can resolve the non-commutative geometry of a vast new class of examples. Throughout this section, $\mathcal{B}$ stands for a graded C*-algebra, unless it is explicitly specified otherwise.

Definition 3.15 (Hilbert C*-module, [33] p. 1,and [6, 71]) *A right Hilbert C*-module over $\mathcal{B}$, or simply a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module, is a complex linear space $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ which is also a right $\mathcal{B}$-module equipped with an inner product*

$$\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle \;:\; E_{\mathcal{B}} \times E_{\mathcal{B}} \to \mathcal{B}\;,$$

which is linear in the second variable and satisfies the following relations for all $\psi, \psi' \in E_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$:

(i) $\langle \psi, \psi' b \rangle = \langle \psi, \psi' \rangle b$,

(ii) $\langle \psi, \psi' \rangle^* = \langle \psi', \psi \rangle$,

(iii) $\langle \psi, \psi \rangle \geq 0$,

(iv) $\psi \neq 0$ *implies* $\langle \psi, \psi \rangle \neq 0$.

In addition, the complex linear space $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ must be complete in the norm induced by the inner product

$$\|\psi\| \;=\; \|\langle \psi, \psi \rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\;, \qquad \psi \in E_{\mathcal{B}}\;.$$

Remark 3.16 The above rules imply $\langle xb, y \rangle = b^* \langle x, y \rangle$. Hence the inner product is linear in the second argument and anti-linear in the first one, just as in the physics literature. ◇

Example 3.17 A C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ can be viewed as a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module equipped with the inner product $\langle b, b' \rangle = b^* b'$. ◇

Example 3.18 (Ungraded standard Hilbert module) Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a trivially graded C*-algebra. The standard Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module is defined as the space

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}} \;=\; \left\{ (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \;:\; b_n \in \mathcal{B},\; \sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n^* b_n \text{ converges in } \mathcal{B} \text{ as } N \to \infty \right\}\;,$$

endowed with the obvious addition and the inner product

$$\langle (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (b'_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \rangle \;=\; \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} b_n^* b'_n \in \mathcal{B}\;.$$

If $\mathcal{B}$ is stable (i.e. $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathbb{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}$), then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}} \cong \mathcal{B}$ (see Lemma 1.3.2 in [33]). ◇

Definition 3.19 (Isomorphism of Hilbert modules, [33] p. 9, and [71]) *Two Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-modules $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $F_{\mathcal{B}}$ are isomorphic whenever there is a complex linear bijection $\Psi : E_{\mathcal{B}} \to F_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that*

$$\langle \Psi(\psi), \Psi(\psi') \rangle \;=\; \langle \psi, \psi' \rangle.$$

One writes $E_{\mathcal{B}} \approx F_{\mathcal{B}}$ and calls Ψ an isomorphism.

Remark 3.20 Isomorphism defines an equivalence relation on the set of Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-modules. It also follows immediately from the properties of the inner product that any isomorphism between Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-modules is automatically a $\mathcal{B}$-module map. ◇

Definition 3.21 (Graded Hilbert C*-module, [33] p. 26) *A Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ over a graded C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is called graded if it is equipped with a complex linear bijection $S : E_{\mathcal{B}} \to E_{\mathcal{B}}$, called the grading operator, satisfying $S^2 = 1$ as well as*

$$S(\psi b) \;=\; S(\psi)\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\;, \qquad \psi \in E_{\mathcal{B}}\;,\;\; b \in \mathcal{B}\;,$$

and

$$\langle S\psi, S\psi'\rangle \;=\; \gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(\langle\psi,\psi'\rangle)\;, \qquad \psi,\;\psi' \in E_{\mathcal{B}}\;.$$

Definition 3.22 (Graded isomorphisms, [33] p. 27) *If $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $F_{\mathcal{B}}$ are graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-modules with grading operators S_E and S_F, respectively, then a graded isomorphism is an isomorphisms $\Psi : E_{\mathcal{B}} \to F_{\mathcal{B}}$ of Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-modules satisfying $\Psi \circ S_E = S_F \circ \Psi$.*

Example 3.23 The ordinary separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ can be regarded as a Hilbert $\mathbb{C}$-module. If there exists a symmetry on $\mathcal{H}$, that is, a self-adjoint operator J such that $J^2 = 1$, then $\mathcal{H}$ can be regarded as a graded Hilbert $\mathbb{C}$-module with the grading operator J. Useful gradings are obtained when the invariant spectral subspaces of S are infinite. Note that in these cases the spectral subspaces are isomorphic and in fact the graded Hilbert spaces are all isomorphic. The universal grading can be defined using the isomorphism $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{H}$ and the grading $\sigma_3 \otimes 1$. Throughout, we will use the notation Σ_3 for this grading on $\mathcal{H}$, and when $\mathcal{H}$ is considered with the grading we use the notation $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$. It is also useful to introduce a notation for the other two possible gradings Σ_1 and Σ_2 induced by the other generators σ_1 and σ_2 of $\mathbb{C}(2)$. ◇

Example 3.24 A graded C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module (see Example 3.17), which becomes graded the grading operator $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$. ◇

Example 3.25 Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a trivially graded C*-algebra and $p \in \mathcal{B}$ a projection, that is, $p^2 = p = p^*$. Let

$$p\mathcal{B} \;=\; \{pb \;:\; b \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

be equipped with the right action $(pb)b' = pbb'$ and the inner product inherited from Example 3.24, $\langle pb, pb'\rangle = b^*pb'$. Then $p\mathcal{B}$ is a trivially graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module.

Suppose next that $\mathcal{B}$ is graded and that p is of graded degree 0, that is, $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(p) = p$. Actually, a projection can never be of degree 1 because this would lead to the contradiction $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(p) = \gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(p^2) = \gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(p)\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(p) = (-p)(-p) = p$. Furthermore, every non-homogeneous projection in a matrix algebra over $\mathcal{B}$ can always be homotopically deformed in a projection of degree 0, see Remark 4.8. For a projection p of degree 0, $p\mathcal{B}$ is a graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module by the grading operator $S(pb) = \gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(pb) = p\big(\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\big)$. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{B}$ is evenly graded by the (self-adjoint and unitary) grading element $g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ (*i.e.* $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = g^*bg$) and p is such that $pg = p$, then $p\mathcal{B}$ is can be graded by $S(pb) = pbg$, which clearly satisfies $S(pbb') = S(pb)\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b')$. ◇

Example 3.26 (Graded standard Hilbert module) If $\mathcal{B}$ is graded in the previous example, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ accepts two natural gradings, one by

$$S(b_1, b_2, \ldots) \;=\; (\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1), \gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b_2), \ldots)$$

and one by $-S$. The graded standard module is defined as the graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ equipped with the grading $\widehat{S} = S \oplus (-S)$. Note that, if $\mathcal{B}$ is ungraded, then simply $\widehat{S} = 1 \oplus (-1)$. ◇

Remark 3.27 Further examples of graded Hilbert C*-modules are provided by the inner tensor product of existing modules but this operation requires the notion of operators on Hilbert C*-modules, which is introduced next. ◇

3.3 Classes of operators on Hilbert C*-modules

Here again $\mathcal{B}$ stands for a graded C*-algebra.

3.3.1 Adjointable operators

The class of adjointable operators is the generalization of the bounded operators on Hilbert spaces. They will be denoted by large roman letters, while the elements in the underlying algebra $\mathcal{B}$ will still be denoted by small roman letters.

Definition 3.28 ([33] p. 4, and [6, 71]) *The space of adjointable operators $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ over a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module consists of the maps $T : E_{\mathcal{B}} \to E_{\mathcal{B}}$ for which there exists a linear map $T^* : E_{\mathcal{B}} \to E_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that*

$$\langle T\psi, \psi' \rangle \;=\; \langle \psi, T^*\psi' \rangle \;, \qquad \psi, \psi' \in E_{\mathcal{B}} \;.$$

Remark 3.29 An adjointable operator is automatically a bounded $\mathcal{B}$-module map, but the reversed implication is not always true. This is one of the major differences encountered when passing from Hilbert spaces to Hilbert C*-modules. If the operator T^* exists, it is unique and is called the adjoint of T. ◇

Proposition 3.30 ([33] p. 4, and [6, 71]) *When endowed with the operator norm,*

$$\|T\| \;=\; \sup\big\{ \|T\psi\| \;:\; \psi \in E_{\mathcal{B}},\; \|\psi\| \leq 1 \big\} \;,$$

the space $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ becomes a unital C-algebra.*

Definition 3.31 (Strict topology, [33] p. 6) *The strict topology of $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is defined as the locally convex topology induced by the semi-norms*

$$\|T\|_\psi \;=\; \|T\psi\| \,+\, \|T^*\psi\| \;, \qquad \psi \in E_{\mathcal{B}} \;.$$

Remark 3.32 As in the case of Hilbert spaces, the strict topology is useful for expanding the operators as infinite sums of more elementary operators, such as the finite-rank operators introduced below. This will often occur later in our exposition. ◇

Definition 3.33 (Induced grading, [33] p. 6) *If $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module with grading operator S, then $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ inherits the canonical grading*

$$\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \;\ni\; T \;\mapsto\; \mathrm{Ad}_S(T) \;=\; STS^{-1} \;.$$

In such situations, $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ will always be viewed as a graded C-algebra with this particular grading.*

Example 3.34 Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a graded unital C*-algebra. As in Example 3.24, $\mathcal{B}$ is also viewed as a graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module. Then $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B}) \cong \mathcal{B}$, with the graded isomorphism provided by

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B}) \;\ni\; T \;\mapsto\; W(T) \;=\; T(1) \;\in\; \mathcal{B} \;.$$

Indeed, one can easily verify that W respects the multiplication,

$$W(TT') \;=\; T\big(T'(1)\big) \;=\; T\big(1 \cdot T'(1)\big) \;=\; T(1)\,T'(1) \;,$$

and that $W^{-1}(b) = L_b$, $L_b(b') = bb'$, is the inverse of W. As for the grading, we have

$$(W \circ \gamma_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B})})(T) \;=\; W(\gamma_{\mathcal{B}} \circ T \circ \gamma_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}) \;=\; \gamma_{\mathcal{B}}\big(T(\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(1))\big) \;=\; \gamma_{\mathcal{B}}\big(T(1)\big) \;=\; (\gamma_{\mathcal{B}} \circ W)(T) \;,$$

or simply $W \circ \gamma_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B})} = \gamma_{\mathcal{B}} \circ W$, hence the isomorphism is graded. ◇

3.3.2 Finite rank operators

Again this is an immediate generalization of the corresponding concept for ordinary Hilbert spaces.

Definition 3.35 ([33] p. 5, and [6, 71]) *The rank-one operators are defined as*

$$\Theta_{\phi,\phi'}(\psi) \;=\; \phi\,\langle\phi',\psi\rangle\;, \qquad \psi,\,\phi,\,\phi' \in E_{\mathcal{B}}\;. \tag{3.1}$$

Proposition 3.36 ([33, 6, 71]) *The following are some elementary properties of the rank-one operators:*

(i) *They are adjointable, with* $\Theta^*_{\phi,\phi'} = \Theta_{\phi',\phi}$.

(ii) *Their product is again a finite-rank operator*

$$(\Theta_{\varphi,\varphi'}\,\Theta_{\phi,\phi'})(\psi) \;=\; \Theta_{\varphi,\varphi'}\big(\phi\,\langle\phi',\psi\rangle\big) \;=\; \varphi\langle\varphi',\phi\rangle\langle\phi',\psi\rangle\;.$$

(iii) *For any adjointable operator* T *over* $E_{\mathcal{B}}$,

$$T\,\Theta_{\phi,\phi'} \;=\; \Theta_{T\phi,\phi'}\;, \qquad \Theta_{\phi,\phi'}(\psi)\,T \;=\; \Theta_{\phi,T^*\phi'}\;.$$

Hence these products are also rank-one operators.

Proposition 3.37 (Ideal of finite-rank operators, [33] p. 5, and [6, 71]) *The algebraic span of the rank-one operators generates a double-sided ideal in* $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$. *This ideal is sometimes denoted by* $\Theta(E_{\mathcal{B}})$, *a notation which will be adopted here.*

Example 3.38 According to Example 3.34, any operator from $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B})$ is of the form

$$L_b(b') = bb' = b(1\cdot b') = \Theta_{b,1}(b').$$

Hence, $\Theta(\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{B})$. ◇

3.3.3 Compact operators

Definition 3.39 ([33] p. 5, and [6, 71]) *The closure of the set of finite rank operators on* $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ *in the norm topology of* $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ *is a closed double-sided essential ideal of* $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$, *called the ideal of compact operators and denoted by* $\mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$.

Remark 3.40 For the standard ungraded Hilbert module $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ from Example 3.18, the classes of operators introduced so far can be characterized as follows [6, 71]. First, there exists an isomorphism of C*-algebras

$$\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{B},$$

where $\mathbb{K}$ is the ungraded algebra of compact operators over a separable Hilbert space. Furthermore,

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}) \;\simeq\; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{B})\;,$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ indicates the multiplier algebra or the double centralizer [15]. From a standard property of the tensor products and their multiplier algebras ([71], Corollary T.6.3), we have the chain of C*-algebra inclusions

$$\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}) \;=\; \mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{B} \;\subset\; \mathbb{B}\otimes\mathcal{B} \;\subset\; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{B}) \;=\; \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})\;, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\mathbb{B}$ is the algebra of bounded operators over a separable Hilbert space and the tensor product in $\mathbb{B}\otimes\mathcal{B}$ is with the spatial C*-norm, see [71]. ◇

Example 3.41 Let us fix an orthonormal basis $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in the separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then any element of $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$ can be represented uniquely as

$$\psi \;=\; \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \psi_n \otimes b_n \;, \qquad b_n \in \mathcal{B} \;\text{ such that }\; \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n^* b_n \;\in\; \mathcal{B} \;.$$

Furthermore, the elements from $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ can be uniquely represented as norm convergent sums in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ of the form

$$T \;=\; \sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}} \Theta_{\psi_n,\psi_m} \otimes b_{n,m} \;, \qquad b_{n,m} \in \mathcal{B} \;.$$

The elements from $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ can be represented similarly, but the convergence of the sum needs to be considered in the strict topology and not the norm topology. Lastly, the rank-one operators take the form

$$\Theta_{\phi',\phi} \;=\; \sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}} \Theta_{\psi_n,\psi_m} \otimes b'_n b_m^* \;,$$

if $\phi = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \psi_n \otimes b_n$ and $\phi' = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \psi_n \otimes b'_n$. ◇

3.3.4 Generalized Fredholm operators

Given a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module $E_\mathcal{B}$, let us consider the short exact sequence of C*-algebras,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}(E_\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\;\mathrm{i}\;} \mathbb{B}(E_\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\;\mathrm{ev}\;} \mathbb{Q}(E_\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow 0 \;, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\mathbb{Q}(E_\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{B}(E_\mathcal{B})/\mathbb{K}(E_\mathcal{B})$ is the corona or the generalized Calkin algebra and ev is the quotient map. The following is a minor modification of 17.5 in [6] and [46].

Definition 3.42 *The class of generalized Fredholm operators over $E_\mathcal{B}$ is defined as*

$$\mathbb{F}(E_\mathcal{B}) \;=\; \big\{ F \in \mathbb{B}(E_\mathcal{B}) \;:\; \mathrm{ev}(F) \textit{ invertible in } \mathbb{Q}(E_\mathcal{B}) \big\} \;.$$

This class can be further sub-divided in the sub-classes of unitary and self-adjoint generalized Fredholm operators, as well as the generalized Fredholm symmetries:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{u}}(E_\mathcal{B}) \;&=\; \big\{ T \in \mathbb{F}(E_\mathcal{B}) \;:\; \mathrm{ev}(TT^*) = \mathrm{ev}(T^*T) = 1 \big\} \;, \\
\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{sa}}(E_\mathcal{B}) \;&=\; \big\{ T \in \mathbb{F}(E_\mathcal{B}) \;:\; \mathrm{ev}(T)^* = \mathrm{ev}(T) \big\} \;, \\
\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{sym}}(E_\mathcal{B}) \;&=\; \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{u}}(E_\mathcal{B}) \cap \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{sa}}(E_\mathcal{B}) \;.
\end{aligned}$$

In case $E_\mathcal{B}$ has a grading S_E, each of these classes has even and odd sectors,

$$\mathbb{F}_{\pm}(E_\mathcal{B}) \;=\; \big\{ T \in \mathbb{F}(E_\mathcal{B}) \;:\; S_E T S_E = \pm T \big\} \;.$$

The odd Fredholm symmetries $\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{sym},-}(E_\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{sym}}(E_\mathcal{B}) \cap \mathbb{F}_-(E_\mathcal{B})$ are also called Fredholm supersymmetries.

Remark 3.43 The product of two generalized Fredholm operators is also a Fredholm operator. As such, $\mathbb{F}(E_\mathcal{B})$ forms a group. Furthermore, when the quotient w.r.t. homotopy equivalence is taken, the group becomes abelian. Later it will become clear that also $\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{sa}}(E_\mathcal{B})$ has a group structure when $E_\mathcal{B}$ is the standard Hilbert module. ◇

3.4 Kasparov's stabilization theorem

This is the central basic result in Kasparov's K-theory. It is mentioned here explicitly because it will be used often, primarily to characterize the so-called countably generated Hilbert C*-modules (see Corollary 3.46). The latter are the Hilbert C*-modules which enter in the definition of the KK-groups, and hence will play an important role in the following. Throughout, $\mathcal{B}$ stands again for a graded C*-algebra.

Definition 3.44 (Countably generated Hilbert C*-modules, [33] pp. 11, 26, and [6, 71]) *A graded Hilbert $E_{\mathcal{B}}$-module is said to be countably generated whenever there is a countable subset $\{\psi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that the linear span of the set $\{\psi_n b : n \in \mathbb{N},\, b \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is dense in $E_{\mathcal{B}}$.*

Theorem 3.45 (Kasparov's stabilization theorem, [38], and [6, 32]) *If $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a countably generated graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module, then there always exists an isomorphism of graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-modules*

$$E_{\mathcal{B}} \oplus \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}} \;\simeq\; \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}} \,.$$

Recall that the grading operator for the direct sum is given by $S = S_E \oplus \widehat{S}$.

Corollary 3.46 *Every countably generated graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module is isomorphic to $P\,\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}$, where P is a projection from $\mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}})$ commuting with the grading $\widehat{S}$.*

Proof. Let $R \in \mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}} \oplus \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}})$ be defined by $R(\psi \oplus \phi) = \psi \oplus 0$. Let $S = S_E \oplus \widehat{S}$ be the grading of $E_{\mathcal{B}} \oplus \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Then $SR = RS$ and $R^2 = R$, as well as

$$\langle \psi \oplus \phi, R(\psi' \oplus \phi')\rangle \;=\; \langle R(\psi \oplus \phi), \psi' \oplus \phi'\rangle \,.$$

Hence, R is a projection which commutes with S. Let $\Phi : E_{\mathcal{B}} \oplus \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}} \to \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}$ be the graded isomorphism in the Kasparov's stabilization theorem. Then

$$(\Phi R \Phi^{-1})(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}) \;=\; (\Phi R)(E_{\mathcal{B}} \oplus \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}) \;=\; \Phi(E_{\mathcal{B}} \oplus 0) \;\simeq\; E_{\mathcal{B}} \,.$$

Hence $P = \Phi R \Phi^{-1}$ gives the desired projection from $\mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}})$. Since $\Phi \circ S = \widehat{S} \circ \Phi$, we can also conclude that $\widehat{S}P = P\widehat{S}$. □

3.5 Products of Hilbert C*-modules

3.5.1 The internal tensor product

The internal tensor product is at the heart of Kasparov's cycles, KK-groups and Kasparov's product. We will review here the basic concept and provide the relevant examples.

Definition 3.47 (Ungraded internal tensor product, [33] p. 19, and [6] 13.5) *Let be given a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-module $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ and a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ which is, moreover, equipped with a $*$-representation $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ (a left action of $\mathcal{A}$ as linear operators on $E_{\mathcal{B}}$) which makes $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ into a $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$-bimodule. Consider the algebraic tensor product $E_{\mathcal{A}} \odot E_{\mathcal{B}}$ equipped with the $\mathcal{B}$-valued bilinear form*

$$\big\langle \psi_{\mathcal{A}} \odot \psi_{\mathcal{B}}, \psi'_{\mathcal{A}} \odot \psi'_{\mathcal{B}} \big\rangle \;=\; \big\langle \psi_{\mathcal{B}}, \pi(\langle \psi_{\mathcal{A}}, \psi'_{\mathcal{A}}\rangle)\psi'_{\mathcal{B}} \big\rangle \,. \tag{3.4}$$

Then the internal tensor product $E_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} E_{\mathcal{B}}$ is defined as the Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module obtained by the completion w.r.t. (3.4) of the quotient of $E_{\mathcal{A}} \odot E_{\mathcal{B}}$ by the sub-module of elements $\psi \in E_{\mathcal{A}} \odot E_{\mathcal{B}}$ for which $\langle \psi, \psi\rangle = 0$.

Remark 3.48 The submodule which is divided out is generated by the elements of the form

$$\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\, a \otimes \psi_{\mathcal{B}} \;-\; \psi_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \pi(a)\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \;.$$

In other words, the elements $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\, a \otimes \psi_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \pi(a)\psi_{\mathcal{B}}$ are identical in the internal product $E_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} E_{\mathcal{B}}$. The internal tensor product is associative, namely

$$(E_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} E_{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} E_{\mathcal{C}} \;=\; E_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (E_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} E_{\mathcal{C}}) \;.$$

This can be checked from the definition. ◇

Example 3.49 (Ungraded standard Hilbert module) For a trivially graded C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$, the standard ungraded Hilbert module $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ from Example 3.18 accepts the following equivalent presentation $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{B}$, with

$$\Psi \;:\; \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{B} \;\to\; \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}} \;, \qquad \Psi(\psi_n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} b) \;=\; (\ldots, 0, b, 0, \ldots) \;,$$

providing the isomorphism between the presentations. Above, $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{H}$ and, on the r.h.s., b sits precisely at the n-th entry. ◇

Proposition 3.50 *Let $E_{\mathcal{A}} = p\mathcal{A}$ be the rank-one Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-module from Example 3.25 associated to an ungraded C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and a projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$, and let π be a representation of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$. Then*

$$\Psi \;:\; p\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} E_{\mathcal{B}} \;\to\; \pi(p)E_{\mathcal{B}} \;, \qquad \Psi(pa \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \psi) \;=\; \pi(pa)\psi \;,$$

is an isomorphism of Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-modules. Here, $\pi(p)E_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module generated by the range of the projection $\pi(p)$.

Proof. The map is well-defined since Ψ is identically zero on the submodule which is quoted out, generated by $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}a \otimes \psi_{\mathcal{B}} - \psi_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \pi(a)\psi_{\mathcal{B}}$, $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} \in E_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \in E_{\mathcal{B}}$. It is bijective because $\Psi(p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \psi) = \pi(p)\psi$, $\psi \in E_{\mathcal{B}}$, span the entire $\pi(p)E_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\Psi(pa \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \psi) = 0$ implies $\pi(pa)\psi = 0$, hence

$$pa \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \psi \;=\; p(pa) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \psi \;=\; p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \pi(pa)\psi \;=\; 0 \;,$$

so that the map is injective. Lastly

$$\langle \Psi(pa \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \psi), \Psi(pa' \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \psi') \rangle \;=\; \langle \pi(pa)\psi, \pi(pa')\psi' \rangle \;=\; \langle \psi, \pi(a^*pa)\psi' \rangle \;.$$

Hence the map preserves the inner product. □

Example 3.51 Assume the setting of Definition 3.47. To any given $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} \in E_{\mathcal{A}}$, one can associate the operator

$$T_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}} : E_{\mathcal{B}} \to E_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} E_{\mathcal{B}} \;, \qquad T_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}\psi'_{\mathcal{B}} \;=\; \psi_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \psi'_{\mathcal{B}} \;.$$

This operator is adjointable, with adjoint

$$T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}} : E_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} E_{\mathcal{B}} \to E_{\mathcal{B}} \;, \qquad T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}\psi'_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \psi'_{\mathcal{B}} \;=\; \pi(\langle \psi'_{\mathcal{A}}, \psi_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle)^* \psi'_{\mathcal{B}} \;,$$

as can be readily checked. It will play a central role in the definition of the Kasparov product. ◇

Definition 3.52 (Graded internal product, [33] p. 65, and [6] 14.4) *If both the C*-algebras and the Hilbert modules in Definition 3.47 are graded and the representation π is a graded map from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$, then the internal product $E_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} E_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module w.r.t. the grading operator*

$$S(\psi_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \psi_{\mathcal{B}}) \;=\; S_{E_{\mathcal{A}}}(\psi_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} S_{E_{\mathcal{B}}}(\psi_{\mathcal{B}}) \;.$$

We then use the notation $E_{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} E_{\mathcal{B}}$ to indicate the presence of this extra structure, even though the internal product has not been modified.

Example 3.53 (Graded standard Hilbert module) For a graded C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$, the standard graded Hilbert module $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}$ of Example 3.26 accepts the following equivalent presentation $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}} = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{B}$, with

$$\Psi \,:\, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{B} \,\to\, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}\,, \qquad \Psi\big((\psi_n^+ \oplus \psi_m^-)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}} b\big) \,=\, (\ldots,0,b,0,\ldots)\oplus(\ldots,0,b,0,\ldots)\,,$$

providing the isomorphism between the presentations. Above, $(\psi_n^{\pm})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are orthonormal bases for the graded sectors $\mathcal{H}_{\pm}$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ and on the r.h.s. b sits at the n-th and m-th entries, respectively. Indeed, the grading of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\,\mathcal{B}$ is $S = (1\oplus(-1))\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}$ and we can check that

$$\Psi\big(S((\psi_n^+\oplus\psi_m^-)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}b)\big) \,=\, \Psi\big((\psi_n^+\oplus(-\psi_m^-))\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\big) \,=\, (\ldots,0,\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b),0,\ldots)\oplus(\ldots,0,-\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(b),0,\ldots)\,,$$

hence Ψ respects the gradings (*cf.* Definition 3.26). ⋄

Remark 3.54 In general, there is no canonical characterization of the algebra $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$ of adjointable operators over the internal product in terms of the algebras $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$. However, when $[\pi(a),T]=0$ (graded commutator) for all $a\in\mathcal{A}$ and $T\in\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$, such a characterization exists and will prove extremely useful in several instances, notably when $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{C}$. ⋄

Proposition 3.55 *Let $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ be graded Hilbert C*-modules over the graded C*-algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, respectively, and let $\pi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ be a graded homomorphism such that $[\pi(a),T]=0$ for all $a\in\mathcal{A}$ and $T\in\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$. Then there exists the homomorphism of graded algebras*

$$\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{A}})\,\hat{\otimes}\,\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \,\to\, \mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}})\,, \qquad T_1\hat{\otimes}T_2 \,\mapsto\, T_1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2\,,$$

where the latter operator is defined by

$$(T_1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2)\,\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \,=\, (-1)^{\deg(T_2)\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}\,T_1\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\,.$$

Proof. The above definitions are very similar to the ones for the external graded tensor product (see [6] p. 139 and Section 3.5.2 below). It is instructive to see why for the internal graded tensor product the range of π must be contained in the center of $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$. For this purpose, let us consider two equivalent elements $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}a\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\sim\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\pi(a)\psi_{\mathcal{B}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(T_1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2)\psi_{\mathcal{A}}a\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \,&=\, (-1)^{\deg(T_2)\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}}a)}\,T_1\psi_{\mathcal{A}}a\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\\ &=\, (-1)^{\deg(T_2)(\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})+\deg(a))}\,T_1\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\pi(a)T_2\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\,,\end{aligned}$$

while

$$(T_1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2)\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\pi(a)\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \,=\, (-1)^{\deg(T_2)\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}\,T_1\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2\pi(a)\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\,.$$

The difference of the two is

$$(-1)^{\deg(T_2)\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}\,T_1\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\big(T_2\pi(a)-(-1)^{\deg(T_2)\deg(\pi(a))}\pi(a)T_2\big)\psi_{\mathcal{B}}$$

and now one sees that $T_1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2$ is ill-defined unless $[\pi(a),T_2]=0$ for all $a\in\mathcal{A}$. Next, let us verify that the homomorphism respects multiplications. According to Definition 3.9 applied to $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{A}})\,\hat{\otimes}\,\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$,

$$(T_1\hat{\otimes}T_2)(T_1'\hat{\otimes}T_2') \,=\, (-1)^{\deg(T_2)\deg(T_1')}\,T_1T_1'\hat{\otimes}T_2T_2'$$

and

$$(T_1T_1'\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2T_2')\,\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \,=\, (-1)^{(\deg(T_2')+\deg(T_2))\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}\,T_1T_1'\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2T_2'\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\,,$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}\Big((T_1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2)(T_1'\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2')\Big)\,\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \,&=\, (-1)^{\deg(T_2')\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}(T_1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2)\,T_1'\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2'\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\\ &=\, (-1)^{\deg(T_2')\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}(-1)^{\deg(T_2)\deg(T_1'\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}\,T_1\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\\ &=\, (-1)^{(\deg(T_2')+\deg(T_2))\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}(-1)^{\deg(T_2)\deg(T_1')}\,T_1T_1'\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2T_2'\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\,,\end{aligned}$$

hence the answer is affirmative. □

Remark 3.56 The calculation in the proof of Proposition 3.55 shows that there are other instances for which $T_1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}T_2$ provides a well-defined operator. One example is that T_2 is of degree 0 and commutes with π. A special case is $T_2 = 1$ and then the action is simply

$$(T_1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}1)\,\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \;=\; T_1\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\;.$$

This is of particular importance for the construction of the Kasparov product, see Section 5.2. ◇

Example 3.57 Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital graded C*-algebras, $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ a graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module and $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ a graded non-degenerate homomorphism. Then $\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}} \cong E_{\mathcal{B}}$, with

$$\Psi_1(a\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}) \;=\; \pi(a)\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\;,\qquad \Psi_1^{-1}(\psi_{\mathcal{B}}) \;=\; 1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}$$

providing the isomorphism. One can check explicitly that

$$\Psi_1\big(\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}S_E\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\big) \;=\; \pi\big(\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\big)S_E\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \;=\; S_E\big(\pi(a)\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\big)\;,$$

hence Ψ_1 is indeed a graded isomorphism. ◇

Example 3.58 The above example can be pushed one step further to $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}}$. Indeed,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}} \;\cong\; (\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A})\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}} \;\cong\; \widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}}) \;\cong\; \widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}}\;,$$

where the associativity of the internal tensor product was put to work. The isomorphism $\Psi_2 : \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}} \to \widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}}$ is given explicitly by

$$\Psi_2\big((\psi\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}a)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\big) \;=\; \psi\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\pi(a)\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\;,\qquad \Psi_2^{-1}(\psi\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}) \;=\; (\psi\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}1)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\;.$$

If, moreover, $E_{\mathcal{B}} = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}$, then

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}} \;\cong\; \widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}} \;\cong\; \widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{B} \;\cong\; \widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{B} \;\cong\; \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}\;.$$

Equivalences of these types are often used below, and next follows yet another such example. ◇

Example 3.59 Consider the conditions from Example 3.58 and let $\widetilde{\Pi} : \mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}}) \to \mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$ be the isomorphism induced by Ψ_2. Then there is a canonical homomorphism [6, p. 138]

$$\Pi \,:\, \mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}) \;\to\; \mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}})\;,\qquad \Pi(T) \;=\; \widetilde{\Pi}(T\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}1) \;=\; \Psi_2\,(T\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}1)\,\Psi_2^{-1}\;.$$

Let now $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ be a countably generated graded Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-module. Then $E_{\mathcal{A}} \cong P\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$, with $P \in \mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}})$ the projection of graded degree 0 from Corollary 3.46, and

$$E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}} \;\cong\; P\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}} \;=\; (P\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}1)\big(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}}\big) \;\cong\; \widetilde{\Pi}(P\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}1)\big(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}}\big) \;=\; \Pi(P)\big(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}}\big)\;,$$

or in short, $E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}} \cong \Pi(P)\big(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}}\big)$, with

$$\Psi_3\big(P\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\big) \;=\; \Psi_2\big(P\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\big) \;=\; \Pi(P)\Psi_2(\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_B)$$

providing the isomorphism. ◇

3.5.2 The external tensor product

The external tensor product of Hilbert C*-modules plays a role in the representation of higher Kasparov groups, where one needs to deal with modules over external tensor products such as $\mathcal{B}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_k$ (see Section 5.1). As we have seen above, the internal product $E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}}$ is just a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module. In contradistinction, the result of the external tensor product $E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}E_{\mathcal{B}}$ will be a module over $\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}$.

Definition 3.60 (Ungraded external tensor product, [6] p. 111) *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be ungraded unital C*-algebras and $E_\mathcal{A}$, $E_\mathcal{B}$ be two ungraded Hilbert C*-modules. The external tensor product $E_\mathcal{A} \otimes E_\mathcal{B}$ is the completion of the algebraic tensor product $E_\mathcal{A} \odot E_\mathcal{B}$ w.r.t. the inner product*

$$\langle \psi_\mathcal{A} \odot \psi_\mathcal{B}, \psi'_\mathcal{A} \odot \psi'_\mathcal{B} \rangle \;=\; \langle \psi_\mathcal{A}, \psi'_\mathcal{A} \rangle \otimes \langle \psi_\mathcal{B}, \psi'_\mathcal{B} \rangle \,.$$

The result is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$-module, with

$$(\psi_\mathcal{A} \otimes \psi_\mathcal{B})(a \otimes b) \;=\; \psi_\mathcal{A} a \otimes \psi_\mathcal{B} b$$

providing the right multiplication.

Remark 3.61 In general, the completion of the algebraic tensor product w.r.t. above scalar product is done in two steps (*cf.* [33] p. 21), the complications being related with the topology of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$. $\diamond$

Definition 3.62 (Graded external tensor product, [6] p. 118) *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be graded unital C*-algebras and $E_\mathcal{A}$, $E_\mathcal{B}$ be two graded Hilbert C*-modules. Then the algebraic tensor product $E_\mathcal{A} \odot E_\mathcal{B}$ can be transformed in a graded Hilbert $\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}$-module with multiplication*

$$(\psi_\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \psi_\mathcal{B})(a \hat{\otimes} b) \;=\; (-1)^{\deg(\psi_\mathcal{B})\deg(a)}\, \psi_\mathcal{A} a \,\hat{\otimes} \psi_\mathcal{B} b \,,$$

inner product

$$\langle \psi_\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \psi_\mathcal{B}, \psi'_\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \psi'_\mathcal{B} \rangle \;=\; (-1)^{\deg(\psi_\mathcal{B})(\deg(\psi_\mathcal{A})+\deg(\psi'_\mathcal{A}))}\, \langle \psi_\mathcal{A}, \psi'_\mathcal{A} \rangle \hat{\otimes} \langle \psi_\mathcal{B}, \psi'_\mathcal{B} \rangle \;\in\; \mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}$$

and grading given by $\deg(\psi_\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \psi_\mathcal{B}) = \deg(\psi_\mathcal{A}) + \deg(\psi_\mathcal{B})$.

Remark 3.63 Let us point out that the sign $(-1)^{\deg(\psi_\mathcal{A})\deg(\psi_\mathcal{B})}$ results from the fact that $\psi_\mathcal{A}$ is on the right of $\psi_\mathcal{B}$ on the l.h.s. (due to the adjoint in the inner product), while on the r.h.s. it is to the left. $\diamond$

Remark 3.64 ([6] p. 118) There exists a natural imbedding of $\mathbb{B}(E_\mathcal{A})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{B}(E_\mathcal{B})$ into $\mathbb{B}(E_\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}E_\mathcal{B})$ given by

$$(T_\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} T_\mathcal{B})(\psi_\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \psi_\mathcal{B}) \;=\; (-1)^{\deg(T_\mathcal{B})\deg(\psi_\mathcal{A})} T_\mathcal{A}\psi_\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} T_\mathcal{B}\psi_\mathcal{B} \,.$$

This imbedding generates an isomorphism $\mathbb{K}(E_\mathcal{A})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{K}(E_\mathcal{B}) \cong \mathbb{K}(E_\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}E_\mathcal{B})$. $\diamond$

Remark 3.65 Note that if one of the algebras and its corresponding Hilbert module are ungraded, then the graded external product reduces to the ordinary one. However, if the remaining ones are graded, we will continue to use the hat just to indicate that the result is a graded Hilbert module over a graded algebra. $\diamond$

4 The complex K-groups of C*-algebras

In this chapter we briefly recall various characterizations of the K-groups of unital C*-algebras. Of particular importance are the characterizations in terms of Hilbert C*-modules and their various classes of operators, which enables one to imbed the K-groups in the broader family of Kasparov's KK-groups. The latter will provide yet another characterization of the K-groups and this will be elaborated in the following chapter.

4.1 Classic characterization

The $K_{0,1}$-groups of C*-algebras classify the projections and the unitary elements w.r.t. the equivalence provided by the stable homotopy. Their standard definition can be found, *e.g.*, in [67, 6, 71, 32]. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a unital ungraded C*-algebra and $\mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{B})$ the set of projections from $\mathbb{C}(N)\otimes\mathcal{B}$. Consider the union

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{B}) \;=\; \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{B})\;, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{B})$'s are considered pairwise disjoint. On $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{B})$, one introduces the addition operation

$$p\oplus p' \;=\; \mathrm{diag}(p,p')\;,$$

which takes value in $\mathcal{P}_{N+M}(\mathcal{B})$ when $p\in\mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{B})$ and $p'\in\mathcal{P}_M(\mathcal{B})$. The space $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{B})$ accepts the following equivalence relation which is compatible with the addition,

$$\mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{B}) \;\ni\; p \;\sim_0\; p' \;\in\; \mathcal{P}_M(\mathcal{B}) \quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad \left\{\begin{array}{l} p \,=\, vv^* \\ p' \,=\, v^*v \end{array}\right. \tag{4.2}$$

for some v from $\mathbb{C}(N\times M)\otimes\mathcal{B}$.

Definition 4.1 *The group $K_0(\mathcal{B})$ is defined as the enveloping abelian Grothendieck group of the semigroup $(\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathcal{A})/\sim_0,\oplus)$.*

As for the K_1-group, let $\mathcal{U}_N(\mathcal{B})$ denote the group of unitary elements of $\mathbb{C}(N)\otimes\mathcal{B}$ and let

$$\mathcal{U}_\infty(\mathcal{B}) \;=\; \cup_{N=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{U}_N(\mathcal{B})\;, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\mathcal{U}_N(\mathcal{B})$'s are again considered pairwise disjoint. Define the following binary operation

$$u\oplus v \;=\; \mathrm{diag}(u,v)\;,$$

so that $u\oplus v\in\mathcal{U}_{N+M}(\mathcal{B})$ when $u\in\mathcal{U}_N(\mathcal{B})$ and $v\in\mathcal{U}_M(\mathcal{B})$. The space $\mathcal{U}_\infty(\mathcal{B})$ accepts an equivalence relation which is compatible with the binary operation,

$$\mathcal{U}_N(\mathcal{B}) \;\ni\; u\sim_1 v\in\mathcal{U}_M(\mathcal{B}) \;\Longleftrightarrow\; u\oplus 1_{K-N}\sim_h v\oplus 1_{K-M}$$

for some $K\geq\max(N,M)$. Here, $\sim_h$ denotes the homotopy equivalence inside $\mathcal{U}_K(\mathcal{B})$, namely, $u\sim_h v$ if u can be continuously deformed into v, with respect to the topology of $M_K(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{B}$, without ever leaving the unitary group $\mathcal{U}_K(\mathcal{B})$.

Definition 4.2 *The group $K_1(\mathcal{B})$ is defined as the abelian group $(\mathcal{U}_\infty(\mathcal{B})/\sim_1,\oplus)$.*

Remark 4.3 If $\mathcal{B}$ is non-unital, the definitions of the K-groups are more involved (see [67] for example), but they will not be needed here because we will deal exclusively with unital algebras. $\diamond$

4.2 Characterization in terms of Hilbert modules

In this section, the algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is unital and ungraded.

Proposition 4.4 ([71], pg. 264) *The group $K_0(\mathcal{B})$ can be equivalently characterized as*

$$K_0(\mathcal{B}) \;=\; \big\{[P]_0-[Q]_0 \;:\; P,Q\in\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})\big\}\;,$$

where $[\,.\,]_0$ indicates the classes under the Murray-von Neumann equivalence relation in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$.

Proposition 4.5 *For a unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$, any projection from $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ is finite-rank.*

Proof. We will take advantage of the fact that the finite range operators on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ form a double-sided ideal in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ and that this ideal is dense in $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$. Let

$$P_N \;=\; \sum_{n=1}^{N} \Theta_{\psi_n,\psi_n} \;, \qquad \psi_n = (0,\ldots,0,1,0\ldots) \;, \tag{4.4}$$

be the standard approximate identity for $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$, where 1 in (4.4) sits at the n-th entry. Then, for any projection $P \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$, PP_N converges to P in the norm topology of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ as $N \to \infty$. Consequently, for N large enough,

$$R \;=\; 1 \;-\; P(1-P_N) \tag{4.5}$$

is invertible in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$. Now, observe that $PR = PP_N$, hence PR is a finite rank operator. Therefore $P = (PR)R^{-1}$ is finite-rank. □

By combining the above statement with Kasparov's stabilization theorem, more precisely with Corollary 3.46, one arrives at the following equivalent characterization of the K_0-group:

Corollary 4.6 *The group $K_0(\mathcal{B})$ can be represented in the form*

$$K_0(\mathcal{B}) \;=\; \big\{[E_{\mathcal{B}}]_0 - [E'_{\mathcal{B}}]_0 \;:\; E_{\mathcal{B}}, E'_{\mathcal{B}} \text{ finitely generated projective } \mathcal{B}\text{-modules}\big\} \;.$$

This time, $[\,.\,]_0$ indicates the equivalence classes w.r.t. the isomorphism between the Hilbert modules and the abelian group structure on $K_0(\mathcal{B})$ is induced by the direct sum of Hilbert modules.

Remark 4.7 In the light of the above statements, it becomes clear that the elements of the $K_0(\mathcal{B})$ group can be represented by compact projections on countably generated Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-modules and one does not have to restrict to the standard Hilbert C*-module as in Proposition 4.4. ◇

Remark 4.8 Even though $\mathcal{B}$ is ungraded, there is a natural grading on $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}) = \mathbb{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}$, inherited from the natural grading $\gamma_{\mathbb{K}}$ on $\mathbb{K}$ described in Example 3.8. It was already pointed out in Example 3.25 that every homogeneous projection in $\mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{B}$ has to be of degree 0 (this holds for every graded algebra). Here we go one step further and show that every projection in $\mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{B}$ is homotopic to such a homogeneous projection, namely it is always possible to choose representatives of K_0-classes that are of degree 0. For that, let P be a finite rank projection which is decomposed over the eigenspaces of $\gamma_{\mathbb{K}} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma_3}$ as follows:

$$P \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \;, \qquad \gamma_{\mathbb{K}}(P) \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix} \;.$$

This is identified with a 4×4 block matrix is homotopy equivalent to yet another 4×4 projection:

$$P \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 \\ c & d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \;\sim\; \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \;,$$

where the homotopy is given by a rotation in the 2 and 3 components by $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Now, indeed the r.h.s. is homogeneous of degree 0 w.r.t. $\gamma_{\mathbb{K}} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma_3\oplus\sigma_3}$. ◇

Proposition 4.9 (17.5.4 in [6]) *The group $K_1(\mathcal{B})$ of the complex K-theory of a unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ can be characterized as*

$$K_1(\mathcal{B}) \;=\; \{[U]_1 \;:\; U \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}),\; U-1 \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})\} \;.$$

where $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ is the group of unitary operators over the standard Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module

$$\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}) \;=\; \{U \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}) \;:\; UU^* = U^*U = 1\} \;,$$

and $[\,.\,]_1$ indicates the homotopy classes in $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$. The group structure is induced by the product of unitary operators. This is actually an abelian binary operation, as the non-trivial part of two finite-dimensional unitaries can be homotopically moved into orthogonal subspaces of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Remark 4.10 The condition $U-1 \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ implies $U^*-1 \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ and thus also $U^*-U \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$. Furthermore, $U^2-1 = U(U-U^*) \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$. In other words, U in Proposition 4.9 is a symmetry modulo compact operators. ◇

Remark 4.11 The elements of $K_1(\mathcal{B})$ can be characterized by unitaries over generic countably generated Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-modules and not necessarily over $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$ as in Proposition 4.9. Indeed, let $U \in \mathbb{U}(E_\mathcal{B})$ be such that $U-1 \in \mathbb{K}(E_\mathcal{B})$ for some countably generated Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module $E_\mathcal{B}$. Due to Kasparov's stabilization theorem, more precisely Corollary 3.46, $E_\mathcal{B} \simeq P\,\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$ with a projection $P \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$. The image V of U under this isomorphism can be extend to a unitary $\tilde{U} = V \oplus (1-P)$ on the whole $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$. This extension clearly satisfies $\tilde{U}-1 \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ and hence defines a class in the $K_1(\mathcal{B})$ group as in Proposition 4.9. ◇

4.3 Characterization in terms of generalized Fredholm operators

Choosing $E_\mathcal{B}$ to be the standard module $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$ in the exact sequence (3.3) and using Remark 3.40, the exact sequence becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{i}} \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{4.6}$$

As is well known [6, 71, 67], any short exact sequence of C*-algebras generate a six-term exact sequence at the level of K-theory:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(\mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{i}_*} & K_0(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{B})) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_*} & K_0(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Ind}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Exp}} \\ K_1(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})) & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{ev}_*} & K_1(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{B})) & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{i}_*} & K_1(\mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{B}) \end{array} \tag{4.7}$$

The aim in the following is to characterize the K-groups in terms of the homotopy classes $[\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})]$ and $[\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})]$ of generalized Fredholm operators, and to provide explicit formulas for the connecting maps.

Proposition 4.12 ([71] p. 180) *Any multiplier algebra has vanishing K-groups.*

Corollary 4.13 *The six-term exact sequence of K-theory reduces to two isomorphisms:*

$$\mathrm{Ind} : K_1(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})) \;\to\; K_0(\mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{B}) \;\cong\; K_0(\mathcal{B})\,, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\mathrm{Exp} : K_0(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})) \;\to\; K_1(\mathbb{K}\otimes\mathcal{B}) \;\cong\; K_1(\mathcal{B})\,, \tag{4.9}$$

where the two identifications on the r.h.s. follow from the stability of the K-groups.

Remark 4.14 Unlike $\mathbb{B}$, the algebra $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ is generally not a von Neumann algebra. As such, $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ is stable only under continuous functional calculus and the polar decomposition is not always available. This is one of the additional difficulties encountered when passing from ordinary Hilbert spaces to Hilbert C*-modules which has to be dealt with next. □

Lemma 4.15 ([58, 46] and [71]) *For any $F \in \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$, there exists $G \in \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ with $F-G \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ having a polar decomposition $G = W|G|$, where $|G| = \sqrt{G^*G}$ and $W \in \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ is a partial isometry $W = WW^*W$ such that $W^*W|G| = |G|W^*W = |G|$.*

Proposition 4.16 $[\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})] = [\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})] = [\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{sym},-}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}})] \cong K_1(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}))$.

Proof. Let us first show that $\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ is a homotopy retract of $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$. Given $F, G, W \in \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ as in Lemma 4.15, one defines a path in $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ by $t \in [-1,0] \mapsto F_t = F + (1+t)(G-F)$ and $t \in [0,1] \mapsto F_t = W|G|^{1-t}$. Note that here $|G|^{1-t}$ is defined by continuous functional calculus over

the C*-algebra $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$, and that $F_1 = W \in F_{\rm u}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$. This shows the first equality. For the second, one uses that odd operators on $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ are off-diagonal in the standard grading given in Example 3.26. For a supersymmetry these off-diagonal operators have to be unitary, showing $\mathbb{F}_{\rm u}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ is naturally identified with $\mathbb{F}_{\rm sym,-}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}})$. As to the third equality, by definition, homotopy classes of unitaries in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ correspond to homotopy classes in $\mathbb{F}_{\rm u}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$. □

Definition 4.17 (Generalized Fredholm index) *Let $F, G, W \in \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ be as in Lemma 4.15. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ker}(G) \;=\; \mathbf{1} - WW^* \,, \qquad \mathrm{Ker}(G^*) \;=\; \mathbf{1} - W^*W \,,$$

are two compact projections in $\mathbb{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ which define an element of the group $K_0(\mathcal{B})$

$$[\mathrm{Ker}(G)]_0 \;-\; [\mathrm{Ker}(G^*)]_0 \;\in\; K_0(\mathcal{B}) \,.$$

This element of $K_0(\mathcal{B})$ is independent of the choice of G and is determined entirely by the class of F in the Calkin algebra. The generalized Fredholm index $\mathrm{Ind} : \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}) \to K_0(\mathcal{B})$ *is then defined as*

$$\mathrm{Ind}(F) \;=\; [\mathrm{Ker}(F)]_0 \;-\; [\mathrm{Ker}(F^*)]_0 \,,$$

where it is understood that possibly (irrelevant) compact perturbations are used to define the kernels. If $E_{\mathcal{B}} \cong P\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is an arbitrary countably generated Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module, the definition of the generalized index map is extended to $\mathrm{Ind} : \mathbb{F}(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \to K_0(\mathcal{B})$ *via*

$$\mathrm{Ind}(F) \;=\; \mathrm{Ind}(PFP + 1 - P) \,, \qquad F \in \mathbb{F}(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \,.$$

Remark 4.18 The index of generalized Fredholm operators over Hilbert C*-modules was developed in the works by Kasparov [37, 38], Miščenco and Fomenko [47], and Pimsner, Popa, and Voiculescu [58] and assembled in a final form by Mingo [46]. A pedagogical exposition of all this can be found in [71]. ◇

Remark 4.19 In view of the identification in Proposition 4.16, the natural definition of the generalized Fredholm index of a supersymmetry $F \in \mathbb{F}_{\rm sym,-}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}})$ is that of the generalized Fredholm index of the part of F which takes the even grading sector into the odd one. This convention will be adopted throughout. ◇

Theorem 4.20 ([46] and [6]) *The generalized Fredholm index implements the first isomorphism of Corollary 4.13. When paired with Proposition 4.16, this gives the isomorphism*

$$K_0(\mathcal{B}) \;\simeq\; [\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})] \;=\; [\mathbb{F}_{\rm sym,-}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}})] \,.$$

Proof. Given a class $[F]_1 \in K_1(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}))$, its index in (4.8) is, as usual, calculated using an arbitrary lift. If this lift is chosen to be the G of Lemma 4.15, one recovers the generalized Fredholm index. □

Remark 4.21 ([46]) Note that, among many other useful things, the above characterization says that, as for ordinary Fredholm operators, two generalized Fredholm operators belong to the same connected component of $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ precisely when they have the same generalized Fredholm index. ◇

Proposition 4.22 $[\mathbb{F}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})] = [\mathbb{F}_{\rm sym,+}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}})] \cong K_0(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}))$.

Proof. Let us first note that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}} \cong \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ so that $\mathbb{F}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}) \cong \mathbb{F}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}) \oplus \mathbb{F}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$. Furthermore $[\mathbb{F}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})] = [\mathbb{F}_{\rm sym}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})]$ follows from functional calculus in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$. As even operators on $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}$ are diagonal, this then implies the first equality. For the second identification, let the class in $[\mathbb{F}_{\rm sym,+}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}})]$ be specified by $\mathrm{diag}(1 - 2P, 1 - 2Q)$ with two projections $P, Q \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$. This class is mapped to $[P]_0 - [Q]_0 \in K_0(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}))$. □

Remark 4.23 Given these identifications, the exponential map (4.9) now sends elements from $\mathbb{F}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}) \cong \mathbb{F}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}) \oplus \mathbb{F}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ into $K_1(\mathcal{B})$. Let us recall that for any $T \in \mathbb{F}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ it is always possible to find a $K \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ such that $(T+K)^* = T+K$. ◇

Definition 4.24 (Generalized exponential map) *Let $T = T^* \in \mathbb{F}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$. Then the generalized exponential map* $\mathrm{Exp} : \mathbb{F}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}) \to K_1(\mathcal{B})$ *is defined as*

$$\mathrm{Exp}(T) \;=\; \left[e^{-2\pi\imath T}\right]_1 \;\in\; K_1(\mathcal{B})\,.$$

If $E_\mathcal{B} \cong P\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$ is an arbitrary countably generated Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module, the definition of the generalized index map is extended to $\mathrm{Exp} : \mathbb{F}_{\rm sa}(E_\mathcal{B}) \to K_1(\mathcal{B})$ *via*

$$\mathrm{Exp}(T) \;=\; \mathrm{Exp}(PTP + 1 - P)\,, \qquad T \in \mathbb{F}_{\rm sa}(E_\mathcal{B})\,.$$

Theorem 4.25 *The generalized exponential map index implements the isomorphism*

$$K_1(\mathcal{B}) \;\simeq\; [\mathbb{F}_{\rm sym,+}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}})] \;=\; [\mathbb{F}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})]\,.$$

Remark 4.26 In Theorem 5.9 below, an inverse for the generalized index map is written out. Apparently, it is not so easy to find an explicit formula for an inverse to the generalized exponential map. ◇

5 Kasparov's KK-theory

The purpose of this chapter is to introduce and characterize Kasparov's KK-groups, in particular, to establish the link with the K-theory of the previous chapter, as well as to introduce Kasparov's product and to illustrate how it works in several particular cases of interest.

5.1 The KK-groups

Throughout this section, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ denote graded unital C*-algebras and the symbol $[\,,\,]$ stands for graded commutators (see Definition 3.12).

Definition 5.1 (Kasparov cycles, [38, 6, 33]) *A Kasparov $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-cycle is a triple*

$$\mathcal{E} \;=\; \Big(E_\mathcal{B},\; \pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{B}(E_\mathcal{B}),\; F \in \mathbb{B}(E_\mathcal{B})\Big)\,,$$

where $E_\mathcal{B}$ is a countably generated graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module and the representation π and the operator F are such that:

(i) $F = -S_{E_\mathcal{B}} F S_{E_\mathcal{B}}$ *(i.e. F is homogeneous of graded degree 1),*

(ii) $\pi \circ \gamma_\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Ad}_{S_{E_\mathcal{B}}} \circ \pi$ *(i.e. π is a graded $*$-homomorphism),*

(iii) $[F, \pi(a)] \in \mathbb{K}(E_\mathcal{B})$ *for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$,*

(iv) $(F^2 - 1)\pi(a) \in \mathbb{K}(E_\mathcal{B})$ *for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$,*

(v) $(F^* - F)\pi(a) \in \mathbb{K}(E_\mathcal{B})$ *for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

The set of Kasparov $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-cycles is denoted by $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$. A Kasparov $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-cycle is called degenerate if all operators in (iii)-(v) *vanish. The set of all degenerate cycles is denoted by $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$.*

Remark 5.2 For a unital algebra $\mathcal{A}$, conditions (iv) and (v) simply reduce to $F^2-1, F^*-F \in \mathbb{K}(E_\mathcal{B})$. As in Connes' quantized calculus, it will be essential below to work with special Kasparov cycles introduced next. ◇

Definition 5.3 (Normalized Kasparov cycles, [24]) *A Kasparov cycle is called normalized if* $F^* = F$ *and* $F^2 = 1$.

Definition 5.4 (Kasparov's KK^0-group) *Let* $\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\mathcal{B}$ *be graded* C^**-algebras. The Kasparov group* $KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ *is defined as the group of homotopy equivalence classes of* $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$*, where the binary operation is the one induced by the direct sum of Hilbert* C^**-modules.*

Remark 5.5 The homotopy equivalence is discussed in depth in [6, 33] and, since it does not play a direct role in the computations below, we will not elaborate on it at all. Let us note, however, that the zero element in $KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ is given by the degenerate cycles. Moreover, the inverse is provided by

$$-[(E_{\mathcal{B}},\pi,F)] \;=\; [(-E_{\mathcal{B}},\pi\circ\gamma_{\mathcal{A}},-F)]\;,$$

where $-E_{\mathcal{B}}$ is $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ with grading $-S_E$. One then finds that $KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ is abelian [6, 33]. Another important property of the KK^0-groups is the stability in each of the two arguments w.r.t. tensorizing with matrices or compact operators. For example,

$$KK^0(\mathbb{K}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \;=\; KK^0(\mathbb{C}(N)\otimes\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \;=\; KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})\;,$$

where an even grading is used on $\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathbb{C}(N)$. ⋄

Remark 5.6 By adding the degenerate $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-cycle $\left(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}},0,\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}\right)$ and using Kasparov's stabilization theorem, it is easy to see that any class in $KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ can be identified with a cycle of the form $(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}},\pi,F)$. The implication of this observation is significant for, if one recalls from Example 3.26 that the grading of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is $\widehat{S} = S\oplus(-S)$, then a second grading $\widehat{Q} = \begin{pmatrix}0&S\\S&0\end{pmatrix}$ can be defined on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}\oplus\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $\widehat{Q}\,\widehat{S} = -\widehat{S}\,\widehat{Q}$. Hence, in general, the classes of $KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ can be represented by $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-cycles $(E_{\mathcal{B}},\pi,F)$ such that $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ accepts a second grading $Q_{E_{\mathcal{B}}}$ which anti-commutes with original grading $S_{E_{\mathcal{B}}}$ of $E_{\mathcal{B}}$. ⋄

Remark 5.7 Since we will be dealing mainly with unital algebras, further simplifications can be assumed. Indeed [6, p. 152], then the classes of $KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ can always be represented by cycles with $F^* = F$, $\|F\|\leq 1$ and $F^2 - 1\in\mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ and the representation π can be assumed non-degenerate. If the algebras are ungraded, further simplifications occur as explained next. ⋄

Proposition 5.8 *If* $\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\mathcal{B}$ *are ungraded unital* C^**-algebras, the classes of* $KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ *can be represented by cycles of the form*

$$\left(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}\oplus\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}},\pi\oplus\pi,F = \begin{pmatrix}0&T^*\\T&0\end{pmatrix}\right)\;,\qquad S = \begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{pmatrix}\;, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\pi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ *is a* $*$*-homomorphism and* $T\in\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ *is such that, with* ev *is as in* (3.3)*,*

(i) $[\pi(a),T]\in\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ *for all* $a\in\mathcal{A}$*,*

(ii) $\mathrm{ev}(T^*T) = \mathrm{ev}(TT^*) = 1$ *in* $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$*.*

Proof. This is just a rewriting of Definition 5.1. It represents the so-called Fredholm picture of $KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$, see 17.5 in [6]. □

Theorem 5.9 *Let* $\mathcal{B}$ *be an ungraded unital* C^**-algebra. Then there is the isomorphism*

$$KK^0(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{B}) \;\simeq\; K_0(\mathcal{B}) \tag{5.2}$$

given by

$$KK^0(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{B}) \ni \left[\left(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}, s, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T^* \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)\right] \mapsto \mathrm{Ind}\big(T\big) \in K_0(\mathcal{B})\,. \tag{5.3}$$

Here $s:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}})$ is the representation by scalar multiplication. The inverse of this isomorphism is given by

$$\big[E_{\mathcal{B}}\big]_0 - \big[E'_{\mathcal{B}}\big]_0 \in K_0(\mathcal{B}) \mapsto \Big[\big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\oplus E'_{\mathcal{B}}, s, 0\big)\Big] \in KK^0(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{B})\,, \tag{5.4}$$

where $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $E'_{\mathcal{B}}$ are finitely generated Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-modules (cf. Corollary 4.6) and the grading of their direct sum is $1\oplus(-1)$.

Proof. Indeed, according to Proposition 5.8, every $(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{B})^0$-cycle can be represented as stated in above with $T\in\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ such that $T^*T=1=TT^*$ up to compact perturbations. In other words, $T\in\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ and its generalized Fredholm index is well defined and takes values in $K_0(\mathcal{B})$. Regarding the inverse, let $T\in\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ such that $\mathrm{Ind}(T)=[\mathrm{Ker}(T)]_0-[\mathrm{Ker}(T^*)]_0$, where it is understood that the kernels are finitely generated. Then T is equivalent in $[\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})]$ with the generalized Fredholm operator $0:\mathrm{Ker}(T)\to\mathrm{Ker}(T^*)$ [30, Proposition 3.27] and the affirmation follows. □

Remark 5.10 According to Corollary 3.46, $E_{\mathcal{B}}\oplus E'_{\mathcal{B}}$ can always be written as $P\,\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}$, where P is a compact projection from $\mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}})$ of graded degree zero. If $P_\pm=\frac{1}{2}(1\pm S)P$ are the sectors of P, then $P_+\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}=E_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $P_-\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}=E'_{\mathcal{B}}$. Hence the elements of $K_0(\mathcal{B})$ can be encoded in a single projection $P\in\mathbb{K}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}})$ of graded degree zero and the element is explicitly represented by $[P_+]_0-[P_-]_0$, as in Proposition 4.4. The corresponding Kasparov cycle is simply $(P\,\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}},s,0)$. Note that the class $[P_+]_0$ is encoded in the ungraded cycle $(P_+\,\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}},s,0)$. ◇

Definition 5.11 (Higher Kasparov groups, [6] pp. 149) *For $k\geq 1$, one defines*

$$KK^{-k}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \;=\; KK^0(\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_k,\mathcal{B})\,,\qquad KK^k(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \;=\; KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_k).$$

Remark 5.12 Due to the stability of the KK-functor and basic properties of the complex Clifford algebras, one immediately realizes that it is sufficient to consider $KK^{-1}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ and $KK^1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ which are isomorphic, too. More generally, $KK^k(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ and $KK^{k+2}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ are isomorphic for all $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, a fact that is often referred to as formal Bott periodicity [6]. As such, we will mainly concentrate on KK^0 and KK^1 groups. Let us stress that the graded external product is used in Definition 5.11. ◇

Proposition 5.13 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be ungraded unital C*-algebras. Then the classes of $KK^1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ can always be represented by cycles of the form*

$$\big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, \pi\hat{\otimes}1, H\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\,,$$

where $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ is an ungraded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module, $\pi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is an ungraded C-algebra homomorphism and $H=H^*\in\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is such that* $\mathrm{ev}(H)\in\mathbb{Q}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ *is a symmetry and $\|H\|\leq 1$. Here* ev *is as in* (3.3)*. In the light of Example 3.7, the above cycle can also be written as (see also Theorem 7.2 in [35])*

$$\big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathbb{C}), \pi\hat{\otimes}(1\oplus 1), H\hat{\otimes}(1\oplus(-1))\big) \;=\; \big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\oplus E_{\mathcal{B}}, \pi\oplus\pi, H\oplus(-H)\big)\,.$$

On the r.h.s., $E_{\mathcal{B}}\oplus E_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1$-module with the standard odd grading.

Proposition 5.14 ([24] p. 433) *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be ungraded unital C*-algebras. Then the classes of $KK^{-1}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ can always be represented by $(\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1,\mathcal{B})$-cycles of the form*

$$\big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^2, \pi\hat{\otimes}\sigma, H\hat{\otimes}\sigma_2\big)\,, \tag{5.5}$$

where $\mathbb{C}^2$ is with the even grading provided by σ_3, $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ is an ungraded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module, and $\sigma:\mathbb{C}_1\to\mathbb{C}(2)$ is given by $\sigma(\epsilon)=\sigma_1$. Furthermore, $\pi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is an ungraded C-algebra homomorphism and $H=H^*\in\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is such that* $\mathrm{ev}(H)\in\mathbb{Q}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ *is a symmetry and $\|H\|\leq 1$.*

Theorem 5.15 *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an ungraded unital C*-algebra. Then there are isomorphisms*

$$KK^1(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{B}) \;\cong\; KK^{-1}(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{B}) \;\cong\; K_1(\mathcal{B})\,, \tag{5.6}$$

given by

$$\Big[\Big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, s\hat{\otimes}1, H\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\Big)\Big] \;\cong\; \Big[\Big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^2, s\hat{\otimes}\sigma, H\hat{\otimes}\sigma_2\Big)\Big] \;\mapsto\; \big[e^{-\imath\pi H}\big]_1\,, \tag{5.7}$$

where $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ is ungraded and $H = H^* \in \mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is the lift of a symmetry $\mathrm{ev}(H) \in \mathbb{Q}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ and $\|H\| \leq 1$, cf. Propositions 5.13 and 5.14, and the graded isomorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{C}_1 \to \mathbb{C}(2)$ is defined by $\sigma(\epsilon) = \sigma_1$.

Proof. The first isomorphism in (5.7) is read off Propositions 5.13 and 5.14. As to the second, the symmetries $\mathrm{ev}(H) \in \mathbb{Q}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ are in bijection with the projections in $\mathbb{Q}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ via $\mathrm{ev}(H) \mapsto P = \frac{1}{2}(1+\mathrm{ev}(H))$. This establishes an isomorphism between $KK^1(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{B})$ and $K_0(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}))$. Then (5.7) is just the exponential map of the exact sequence (3.3)

$$\exp([P]_0) \;=\; \big[\exp(-2\pi\imath\,\tfrac{1}{2}(1+H))\big]_1 \;=\; \big[-e^{-\imath\pi H}\big]_1 \;=\; \big[e^{-\imath\pi H}\big]_1\,,$$

which establishes the isomorphism between $K_0(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}))$ and $K_1(\mathcal{B})$, *cf.* equation (4.9). □

5.2 Kasparov products

5.2.1 The notion of connection

The settings for this section are as follows. Let $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ be countably generated graded Hilbert C*-modules over the graded unital C*-algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, respectively, and let $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ be a graded homomorphism so that $(E_{\mathcal{B}}, \pi, F_{\mathcal{B}})$ is actually a Kasparov $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-cycle. Recall from Definition 5.1 that this automatically implies that $[F_{\mathcal{B}}, \pi(a)] \in \mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and that π can always chosen to be non-degenerate. Further let $E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}}$ be the graded internal tensor product with its standard grading operator. Under these circumstances:

Definition 5.16 ([33] p. 65, and [6] 18.3) *A homogeneous element $G \in \mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$ of graded degree one is called an $F_{\mathcal{B}}$-connection for $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ if:*

(i) $T_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}F_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}GT_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}} \in \mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}}, E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$,

(ii) $F_{\mathcal{B}}T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}} - (-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}G \in \mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}}, E_{\mathcal{B}})$,

for all $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} \in E_{\mathcal{A}}$ and where $T_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}} : E_{\mathcal{B}} \to E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}}$ and its conjugate are, as in Example 3.51, given by

$$T_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}(\psi_{\mathcal{B}}) \;=\; \psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\,, \qquad T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}) \;=\; \pi(\langle\psi_{\mathcal{A}},\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\rangle)\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\,.$$

In the following we elaborate Proposition 2.2.5 in [33], summarized in Lemma 5.22 below, which gives a standard procedure to construct an $F_{\mathcal{B}}$-connection.

Proposition 5.17 *Assume that the representation π actually satisfies $[\pi(a), F_{\mathcal{B}}] = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, as in Remark 3.56. Then*

$$G \;=\; 1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}F_{\mathcal{B}} \;\in\; \mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$$

is well-defined and is an $F_{\mathcal{B}}$-connection for $E_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof. We can verify directly that

$$\begin{aligned}\big(T_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}F_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}GT_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}\big)\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \;&=\; \psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}F_{\mathcal{B}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}(1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}F_{\mathcal{B}})(\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}})\\ &=\; \psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}F_{\mathcal{B}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{2\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}F_{\mathcal{B}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\\ &=\; 0\,,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\big(F_{\mathcal{B}}T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}} - (-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}G\big)(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}) \\ &= \big(F_{\mathcal{B}}\circ\pi(\langle\psi_{\mathcal{A}},\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\rangle)\big)\psi_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})+\deg(\phi_{\mathcal{A}})}T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}F_{\mathcal{B}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}) \\ &= \big((F_{\mathcal{B}}\circ\pi(\langle\psi_{\mathcal{A}},\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\rangle))\psi_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})+\deg(\phi_{\mathcal{A}})}\pi(\langle\psi_{\mathcal{A}},\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\rangle)F_{\mathcal{B}}\big)\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= [F_{\mathcal{B}},\pi(\langle\psi_{\mathcal{A}},\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\rangle)]\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= 0\ ,\end{aligned}$$

which used that

$$\deg\big(\pi(\langle\psi_{\mathcal{A}},\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\rangle)\big) \;=\; \deg(\langle\psi_{\mathcal{A}},\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\rangle) \;=\; \deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})+\deg(\phi_{\mathcal{A}})\ ,$$

where the defining relations of a graded module were used (see Definition. 3.21). □

Example 5.18 Proposition 5.17 finds a direct application to the case $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{C}$ and $E_{\mathcal{A}}=\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$, in which case one can automatically conclude that $G=1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F_{\mathcal{B}}\in\mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is an $F_{\mathcal{B}}$-connection for $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$. ⋄

Proposition 5.19 *Let $E_{\mathcal{A}}=\mathcal{A}$ and recall the graded isomorphism $\Psi_1:\mathcal{A}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\,E_{\mathcal{B}}\;\to\;E_{\mathcal{B}}$ described in Example 3.57. Then*

$$G \;=\; \Psi_1^{-1}\,F_{\mathcal{B}}\,\Psi_1 \;\in\; \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$$

is an $F_{\mathcal{B}}$-connection for $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. The first condition of Definition 5.16 follows from

$$\begin{aligned}\big(T_aF_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{\deg(a)}GT_a\big)\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \;&=\; a\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}F_{\mathcal{B}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{\deg(a)}(\Psi_1^{-1}F_{\mathcal{B}}\Psi_1)\,a\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &=\; 1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\pi(a)F_{\mathcal{B}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{\deg(a)}1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}F_{\mathcal{B}}\pi(a)\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &=\; 1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}[\pi(a),F_{\mathcal{B}}]\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\ ,\end{aligned}$$

combined with (iii) from Definition 5.1. As

$$\begin{aligned}\big(F_{\mathcal{B}}T^*_a - (-1)^{\deg(a)}T^*_aG\big)(a'\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}) \;&=\; \big(F_{\mathcal{B}}\pi(a^*a') - (-1)^{\deg(a)}\pi(a^*)F_{\mathcal{B}}\pi(a')\big)\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &=\; [F_{\mathcal{B}},\pi(a^*)]\pi(a')\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &=\; ([F_{\mathcal{B}},\pi(a^*)]\circ\Psi_1)\,a'\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\end{aligned}$$

with Ψ_1 as in Example 3.57, the second condition of Definition 5.16 is also satisfied. □

Corollary 5.20 *Let $E_{\mathcal{A}}=\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and recall the graded isomorphism $\Psi_2:\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\,E_{\mathcal{B}}\;\to\;\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\,E_{\mathcal{B}}$ described in Example 3.58. Then*

$$G \;=\; \Psi_2^{-1}\,(1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F_{\mathcal{B}})\,\Psi_2 \;\in\; \mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\,E_{\mathcal{B}})$$

is an $F_{\mathcal{B}}$-connection for $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$, where $1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F_{\mathcal{B}}$ is defined as in Proposition 3.55.

Proof. Recall that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\,E_{\mathcal{B}}=\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$. Then Proposition 5.19 extends $F_{\mathcal{B}}$ to an $F_{\mathcal{B}}$-connection for $\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}}$ and Proposition 5.17 extends this connection to an $F_{\mathcal{B}}$-connection over $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$. The final connection has precisely the expression given in the statement. □

Proposition 5.21 *Let $P\in\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{A}})$ be a projection of graded degree 0. If $F\in\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is an $F_{\mathcal{B}}$-connection for $E_{\mathcal{A}}$, then*

$$G \;=\; (P\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}1)\,F\,(P\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}1) \;\in\; \mathbb{B}(PE_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$$

is an $F_{\mathcal{B}}$-connection for $PE_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof. Take $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} \in PE_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\big(T_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}F_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}GT_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}\big)\psi_{\mathcal{B}} &= \psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}F_{\mathcal{B}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}(P\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}1)F\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= (P\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}1)\big(\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}F_{\mathcal{B}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}F\psi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\big) \\ &= (P\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}1)\big(T_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}F_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}FT_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}\big)\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\ ,\end{aligned}$$

and the first condition in Definition 5.16 follows because

$$(P\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}1)\mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}}, E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}}) \ \subset\ \mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}}, PE_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}})\ .$$

Furthermore, if $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}, \phi_{\mathcal{A}} \in PE_{\mathcal{A}} \subset E_{\mathcal{A}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(F_{\mathcal{B}}T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}} - (-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}G\big)\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\qquad= (F_{\mathcal{B}}T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}})\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}(P\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}1)F)(P\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}1)\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\qquad= (F_{\mathcal{B}}T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}})\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} - (-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}F)\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\qquad= \big(F_{\mathcal{B}}T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}(-1)^{\deg(\psi_{\mathcal{A}})}T^*_{\psi_{\mathcal{A}}}F\big)\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\ ,\end{aligned}$$

and the second condition in Definition 5.16 follows, too. □

Lemma 5.22 *Consider the conditions stated at the beginning of the section. Let $P \in \mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}})$ be the projection of graded degree 0 such that $E_{\mathcal{A}} \cong P\,\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ as in Corollary 3.46, and recall the graded isomorphism*

$$\Psi_3\ :\ E_{\mathcal{A}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}\,E_{\mathcal{B}}\ \to\ \Pi(P)\big(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\,E_{\mathcal{B}}\big)$$

from Example 3.59. Then

$$G\ =\ \Psi_3^{-1}\,(1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F_{\mathcal{B}})\,\Psi_3\ \in\ \mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$$

is an $F_{\mathcal{B}}$-connection for $E_{\mathcal{A}}$. More explicitly, if $E_{\mathcal{A}} = P\,\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\Psi_2 : \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}} \to \widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}}$ as in Example 3.58, the connection can be written as

$$G\ =\ \Psi_2^{-1}\,\Pi(P)\,(1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F_{\mathcal{B}})\,\Pi(P)\,\Psi_2\ \in\ \mathbb{B}(P\,\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}})\ .$$

Proof. Starting from $F_{\mathcal{B}}$, Proposition 5.17 provides an $F_{\mathcal{B}}$-connection on $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}}$ in the form $1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F_{\mathcal{B}}$. The isomorphism from Proposition 5.19 transfers this connection to $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}}) = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}}$. Lastly, Proposition 5.21 then provides the connection on $(P\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}1)(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}}) = P\,\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}}$, which is also isomorphic to $E_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}E_{\mathcal{B}}$. Keeping track of the isomorphisms, the final connection can be seen to take the form appearing in the statement. The second expression can be verified by a direct calculation. □

5.2.2 Definition and main properties of the Kasparov product

Definition 5.23 ([33] p. 69, and [6, 32]) *Let*

$$\mathcal{E}_1\ =\ (E_{\mathcal{B}}, \pi, F_{\mathcal{B}})\ \in\ \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})\ , \qquad \mathcal{E}_2\ =\ (E_{\mathcal{C}}, \pi', F_{\mathcal{C}})\ \in\ \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})\ . \tag{5.8}$$

Then a triple

$$\mathcal{E}_{1,2}\ =\ (E_{\mathcal{B}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}\,E_{\mathcal{C}}, \pi\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}\,\mathrm{id}, F)\ \in\ \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$$

is called a Kasparov product of $\mathcal{E}_1$ by $\mathcal{E}_2$ if

(i) *F is an $F_{\mathcal{C}}$-connection for $E_{\mathcal{B}}$,*

(ii) $(\pi\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}\,\mathrm{id})[F_{\mathcal{B}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}\,\mathrm{id}, F](\pi\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}\,\mathrm{id}) \geq 0 \bmod \mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}\,E_{\mathcal{C}})$.

Theorem 5.24 ([38, 6, 33, 32]) *Let $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be separable graded C*-algebras and let $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ as in* (5.8)*.*

(i) *There always exists a Kasparov product $\mathcal{E}_{1,2} \in \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{E}_1$ by $\mathcal{E}_2$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{E}_{1,2}$ is unique up to operator homotopy.*

(ii) *The map*

$$KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \times KK^0(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}) \to KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C})$$

given by

$$([\mathcal{E}_1],[\mathcal{E}_2]) \to [\mathcal{E}_{1,2}]$$

is well-defined and it is associative and distributive.

Given a $F_{\mathcal{C}}$-connection for $E_{\mathcal{B}}$, the following result provides a construction of an $F_{\mathcal{C}}$-connection satisfying also condition (ii) in Definition 5.23, which hence represents the Kasparov product.

Proposition 5.25 (Proposition 18.10 in [6]) *Let $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be separable graded C*-algebras and let $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ as in* (5.8)*, with $F_{\mathcal{B}} = F_{\mathcal{B}}^*$ and $\|F_{\mathcal{B}}\| \leq 1$. Furthermore let G be a $F_{\mathcal{C}}$-connection on $E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}E_{\mathcal{C}}$ for $E_{\mathcal{B}}$. Set*

$$F \;=\; F_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}1 \;+\; \Big(\big(1-(F_{\mathcal{B}})^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}1\Big)G\,. \tag{5.9}$$

If $[F,\pi(\mathcal{A})\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}1] \subset \mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}E_{\mathcal{C}})$, it follows that $(E_{\mathcal{B}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}\,E_{\mathcal{C}}, \pi\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}\,\mathrm{id}, F) \in \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C})$ is a Kasparov $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C})$-cycle representing the Kasparov product $\mathcal{E}_{1,2}$.

Remark 5.26 In (5.9), $(1-(F_{\mathcal{B}})^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is compact on $E_{\mathcal{B}}$, but this does *not* imply that $(1-(F_{\mathcal{B}})^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}1$ is compact on $E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}}E_{\mathcal{C}}$. Hence it is not possible to discard the second summand in (5.9). ⋄

Remark 5.27 Given the definition of the higher Kasparov groups and the formal Bott periodicity, the Kasparov product accepts the following immediate extension:

$$KK^i(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \times KK^j(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}) \to KK^{i+j}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C})\,,$$

where the indices are considered modulo 2. Several of these Kasparov products are written out explicitly below. ⋄

5.3 Kasparov products involving K-groups

5.3.1 The product $K_0(\mathcal{A}) \times KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$

Here we assume that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are ungraded unital C*-algebras and the isomorphism $KK^0(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{B}) \simeq K_0(\mathcal{B})$, made explicit in Theorem 5.9 and Remark 5.10, will be freely used. The Kasparov product treated below is perhaps the simplest of all and can be found in many places in the literature (see *e.g.* [30]).

Proposition 5.28 *The Kasparov product*

$$K_0(\mathcal{A}) \times KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \to KK^0(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{B}) \simeq K_0(\mathcal{B})$$

is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\big[P\big]_0 \times \Big[\big(E_{\mathcal{B}},\pi,F_{\mathcal{B}}\big)\Big] \;&=\; \Big[\Big(\Pi(P)(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}}), s, \Pi(P)(1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F_{\mathcal{B}})\Pi(P)\Big)\Big]\\ &\simeq\; \mathrm{Ind}\Big(\Pi(P)(1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F_{\mathcal{B}})\Pi(P)\Big)\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $\Pi : \mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}) \to \mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is the canonical homomorphism from Example 3.59.

Proof. Indeed, the classes of $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ can be represented by a Kasparov $(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{A})$-cycle $(P\,\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}},s,0)$, where P is such that $P_-=0$ (see Remark 5.10). All conditions of Proposition 5.25 are satisfied and, since $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ is null, the Kasparov product is equal to $\big[\big(P\,\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}},s,G\big)\big]$, where $G\in\mathbb{B}(P\,\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is the $F_{\mathcal{B}}$-connection for $P\,\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ from Lemma 5.22. We can apply the isomorphism Ψ_2 from Example 3.58 on the above cycle without changing its class and the result is precisely the cycle written in the statement. □

5.3.2 The product $K_1(\mathcal{A})\times KK^1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$

In this section, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are ungraded unital C* algebras. The following result can be found in [35], and the proof below follows the same line of arguments.

Proposition 5.29 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be ungraded unital C*-algebras. Then the Kasparov product*

$$K_1(\mathcal{A})\;\times\;KK^1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})\;\to\;KK^0(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{B})\;\simeq\;K_0(\mathcal{B})$$

is of the form

$$\big[U\big]_1\times\Big[\big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1,\pi\hat{\otimes}1,H_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\Big]\;=\;\Big[\big(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}},s,F\big)\Big]\;\simeq\;\mathrm{Ind}\big(F\big)\;,$$

with

$$F\;=\;\begin{pmatrix}0&(1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}P)\Pi(U^*)(1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}P)+1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}(1-P)\\(1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}P)\Pi(U)(1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}P)+1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}(1-P)&0\end{pmatrix}\;,$$

where $P=\frac{1}{2}(1+H_{\mathcal{B}})=P^*$ and $\Pi:\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})\to\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is the ungraded version of the canonical homomorphism from Example 3.59. Just as an advertisement to the reader, let us point out that P is not a projection, but only $\mathrm{ev}(P)$ *is.*

Proof. We recall from Propositions 4.9 and Theorem 5.15 that the elements of $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ can be represented by $U\in\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ with $U-1\in\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$, or by the class of a Kasparov $(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1)$-cycle

$$\Big[\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1,s,H_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\Big]\;=\;\Big[\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}},s,H_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\Big]\;,$$

where $H_{\mathcal{A}}\in\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a symmetry modulo compact operators with $U=e^{-\imath\pi H_{\mathcal{A}}}$ and $\|H_{\mathcal{A}}\|\leq 1$. Note that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}$ is graded by $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}=1\otimes\gamma_{\mathbb{C}_1}$. Now $\mathrm{ev}(H_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}\epsilon)\in\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}})$ is a symmetry. Setting $Q=\frac{1}{2}(H_{\mathcal{A}}+1)\geq 0$, one thus has

$$\mathrm{ev}(H_{\mathcal{A}})\;=\;2\,\mathrm{ev}(Q)-1\;=\;-\cos\big(\pi\,\mathrm{ev}(Q)\big)\;=\;-\mathrm{ev}\big(\cos(\pi Q)\big)\;,$$

namely $H_{\mathcal{A}}=-\cos(\pi Q)$ modulo compacts and Q is a projection modulo compacts. Consequently

$$\Big[\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}},s,H_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\Big]\;=\;\Big[\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}},s,-\cos(\pi Q)\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\Big]\;.$$

On the other hand, the generic elements of $KK^1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ can be represented as (*cf.* Propositions 5.13 and 5.14)

$$\Big[\big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1,\pi\hat{\otimes}1,H_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\Big]\;=\;\Big[\big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^2,\pi\hat{\otimes}\sigma,H_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\sigma_2\big)\Big]\;,$$

where $\pi\hat{\otimes}\sigma$ is a graded representation of $\mathcal{A}_{(1)}$ on $E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^2$. Hence, we need to compute the Kasparov product in $KK^0(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{A}_{(1)})\times KK^0(\mathcal{A}_{(1)},\mathcal{B})$ of the form

$$\Big[\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}},s,-\cos(\pi Q)\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\Big]\;\times\;\Big[\big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^2,\pi\hat{\otimes}\sigma,H_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\sigma_1\big)\Big]\;,$$

which is given by (*cf.* Proposition 5.25)

$$\Big[\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^2), s\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}1, F'\big)\Big] \,\in\, KK^0(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{B})\;, \tag{5.10}$$

with, due to $(1-(\cos(\pi Q)\hat{\otimes}\epsilon)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sin(\pi Q)\hat{\otimes}1$ resulting from the positivity of Q,

$$F' \;=\; -(\cos(\pi Q)\hat{\otimes}\epsilon)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}1 \,+\, \big((\sin(\pi Q)\hat{\otimes}1)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}1\big)G$$

and

$$G \;=\; \Psi_2^*(1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F_{\mathcal{B}})\Psi_2\;,$$

as given in Proposition 5.20. The input here is $F_{\mathcal{B}} = H_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\sigma_2$ together with the isomorphism

$$\Psi_2 \,:\, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^2) \,\to\, \mathcal{H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^2) \,\cong\, (\mathcal{H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^2$$

described in Example 3.58 with $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ replaced by $\mathcal{H}$. Next we apply the isomorphism Ψ_2 on the Kasparov cycle (5.10) and transform it into $((\mathcal{H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^2, s, \Psi_2F'\Psi_2^*)$. By using

$$\Psi_2\big((Q\hat{\otimes}\xi)\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}1\big)\Psi_2^* \;=\; \Pi(Q)\hat{\otimes}\sigma(\xi) \;\in\; \mathbb{B}\big((\mathcal{H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^2\big)\;,$$

and the definition of σ, together with the notation $\tilde{Q} = \Pi(Q)$ and $\tilde{P} = 1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}P$, one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_2F'\Psi_2^* \;&=\; -\cos(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}\sigma_1 \,+\, \big(\sin(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}1\big)\,\big((2\tilde{P}-1)\hat{\otimes}\sigma_2\big)\\
&=\; \big(-\cos(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}\sigma_1+\sin(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}\sigma_2\big)\tilde{P}\hat{\otimes}1 \,-\, \big(\cos(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}\sigma_1+\sin(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}\sigma_2\big)(1-\tilde{P})\hat{\otimes}1\\
&=\; \big(-\cos(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}\sigma_1+\sin(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}\sigma_2\big)\tilde{P}\hat{\otimes}1 \,+\, \big(-\cos(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}1+\imath\sin(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}\sigma_3\big)(1-\tilde{P})\hat{\otimes}\sigma_1\\
&=\; \big(-\cos(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}1+\imath\sin(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}\sigma_3\big)\,\Big[\cos(2\pi\tilde{Q})\tilde{P}\hat{\otimes}\sigma_1-\sin(2\pi\tilde{Q})\tilde{P}\hat{\otimes}\sigma_2 \,+\, (1-\tilde{P})\hat{\otimes}\sigma_1\Big]\;.
\end{aligned}$$

Now $-\cos(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}1+\imath\sin(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}\sigma_3$ is unitary and homotopic to the identity by the path obtained by replacing $\tilde{Q}$ by $\tilde{Q}(t) = (1-t)\tilde{Q}-t$. It can be easily checked that $\Psi_2F'\Psi_2^*(t)$ respects all properties in Definition 5.1. Hence the Kasparov $(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{B})$-cycle $(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^2, s, F'')$ with

$$F'' \;=\; \big(-\cos(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}1+\imath\sin(\pi\tilde{Q})\hat{\otimes}\sigma_3\big)^*\Psi_2F'\Psi_2^* \;=\; \cos(2\pi\tilde{Q})\tilde{P}\hat{\otimes}\sigma_1-\sin(2\pi\tilde{Q})\tilde{P}\hat{\otimes}\sigma_2 \,+\, (1-\tilde{P})\hat{\otimes}\sigma_1$$

defines the same Kasparov class. Since $U = e^{-\imath 2\pi Q}$, we arrive at

$$F'' \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Pi(U^*)(1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}P)+1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}(1-P)\\ \Pi(U)(1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}P)+1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}(1-P) & 0\end{pmatrix}\;,$$

Lastly, recall that $1-U\in\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $[\pi(a),P]\in\mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ for all $a\in\mathcal{A}$, therefore $[\Pi(U),(1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}P)]$ is compact and the statement now follows. □

5.3.3 The product $K_0(\mathcal{A})\times KK^1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$

Proposition 5.30 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be ungraded unital C*-algebras. Then the Kasparov product*

$$K_0(\mathcal{A}) \;\times\; KK^1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \;\to\; KK^1(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{B}) \simeq K_1(\mathcal{B})$$

is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
[P]_0 \;\times\; \Big[\big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, \pi\hat{\otimes}1, H_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\Big] \;&=\; \Big[\big((\Pi(P)\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, s\hat{\otimes}1, \Pi(P)(1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}H_{\mathcal{B}})\Pi(P)\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\Big]\\
&\simeq\; \big[e^{-\imath\pi\Pi(P)(1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}H_{\mathcal{B}})\Pi(P)}\big]_1\;,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

with $\Pi : \mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}) \to \mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}})$ as in Example 3.59.

Proof. The direct application of Proposition 5.28 shows that the product on the l.h.s. of (5.11) is equal to

$$\Big[\big(\Pi'(P)\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1), s, \Pi'(P)(1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}H_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\epsilon)\Pi'(P)\big)\Big] ,$$

where $\Pi' : \mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}) \to \mathbb{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1))$ as in Example 3.59. But $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1) \cong (\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}E_{\mathcal{B}})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1$ and under this identification $\Pi'(P) \cong \Pi(P)\hat{\otimes}1$ from which the first claim follows. The second isomorphism follows from Theorem 5.15. □

5.3.4 The product $K_1(\mathcal{A}) \times KK^0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$

Proposition 5.31 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be ungraded unital C^*-algebras. Then the Kasparov product*

$$K_1(\mathcal{A}) \times KK^0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \to KK^1(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}) \cong KK^0(\mathbb{C}_1, \mathcal{B}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}(2))$$

is of the form

$$\big[U\big]_1 \times \Big[\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}, \pi \oplus \pi, F_{\mathcal{B}}\big)\Big] = \Big[\big((\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}(4), \eta, F\big)\Big] , \tag{5.12}$$

where η is a representation of $\mathbb{C}_1$, the grading on $\mathbb{C}(4)$ is $\mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma_3\otimes 1}$, and

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V^* \\ V & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \qquad V = P\begin{pmatrix} \Pi(U) & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi(U)^* \end{pmatrix} P + (1-P) ,$$

with $P = \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes F_{\mathcal{B}} + 1)$ and $\Pi : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ is the ungraded version of the canonical homomorphism from Example 3.59.

Proof. Exactly as in the first part of the proof of Proposition 5.29 we begin by representing the class in $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ specified by $U \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ with $U - 1 \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$, by the class of a Kasparov $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1)$-cycle $\Big[\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, s, H_{\mathcal{A}}\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\Big]$ where $H_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a symmetry modulo compact operators with $U = e^{-\imath\pi H_{\mathcal{A}}}$ and $\|H_{\mathcal{A}}\| \leq 1$. Defining a projection modulo compacts Q by $H_{\mathcal{A}} = -\cos(\pi Q)$, we then obtained that $[U]_1 \in K_1(\mathcal{A})$ is represented by a Kasparov $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{A}_{(1)})$-cycle $\Big[\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}, s, -\cos(\pi Q)\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\Big]$. For the computation of the Kasparov product, we will use the isomorphism $KK^0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \cong KK^0(\mathcal{A}_{(1)}, \mathcal{B}_{(1)})$ given

$$\big(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}, \pi \oplus \pi, F_{\mathcal{B}}\big) \mapsto \big(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, (\pi \oplus \pi)\,\hat{\otimes}\,\mu, F_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}1\big) , \tag{5.13}$$

where μ is the left multiplication with $\mathbb{C}_1$. Note that the grading on the module $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1$ is given by $\sigma_3 \otimes \gamma_{\mathbb{C}_1}$. Now the product

$$\Big[\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}, s, -\cos(\pi Q)\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\Big] \times \Big[\big(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, \pi \oplus \pi\,\hat{\otimes}\,\mu, F_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}1\big)\Big]$$

can be calculated using Propositions 5.25 and 5.20 and is equal to

$$\Big[\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, s, F'\big)\Big] ,$$

where

$$F' = -\cos(\pi Q)\,\hat{\otimes}\,\epsilon\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}1 + (\sin(\pi Q)\,\hat{\otimes}1\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}1)(\Psi^{-1}\,1\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\,1\,\Psi) ,$$

with graded isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1 \to \mathcal{H}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1$ given by

$$\Psi(\psi\,a\,\hat{\otimes}\,\xi\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}\phi\,\hat{\otimes}\,\eta) = \psi\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi \oplus \pi)(a)\phi\,\hat{\otimes}\,\mu(\xi)\eta ,$$

where $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}_1$, $\phi \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Next let us introduce $\tilde{Q} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ by $\tilde{Q}\hat{\otimes}1 = \Psi(Q\,\hat{\otimes}1\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}_{(1)}}1)\Psi^{-1} = 1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi\oplus\pi)(Q)$. Then we have

$$\Psi F'\Psi^{-1} \;=\; -\cos(\pi\tilde{Q})\,\hat{\otimes}\,\mu(\epsilon) \;+\; (\sin(\pi\tilde{Q})\,\hat{\otimes}\,1)(1\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}1)\;.$$

Hence the product is given by

$$\Big[\big(\mathcal{H}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, s, -\cos(\pi\tilde{Q})\,\hat{\otimes}\,\mu(\epsilon) \;+\; (\sin(\pi\tilde{Q})\,\hat{\otimes}\,1)(1\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F_{\mathcal{B}}\,\hat{\otimes}\,1)\big)\Big] \;\in\; KK^0(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1)\;.$$

Let us note that the grading is given by $1\hat{\otimes}\sigma_3\hat{\otimes}\gamma_{\mathbb{C}_1}$. In order to read out the result, let us use again the equivalent of the isomorphism (5.13). Then the product is of the form

$$\Big[\big(\mathcal{H}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, s\hat{\otimes}\mu, -\cos(\pi\tilde{Q})\,\hat{\otimes}\,\mu(\epsilon)\,\hat{\otimes}\,1 \;+\; (\sin(\pi\tilde{Q})\,\hat{\otimes}\,1\,\hat{\otimes}\,1)(1\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F_{\mathcal{B}}\,\hat{\otimes}\,1\,\hat{\otimes}\,1)\big)\Big]\;,$$

in $KK^0(\mathbb{C}_1, \mathcal{B}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1)$ with grading given by $1\hat{\otimes}\sigma_3\hat{\otimes}\gamma_{\mathbb{C}_1}\hat{\otimes}\gamma_{\mathbb{C}_1}$. Let us note that the compact commutator condition for the representation of $\mathbb{C}_1$ is trivially satisfied, so that we will not take care of it in the following. Finally let us apply the isomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{C}_1\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1 \to \mathbb{C}(2)$ from Example 3.14. Let us denote $\eta = s\hat{\otimes}\varphi(1\hat{\otimes}\mu)\varphi^{-1}$ the left representation of $\mathbb{C}_1$ (which can be calculated explicitly, namely $\eta(\epsilon)$ is the right multiplication by σ_1). Further one can check that $\varphi(\mu(\epsilon)\hat{\otimes}1)\varphi^{-1} = \sigma_2$ (left multiplication by σ_2). Therefore the product is given by

$$\Big[\big(\mathcal{H}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}(2), \eta, -\cos(\pi\tilde{Q})\,\hat{\otimes}\,\sigma_2 \;+\; (\sin(\pi\tilde{Q})\,\hat{\otimes}\,1)(1\,\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F_{\mathcal{B}}\,\hat{\otimes}\,1)\big)\Big] \;\in\; KK^0(\mathbb{C}_1, \mathcal{B}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}(2))\;,$$

with grading $1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\sigma_3\hat{\otimes}\mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma_3}$, *cf.* Example 3.14. Next we can decompose $\mathcal{H}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}(2) = \big((\mathcal{H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})\oplus(\mathcal{H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})\big)\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}(2)$ as well as $\tilde{Q} = \hat{Q}\oplus\hat{Q}$ with $\hat{Q} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$ given as in the statement of the proposition. Now the product with values in $KK^0(\mathbb{C}_1, \mathcal{B}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}(2))$ becomes

$$\Big[\big(\big((\mathcal{H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})\oplus(\mathcal{H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})\big)\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}(2), \eta, \begin{pmatrix} -\cos(\pi\hat{Q})\,\hat{\otimes}\,\sigma_2 & (\sin(\pi\hat{Q})\,\hat{\otimes}\,1)(1\hat{\otimes}T^*\hat{\otimes}1) \\ (\sin(\pi\hat{Q})\,\hat{\otimes}\,1)(1\hat{\otimes}T\hat{\otimes}1) & -\cos(\pi\hat{Q})\,\hat{\otimes}\,\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}\big)\Big]\;,$$

where $F_{\mathcal{B}} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & T^* \\ T & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The grading is here $\mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma_3}\hat{\otimes}\mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma_3} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma_3\hat{\otimes}\sigma_3}$. Identifying $\mathbb{C}(2)\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}(2)$ with $\mathbb{C}(4)$, the product takes the form

$$\Big[\Big((\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}(4), \eta, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \imath\cos(\pi\hat{Q}) & \sin(\pi\hat{Q})\,1\otimes T^* & 0 \\ -\imath\cos(\pi\hat{Q}) & 0 & 0 & \sin(\pi\hat{Q})\,1\otimes T^* \\ \sin(\pi\hat{Q})\,1\otimes T & 0 & 0 & \imath\cos(\pi\hat{Q}) \\ 0 & \sin(\pi\hat{Q})\,1\otimes T & -\imath\cos(\pi\hat{Q}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}\Big)\Big]\;,$$

The grading is here implemented by $\mathrm{diag}(1,-1,-1,1) = \sigma_3\otimes\sigma_3$. Exchanging multiple rows and columns (implemented by a cyclic right shift) brings the grading into the desired form given by $\mathrm{diag}(1,1,-1,-1) = \sigma_3\otimes 1$, and the module becomes

$$\Big[\Big((\mathcal{H}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}(4), \eta', \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V'' \\ V' & 0 \end{pmatrix}\Big)\Big]\;,$$

where η' is the modified representation of $\mathbb{C}_1$,

$$V' \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} -\imath\cos(\pi\hat{Q}) & \sin(\pi\hat{Q})\,1\otimes T^* \\ \sin(\pi\hat{Q})\,1\otimes T & \imath\cos(\pi\hat{Q}) \end{pmatrix} \;=\; -\imath\cos(\pi\hat{Q})\otimes\sigma_3 \;+\; (\sin(\pi\hat{Q})\otimes 1)(1\otimes F_{\mathcal{B}})\;,$$

and similarly $V'' = \imath\cos(\pi\hat{Q})\otimes\sigma_3 \;+\; (\sin(\pi\hat{Q})\otimes 1)(1\otimes F_{\mathcal{B}})$. Note that at this points no gradings are involved any longer in V' and V''. Furthermore, $V'' = (V')^*$ modulo compacts. We can now

homotopically deform V' and V'' modulo compacts. This is done by an argument analogous to the last part of the proof of Proposition 5.29. Write $1 \otimes F_{\mathcal{B}} = P - (1-P)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V' &= -\imath\cos(\pi\hat{Q}) \otimes \sigma_3(P + (1-P)) \; + \; \sin(\pi\hat{Q}) \otimes 1(P - (1-P)) \\ &= \big(-\imath\cos(\pi\hat{Q}) \otimes \sigma_3 + \sin(\pi\hat{Q}) \otimes 1\big)P \; + \; \big(-\imath\cos(\pi\hat{Q}) \otimes \sigma_3 - \sin(\pi\hat{Q}) \otimes 1\big)(1-P) \\ &= \big(-\imath\cos(\pi\hat{Q}) \otimes \sigma_3 - \sin(\pi\hat{Q}) \otimes 1\big) \Big[\big(\cos(2\pi\hat{Q}) \otimes 1 + \imath\sin(2\pi\hat{Q}) \otimes \sigma_3\big)P + (1-P) \Big] \\ &= \big(-\imath\cos(\pi\hat{Q}) \otimes \sigma_3 - \sin(\pi\hat{Q}) \otimes 1\big) \left[\begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & U^* \end{pmatrix} P + (1-P) \right] . \end{aligned}$$

Hence V' is homotopic to the last bracket, and as P and U commute up to compacts, the result now follows. □

6 The Generalized Connes-Chern characters

6.1 A look back at the classical Connes-Chern characters

This section briefly discusses the classical Chern characters from Connes' work in non-commutative geometry. This serves several purposes. Firstly, we will show more concretely how the Connes-Chern character fits into Kasparov's K-theory. As such, it will be a source of further examples of non-trivial Kasparov cycles and will provide an opportunity to see the Kasparov product at work, even though in a restricted setting. Secondly, it introduces the structures which we plan to generalize together with several properties which are to be expected from these generalizations.

6.1.1 The even Connes-Chern character

Definition 6.1 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a trivially graded C^*-algebra. Then an even Fredholm module over $\mathcal{A}$ is a normalized graded Kasparov $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$-cycle $(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}, \pi, F)$, cf. Definition 5.3.*

Remark 6.2 As noted in Remark 5.7, to represent the classes of KK^0-groups one can always choose $F^* = F$, but the requirement $F^2 = 1$ is special. The quantized calculus developed by Connes depends on it in an essential way. The following statement, reproduced from Connes' book [24], is classic in Atyiah's index theory on manifolds [1] and it was already recognized by Kasparov in a broader context [38]. ◇

Proposition 6.3 ([24] pp. 289) *Let us consider the classical characterization of the K_0-group of a unital and ungraded C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, and let $[P]_0 \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$ be such that $P \in \mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{A})$. Let $(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}, \pi, F)$ be an even Fredholm module over $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathbb{C}^N \hat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}, \mathrm{id}\hat{\otimes}\pi, 1\hat{\otimes}F)$ be its straightforward extension over $\mathbb{C}(N)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$, where $\mathbb{C}^N$ is with the trivial grading. Then $(\mathrm{id}\hat{\otimes}\pi)(P)(1\hat{\otimes}F)(\mathrm{id}\hat{\otimes}\pi)(P)$ is a Fredholm operator on $\mathbb{C}^N\hat{\otimes}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$, in the classical sense, and there exists the group morphism from $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ to $\mathbb{Z}$ given by:*

$$\Big\langle \big[P\big]_0, \big(\widehat{H}, \pi, F\big) \Big\rangle \; = \; \mathrm{Ind}\Big((\mathrm{id}\hat{\otimes}\pi)(P)(1\hat{\otimes}F)(\mathrm{id}\hat{\otimes}\pi)(P) \Big) \; , \tag{6.1}$$

where Ind *on the r.h.s. is the classical Fredholm index. Furthermore, the map in* (6.1) *depends only on the class of $(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}, \pi, F)$ in $KK^0(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$.*

Remark 6.4 It is not difficult to see that in fact the pairing in (6.1) connects to a Kasparov product. Indeed, all projectors from $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ are finite rank hence are like P in the above statement. Then the classes of K_0-group can be characterized by Kasparov cycles $\big(P\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}, s, 0\big)$, *cf.* Remark 5.10, and from Section 5.3.1,

$$\Big[\big(P\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}, s, 0\big)\Big] \times \Big[\big(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}, \pi, F\big)\Big] \; = \; \Big[\Big(\Pi(P)(\mathcal{H}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}), s, \Pi(P)(1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F)\Pi(P)\Big)\Big].$$

On the righthand side, we can replace $\mathcal{H}$ by $\mathbb{C}^N$ and the Fredholm operator becomes identical with the one in (6.1). ◇

Remark 6.5 Let us recall that for a homogeneous Fredholm operator of degree 1, only half of the operator enters in the index (see Remark 4.19). If one now interprets the pairing in (6.1) as an analytic index (as in [40]), Then the next natural step is to search for the corresponding geometric index, which can be indeed defined at least for the special classes of Fredholm modules introduced next. ◇

Definition 6.6 (Smooth subalgebra, [65]) *A $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ of a unital C*-algebra is called smooth if it is a Frechet sub-algebra which is stable w.r.t. the holomorphic functional calculus.*

Remark 6.7 Smooth sub-algebras have the same K-theory as their parent C*-algebra, see section 4.3 in [40]. ◇

Definition 6.8 *An even Fredholm module $(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}, \pi, F)$ is said to be n-summable over a smooth sub-algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ if $[F, \pi(a)]^n$ is trace class for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Definition 6.9 (Classic even Connes-Chern character, [24]) *The even Connes-Chern character $\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{even}}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}, \pi, F)$ of a n-summable even Fredholm module over a smooth sub-algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is defined as the class of the following cocycles in the periodic cyclic cohomology $HP_{\mathrm{even}}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$,*

$$\tau_{2m}(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{2m}) \;=\; \Gamma_{2m}\, \mathrm{Tr}\big(SF[F, \pi(a_0)] \cdots [F, \pi(a_{2m})]\big)\;, \tag{6.2}$$

Here $2m + 1 \geq n$ and $\Gamma_{2m} = \frac{(-1)^m m!}{2}$.

Remark 6.10 Note that the summability condition is needed precisely to assure that the operator inside the trace belongs to first Schatten class. Note also that, technically the commutators appearing above are the graded commutators but, since the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is trivially graded, all $\pi(a_i)$ have graded degree 0 and the commutator reduces to the ordinary one. ◇

Remark 6.11 A cyclic cocycle τ can be extended over the stabilization $\mathbb{K} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ using the cup product $\mathrm{tr}\#\tau$ with the standard trace over $\mathbb{K}$, see [24] p. 191. This will be routinely assumed in the following, even though we keep the notation unchanged. ◇

Theorem 6.12 ([24] p. 296) *The following index theorem holds:*

$$\mathrm{Ind}\Big((\mathrm{id}\hat{\otimes}\pi)(P)(1\hat{\otimes}F)(\mathrm{id}\hat{\otimes}\pi)(P)\Big) \;=\; \Big\langle \mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{even}}(\mathcal{H}, \pi, F), \mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{even}}(P)\Big\rangle\;, \tag{6.3}$$

where $\mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{even}}$ is the Connes-Chern character in periodic K-homology $HP^{\mathrm{even}}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$. More explicitly,

$$\mathrm{Ind}\Big((\mathrm{id}\hat{\otimes}\pi)(P)(1\hat{\otimes}F)(\mathrm{id}\hat{\otimes}\pi)(P)\Big) \;=\; \Lambda_{2m}\, \tau_{2m}(P, \ldots, P)\;, \tag{6.4}$$

where $\Lambda_{2m} = \frac{1}{m!}$.

Remark 6.13 From the point of view of index theory, one interpretes (6.3) as the equality between an analytic and a geometric index. Hence, (6.3) tells us that geometric index is provided precisely by the pairing of the even Connes-Chern character with K_0-theory. ◇

6.1.2 The odd Connes-Chern character

Definition 6.14 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a trivially graded C*-algebra. An odd Fredholm module over $\mathcal{A}$ is a normalized Kasparov $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}_1)$-cycle. Given Proposition 5.13, the data for such a module is encoded in an ungraded Kasparov cycles $(\mathcal{H}, \pi, H)$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is the ungraded Hilbert space and $H = H^*$ is a symmetry, while the actual cycle is $(\mathcal{H}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, \pi\hat{\otimes}1, H\hat{\otimes}\epsilon)$.*

Proposition 6.15 ([24] pp. 289) *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi, H)$ be an odd Fredholm module over a unital and ungraded C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, and let $\big[U\big]_1 \in K_1(\mathcal{A})$ be such that $U \in \mathcal{U}_N(\mathcal{A})$. Let $(\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathcal{H}, \mathrm{id} \otimes \pi, 1 \otimes H)$ be the straightforward extension of the module over $\mathbb{C}(N) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ and set $P = \frac{1}{2}(1+H)$. Then the operator $(1 \otimes P)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi)(U)(1 \otimes P)$ is Fredholm in the classical sense and there exists the group morphism from $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ to $\mathbb{Z}$ given by*

$$\Big\langle \big[U\big]_1, (\mathcal{H}, \pi, H) \Big\rangle \;=\; \mathrm{Ind}\Big((1 \otimes P)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi)(U)(1 \otimes P)\Big) \,. \tag{6.5}$$

Furthermore, the map in (6.5) depends only on the class of $(\mathcal{H}, \pi, H)$ in $KK^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$.

Remark 6.16 As in the even case, the map (6.5) can be connected to the Kasparov product. Indeed, according to Theorem 5.15, the class of U can be represented by the Kasparov cycle $(\mathcal{H}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, s, T_U\hat{\otimes}\epsilon)$, with $U = e^{-i\pi T_U}$ and

$$\Big[(\mathcal{H}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, s, T_U\hat{\otimes}\epsilon)\Big] \times \Big[(\mathcal{H}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, \pi\hat{\otimes}1, H\hat{\otimes}\epsilon)\Big] \;=\; \mathrm{Ind}\Big((1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} P)\Pi(U)(1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} P) + 1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (1-P)\Big)$$

as already seen in Section 5.3.2. When $U \in \mathcal{U}_N(\mathcal{A})$, the last operator can be easily seen to be identical with the Fredholm operator appearing in (6.5). ⋄

Definition 6.17 *An odd Fredholm module $(\mathcal{H}, \pi, H)$ is said to be n-summable over a smooth subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ if $[H, \pi(a)]^n$ is trace class for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Definition 6.18 (Odd classic Connes-Chern character, [24]) *The odd Connes-Chern character $\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{odd}}(\mathcal{H}, \pi, H)$ of a n-summable odd Fredholm module over a smooth sub-algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is defined as the class of the following cocycles in the periodic cyclic cohomology $HP_{\mathrm{odd}}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$,*

$$\tau_{2m-1}(a_0, \ldots, a_{2m+1}) \;=\; \Gamma_{2m-1}\, \mathrm{Tr}\big(H[H, \pi(a_0)] \cdots [H, \pi(a_{2m-1})]\big) \,, \tag{6.6}$$

where $2m \geq n$ and $\Gamma_{2m-1} = 2(-1)^m(m - \frac{1}{2})!$ with $(m - \frac{1}{2})! = (m - \frac{1}{2}) \cdots \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 6.19 ([24], p. 296) *The following index theorem holds:*

$$\mathrm{Ind}\Big((1 \otimes P)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi)(U)(1 \otimes P)\Big) \;=\; \Big\langle \mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{odd}}(\mathcal{H}, \pi, H), \mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{odd}}(U) \Big\rangle \,,$$

where $\mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{odd}}$ is the odd Connes-Chern character in periodic K-homology $HP^{\mathrm{odd}}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$. More explicitly,

$$\mathrm{Ind}\Big((1 \otimes P)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi)(U)(1 \otimes P)\Big) \;=\; \Lambda_{2m-1}\, \tau_{2m-1}(U^* - 1, U - 1, \ldots, U^* - 1, U - 1) \,,$$

where $\Lambda_{2m-1} = \frac{1}{2^{m-1}(2m-1)!!}$.

6.1.3 Final remarks and a look ahead

After the introduction of the quantized calculus in his book, Connes presents [24, p. 298] a diagram which captures very well the core of the computations. We reproduce it here in a slightly modified version, essentially as given by Khalkhali [40, p. 184]:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
K_*(\mathcal{A}) & \times KK^*(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Kasparov product}} & K_0(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}} & \mathbb{Z} \\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Ch}^*} & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Ch}_*} & & & & \Big\downarrow \\
HP^*(\mathcal{A}) & \times \; HP_*(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Connes' pairing}} & & & \mathbb{C}
\end{array}$$

Here it is understood that only the class of finitely-summable Fredholm modules is considered. When this diagram is paired with the local formula of Connes and Moscovici for the Connes-Chern characters [25], the significance of the above diagram becomes more revealing, especially in the context of Kasparov's K-theory. On the one hand, the quantized calculus generates cyclic cocycles which pair integrally with K-theory and, on the other hand, it provides a concrete way to evaluate Kasparov products.

One straightforward generalization of the above diagram is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} KK^*(\mathbb{C},\mathcal{A})\times KK^*(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Kasparov product}} & K_0(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} & \mathbb{C} \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{Ch}^*} \quad \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{Ch}_*} & & & & \Big\downarrow \\ HP^*(\mathcal{A}) \quad \times \quad HP_*(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Connes' pairing}} & & & \mathbb{C} \end{array} \tag{6.7}$$

where the canonical trace appearing in the upper line will be introduced in the following sections and the map Ch_* depends on $\mathcal{B}$. Diagram (6.7) and the program associated with it becomes particularly helpful if it can be completed for separable C*-algebras. Indeed, then the calculus is still quantized in the sense that the final numerical invariant obtained by pairing $K_0(\mathcal{B})$ with the trace lies in a discrete subgroup of the real axis. The main goal of our work is to show that this whole program is possible, at least for crossed product algebras by $\mathbb{Z}^k$.

6.2 Generalized Calderón-Fedosov principle and formula

The equality between the analytic and geometric indices in Theorems 6.12 and 6.19 can be established by using the Calderón-Fedosov principle and formula [17, 27] for ordinary Fredholm operators. A particularly insightful and detailed account of this aspect can be found in [40]. The goal of this section is to develop an equivalent principle and formula for generalized Fredholm operators.

6.2.1 The canonically induced trace

Most of the statements of this section are reproduced from [43]. Let $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ be a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module and assume that the C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is unital and equipped with a faithful, continuous and normalized trace $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Then this trace can be promoted to a unique lower-semicontinuous, densely defined trace Tr over $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ such that

$$\text{Tr}\big(\Theta_{\psi,\psi'}\big) \;=\; \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(\langle\psi',\psi\rangle)\;, \qquad \psi,\psi'\in E_{\mathcal{B}}\;.$$

This trace Tr appears in the upper line of (6.7) where elements of $K_0(\mathcal{B})$ are, as in Proposition 4.4, seen as finite rank projections in $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$. Since Tr plays a central role later on, let us state its full characterization.

Theorem 6.20 ([43]) *Let $\mathbb{B}_+(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ be the positive cone of the C*-algebra $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$, i.e. the set of the positive elements of $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$. Set*

$$\text{Tr}(T) \;=\; \sup\Big\{\sum_{\psi\in I}\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\big(\langle\psi,T\psi\rangle\big)\;:\;\sum_{\psi\in I}\Theta_{\psi,\psi}\leq 1,\; I \text{ finite subset of } E_{\mathcal{B}}\Big\}\;, \tag{6.8}$$

for any $T\in\mathbb{B}_+(E_{\mathcal{B}})$. Then the following statements hold over $\mathbb{B}_+(E_{\mathcal{B}})$:

(i) Tr *is a strictly lower semicontinuous map. Moreover,*

$$\liminf_k \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\big(\langle\psi,T_k\psi\rangle\big)\;\geq\;\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\big(\langle\psi,T\psi\rangle\big)\;\;\forall\,\psi\in E_{\mathcal{B}}\quad\Longrightarrow\quad\liminf_k \text{Tr}\big(T_k\big)\;\geq\;\text{Tr}\big(T\big)\;.$$

(ii) *If* $\{P_N\}_{N\geq 1}$ *is an approximate unit in* $\mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ *as in* (4.4)*, then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(T) \;=\; \lim_{N\to\infty} \mathrm{Tr}(P_N T P_N)\;.$$

Definition 6.21 *The following sub-spaces of* $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ *will play a central role in the following:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{S}_1^+(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \;&=\; \{T\in\mathbb{B}_+(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \;:\; \mathrm{Tr}(T)<\infty\}\;,\\
\mathbb{S}_2(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \;&=\; \{T\in\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \;:\; \mathrm{Tr}(T^*T)<\infty\}\;,\\
\mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \;&=\; \mathrm{span}\big(\mathbb{S}_1^+(E_{\mathcal{B}})\big) \;=\; \mathbb{S}_2(E_{\mathcal{B}})^*\,\mathbb{S}_2(E_{\mathcal{B}})\;.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.22 We refrained from calling these operator spaces the trace-class spaces because the latter are rather defined by the completion of the above spaces in the appropriate Schatten norms induced by Tr. Unlike the case of ordinary Hilbert spaces, these completions can be vastly larger than $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$. It is important to note that the computations below require at no point to appeal to these closures. Staying inside $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is one of the major differences between our derivation of the local formula for the generalized Connes-Chern characters and the ones obtained with the lower-semicontinuous spectral triples [18]. ⋄

Theorem 6.23 ([43]) *In the above settings:*

(i) Tr *can be extended to a positive linear functional on* $\mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}})$*.*

(ii) *For every pair* $\psi,\psi'\in\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}$*, we have* $\Theta_{\psi,\psi'}\in\mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ *and*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\Theta_{\psi,\psi'}) \;=\; \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\big(\langle\psi',\psi\rangle\big)\;.$$

(iii) Tr *is a semifinite trace over the* C^**-algebra* $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$*, namely each projection in* $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ *can be approximated by an increasing net of projections with finite trace.*

(iv) $\mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ *and* $\mathbb{S}_2(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ *are two-sided ideals in* $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$*. Moreover,* $\mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ *is an essential ideal and, if* $S,T\in\mathbb{S}_2(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ *or if* $S\in\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ *and* $T\in\mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}})$*, then* $\mathrm{Tr}(ST)=\mathrm{Tr}(TS)$*.*

Remark 6.24 When $\mathcal{B}=\mathbb{C}$, the inclusions $\mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}})\subset\mathbb{S}_2(E_{\mathcal{B}})\subset\mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ hold, but this is no longer true in general. We are thankful to Sergey Neshveyev for confirming this statement. ⋄

Proposition 6.25 *The trace* Tr *induces a pairing* $\mathrm{Tr}:K_0(\mathcal{B})\to\mathbb{R}$ *via*

$$\mathrm{Tr}([P]-[Q]) \;=\; \mathrm{Tr}(P)\;-\;\mathrm{Tr}(Q)\;.$$

If $\mathcal{B}$ *is separable, the pairing takes values in a discrete subgroup of* $\mathbb{R}$*.*

Proof. Note that the canonical trace is invariant under isomorphisms of Hilbert C*-modules (which are necessarily isometric, see Definition 3.19). Hence, we can fix the Hilbert C*-module to be $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Recall from Proposition 4.5 that both P and Q are finite rank so that $\mathrm{Tr}(P)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(Q)$ are finite. Moreover, if $P\sim P'$ are homotopic in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$, then there exists a unitary $U\in\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})^\sim$ such that $P'=UPU^*$ and hence $\mathrm{Tr}(P')=\mathrm{Tr}(P)$ by Theorem 6.23(iv). As a similar statement holds for Q, the first claim follows. The second is a consequence of the countability of $K_0(\mathcal{B})$ for separable $\mathcal{B}$. □

6.2.2 Generalized Calderón-Fedosov formula

The first formulation is for operators on the standard Hilbert module $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Theorem 6.26 (Generic Calderón-Fedosov formula) *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an ungraded unital C^*-algebra equipped with a continuous normalized trace and let $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ with $\|T\| \leq 1$. If there exists a natural number n such that*

$$(1 - T^*T)^n \,,\ (1 - TT^*)^n \ \in\ \mathbb{S}_1(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}) \cap \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}) \,, \tag{6.9}$$

then $T \in \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ and the trace of its generalized Fredholm index can be computed by the formula

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{Ind}(T)\Big) \ =\ \mathrm{Tr}\Big((1 - T^*T)^n\Big) \ -\ \mathrm{Tr}\Big((1 - TT^*)^n\Big) \,. \tag{6.10}$$

Proof. (i) Assume that $(1 - T^*T)^n$ belongs to $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$. Taking $m > n$ of the form $m = 2^k$, then $(1 - T^*T)^m$ is again in $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$. One can then apply successively the square root until reaching $1 - T^*T$. The latter is necessarily compact due to the following generic argument. Suppose $G \geq 0$ and $G \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$. Then its square root can be defined by the ordinary continuous functional calculus and, moreover,

$$\sqrt{G} \ =\ \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \big(\epsilon + \sqrt{G}\big)^{-1} G \,,$$

where the limit is in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$. Since $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ is an ideal, the operators inside the limit belong to $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ and, since $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ is closed, the limit is in $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$. A k-fold application of this fact shows that $1 - TT^* \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$ and similarly for $1 - T^*T$. Then $\mathrm{ev}(T)$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$, with inverse given by $\mathrm{ev}(T^*)$, hence T is a generalized Fredholm operator.

(ii) Let us assume for the beginning that T accepts a polar decomposition $T = W|T|$. The reader is encouraged to read through Chapters 15 and 17 of [71], which explain well why not all adjointable operators have a polar decomposition. Recall that $|T| = \sqrt{T^*T}$ and

$$W^*W|T| \ =\ |T|W^*W \ =\ |T| \,, \qquad W \ =\ WW^*W \,, \qquad W^* \ =\ W^*WW^* \,.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - TT^* \ &=\ 1 - WW^* + W(1 - |T|^2)W^* \,, \\ (1 - WW^*)\big(W(1 - |T|^2)W^*\big) \ &=\ 0 \,, \\ \big(W(1 - |T|^2)W^*\big)(1 - WW^*) \ &=\ 0 \,. \end{aligned}$$

Writing out the power, one deduces

$$(1 - TT^*)^n \ =\ 1 - WW^* \ +\ W(1 - |T|^2)^n W^* \,. \tag{6.11}$$

Using again $W^*W|T| = |T|$, it also follows that

$$W^*W(1 - |T|^2)^n \ =\ W^*W - 1 \ +\ (1 - |T|^2)^n \ =\ -(1 - W^*W) \ +\ (1 - T^*T)^n \,.$$

As both summands are in $\mathbb{S}_1(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$, this together with the cyclicity of the trace and (6.11) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}\big((1 - T^*T)^n\big) \ -\ \mathrm{Tr}\big((1 - TT^*)^n\big) \ &=\ \mathrm{Tr}(1 - W^*W) \ +\ \mathrm{Tr}\big(W^*W(1 - T^*T)^n\big) \ -\ \mathrm{Tr}\big((1 - TT^*)^n\big) \\ &=\ \mathrm{Tr}(1 - W^*W) \ +\ \mathrm{Tr}\big(W(1 - T^*T)^n W^*\big) \ -\ \mathrm{Tr}\big((1 - TT^*)^n\big) \\ &=\ \mathrm{Tr}(1 - W^*W) - \mathrm{Tr}(1 - WW^*). \end{aligned}$$

The affirmation is thus proved for the particular case when T accepts a polar decomposition.

For the generic case, note that we already established that T is unitary modulo $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$. Then Lemma 7.4 of [58] applies which, as noted in [46], extends to the present context. It assures that $T(1 - P_N)$ accepts the polar decomposition provided N is large enough, where P_N is the approximation of unity defined in (4.4). Then $T(1 - P_N)$ is a compact perturbation of T with a polar decomposition,

hence (6.10) is already known to hold for $T(1-P_N)$. By definition, the two have the same generalized Fredholm index. Hence

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{Ind}(T)\Big) \;=\; \mathrm{Tr}\big((1-(1-P_N)T^*T(1-P_N)\big) \;-\; \mathrm{Tr}\big((1-T(1-P_N)T^*)^n\big)\;.$$

Using the cyclic property of the trace, one can check directly that all the terms containing P_N cancel out between the two summands so that the last equation reduces to (6.10). □

Remark 6.27 Theorem 6.26 will next be formulated over generic ungraded and graded Hilbert C*-modules. This is useful because it provides a great flexibility for various applications. ◇

Theorem 6.28 (Ungraded Calderón-Fedosov formula) *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a trivially graded unital C*-algebra equipped with a continuous normalized trace and let $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ be a countably generated and trivially graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module. Then Theorem 6.26 holds if $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is replaced by $E_{\mathcal{B}}$.*

Proof. Using the Kasparov stabilization theorem for ungraded Hilbert modules, it follows that $E_{\mathcal{B}} \cong P\,\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$, with P a projection from $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})$. One can follow the arguments in Theorem 6.26, this time taking

$$\widetilde{T} \;=\; (1-P)+PTP \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})\;.$$

Then $(1-T^*T)^n,\ (1-TT^*)^n \in \mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}})\cap\mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is equivalent to

$$(1-\widetilde{T}^*\widetilde{T})^n\;,\ (1-\widetilde{T}\widetilde{T}^*)^n \;\in\; \mathbb{S}_1(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})\cap\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}})\;,$$

and the application of the general Calderón-Fedosov formula gives the desired result. □

Theorem 6.29 (Graded Calderón-Fedosov formula) *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a graded unital C*-algebra equipped with a continuous normalized trace and let $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ be a countably generated Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module graded by S. Without loss of generality, we can assume the existence of a second grading Q over $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ which anti-commutes with S (see Remark 5.6). Let $T\in\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$, $S_\pm=\frac{1}{2}(1\pm S)$ and*

$$T_{-+} \;=\; S_-TS_+\;,\qquad T_{+-} \;=\; S_+T^*S_-\;,\qquad T_{-+}^* \;=\; T_{+-}\;.$$

Then:

(i) *If there exists n such that*

$$(S_+-T_{+-}T_{-+})^n\;,\ (S_--T_{-+}T_{+-})^n \;\in\; \mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}})\cap\mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}})\;, \tag{6.12}$$

then $T_{-+}\in\mathbb{F}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$.

(ii) *Assume that* (6.12) *holds and let* Tr *be the canonical trace over $E_{\mathcal{B}}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{Ind}(T_{-+})\Big) \;=\; \mathrm{Tr}\big((S_+-T_{+-}T_{-+})^n\big) \;-\; \mathrm{Tr}\big((S_--T_{-+}T_{+-})^n\big)\;. \tag{6.13}$$

Proof. Let $E_{\mathcal{B}}=E_{\mathcal{B}}^0\oplus E_{\mathcal{B}}^1$, where $E_{\mathcal{B}}^0\cong E_{\mathcal{B}}^1$ with Q establishing the isomorphism. Let us define

$$\widetilde{T} \;=\; 1-S_- \;+\; T_{-+}Q \;\in\; \mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}}^1)\;.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \;-\; \widetilde{T}^*\widetilde{T} &= 1-\big(1-S_-+Q\,T_{+-}\big)\big(1-S_-+T_{-+}Q\,\big) \\ &=\; S_--QT_{+-}T_{-+}Q \;=\; Q(S_+-T_{+-}T_{-+})Q\;, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$1 \;-\; \widetilde{T}\widetilde{T}^* = 1 - \big(1 - S_- + T_{-+}Q\big)\big(1 - S_- + Q\,T_{+-}\big) \\ = \; S_- - T_{-+}T_{+-} \; .$$

The conclusion is that (6.12) is equivalent to

$$(1 - \widetilde{T}^*\widetilde{T})^n \; , \; (1 - \widetilde{T}\widetilde{T}^*)^n \; \in \; \mathbb{S}_1(E^1_{\mathcal{B}}) \cap \mathbb{K}(E^1_{\mathcal{B}}) \; .$$

Now, recall that $\mathrm{Ind}\big(T\big) = \mathrm{Ind}\big(T_{-+}\big)$ with the later viewed as an operator from $E^0_{\mathcal{B}}$ to $E^1_{\mathcal{B}}$. Then $\mathrm{Ind}\big(T\big) = \mathrm{Ind}\big(T_{-+}Q\big)$ and by applying the Calderón-Fedosov formula for $\widetilde{T}$ from Theorem 6.28,

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{Ind}\big(T\big)\Big) \; = \; \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\big(S_- - T_{+-}T_{+-}\big)^n\Big) \; - \; \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\big(S_+ - T_{+-}T_{+-}\big)^n\Big) \; ,$$

where the cyclic property of the trace was used to cancel out Q. □

6.3 Generalized even Connes-Chern character

Throughout this section, $\mathcal{A}$ is an ungraded unital C*-algebra and $\mathcal{B}$ is a ungraded unital C*-algebra equipped with a continuous normalized trace. Further, Tr denotes the canonical trace over Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-modules from Proposition 6.20. Also, all the constants appearing in the section are as previously defined. The characterization of the analytic index defined by the upper line of the Diagram 6.7 is a direct consequence of the Kasparov product worked out in Proposition 5.28 combined with Proposition 6.25:

Proposition 6.30 *There exists the following group-pairing between $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ and $KK^0(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ with values in a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$:*

$$\Big\langle \big[P\big]_0, \Big[\big(E_{\mathcal{B}},\pi,F\big)\Big]\Big\rangle \; = \; \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\big[P\big]_0 \times \Big[\big(E_{\mathcal{B}},\pi,F\big)\Big]\Big) \; = \; \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{Ind}\big(\Pi(P)(1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}F)\Pi(P)\big)\Big) \; ,$$

where $P \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and and $\Pi : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}\hat{\otimes}E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is the canonical homomorphism from Example 3.59 with $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ replaced by its ungraded version $\mathcal{H}$.

Definition 6.31 (Finitely-summable Kasparov cycles) *A Kasparov cycle $(E_{\mathcal{B}},\pi,F) \in \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ is said to be n-summable over a smooth sub-algebra $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ if, for all $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ and $m \geq n$,*

$$[F,\pi(a_1)]\cdots[F,\pi(a_m)] \; \in \; \mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \; .$$

Remark 6.32 The commutators in Definition 6.31 are all compact, *cf.* Definition 5.1, hence the product actually lands in $\mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \cap \mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ and this ultimately allows to apply the Claderon-Fedosov principle. Note also that, since $\mathcal{A}$ is ungraded, $\pi(a)$ is of graded degree 0, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, hence the graded commutators appearing above and throughout this section reduce to the ordinary commutators. ◇

Definition 6.33 (Even generalized Connes-Chern character) *Let $(E_{\mathcal{B}},\pi,F)$ be a normalized graded Kasparov $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-cycle which is n-summable over the smooth sub-algebra $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ with n odd, and let S be the grading operator of $E_{\mathcal{B}}$. Then the even generalized Connes-Chern character $\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{even}}(E_{\mathcal{B}},\pi,F)$ is defined as the class in the periodic cyclic cohomology of the following even cocycles*

$$\tau_{2m}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{2m}) \; = \; \Gamma_{2m}\mathrm{Tr}\Big(SF[F,\pi(a_0)][F,\pi(a_1)]\cdots[F,\pi(a_{2m})]\Big) \; , \tag{6.14}$$

where m is such that $2m+1 \geq n$.

Remark 6.34 One can verify that the r.h.s. of (6.14) is indeed a periodic cyclic cocycle by repeating the steps in the classical setting, *e.g.* by following [40] pp. 169-170. ◇

Theorem 6.35 *Let $(E_{\mathcal{B}}, \pi, F)$ be a normalized graded Kasparov $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$-cycle which is n-summable over a smooth sub-algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$, with n odd. Then the following index theorem holds,*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{Ind}\Big(\pi(p)F\pi(p)\Big)\Big) \;=\; \Lambda_{2m}\,\tau_{2m}(p,p,\ldots,p)\;, \qquad 2m+1 \geq n\;.$$

Proof. Let $P = \pi(p)$ which, by Remark 4.8 can be assumed to be even, namely $PS = SP$. Hence $E'_{\mathcal{B}} = PE_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a countably generated graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module with grading operator $S' = PSP$ (as in Example 3.25). Stabilizing as in Remark 5.6 if necessary, one can assume the existence of a second grading Q' on $E'_{\mathcal{B}}$ which anti-commutes with S'. We now follow closely the notation from Theorem 6.29 and introduce

$$S'_{\pm} \;=\; \tfrac{1}{2}(P \pm S')\;, \qquad S' \;=\; S'_{+} - S'_{-}\;, \qquad P \;=\; S'_{+} + S'_{-}\;.$$

Also, let us set

$$F' \;=\; PFP\;, \qquad S'F' \;=\; -F'S'\;, \qquad F'_{+-} \;=\; S'_{+}F'S'_{-}\;, \qquad F'_{-+} \;=\; S'_{-}F'S'_{+}\;.$$

Then $S'_{\pm}F'S'_{\pm} = 0$. Furthermore, from the following list of useful identities inside $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$

$$F\big[F,P\big] \;=\; -\big[F,P\big]F\;, \qquad P\big[F,P\big] \;=\; \big[F,P\big](1-P)\;, \qquad P\big[F,P\big]^2 \;=\; PFPFP - P\;, \tag{6.15}$$

one can derive

$$(S'_{+} - F'_{+-}F'_{-+})^{m+1} \;=\; (-1)^{m+1}S'_{+}\big[F,P\big]^{2m+2}S'_{+}\;, \tag{6.16}$$

$$(S_{-} - F'_{-+}F'_{+-})^{m+1} \;=\; (-1)^{m+1}S'_{-}\big[F,P\big]^{2m+2}S'_{-}\;. \tag{6.17}$$

Given that $(E_{\mathcal{B}}, \pi, F)$ is n-summable, $[F,P]^{2m+2} \in \mathbb{S}_1(E'_{\mathcal{B}})$ provided that n satisfies $2m+2 > n$. In this case, the Calderón-Fedosov principle in the formulation (6.12) applies to $F' \in \mathbb{B}(E'_{\mathcal{B}})$ and we can use the graded Calderón-Fedosov formula (6.13). Since the canonical trace on $E'_{\mathcal{B}}$ is simply $\mathrm{Tr}'(.) = \mathrm{Tr}(P(.)P)$, it follows from (6.16), (6.17) and $S' = S'_{+} + S'_{-}$ that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\big(\mathrm{Ind}(PFP)\big) \;=\; (-1)^{m+1}\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big(SP\big[F,P\big]^{2m+2}\Big)\;.$$

We can open one commutator without leaving the domain of the trace, so that the r.h.s. becomes

$$(-1)^{m+1}\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big(SPFP\big[F,P\big]^{2m+1}\Big) \;+\; (-1)^{m}\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big(SPF\big[F,P\big]^{2m+1}\Big)\;.$$

The first term vanishes due to the cyclic property of the trace and the second identity in (6.15). The second term can be rewritten as

$$(-1)^{m}\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big(SFP\big[F,P\big]^{2m+1}\Big)$$

by using the first identity in (6.15), the cyclic property of the trace as well as $SF = -SF$. It can further be rewritten as

$$(-1)^{m}\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big(SF\big[F,P\big]^{2m+1}P\Big)$$

by using $SP = PS$ and cyclic property of the trace. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}\big(\mathrm{Ind}(PFP)\big) \;&=\; \tfrac{(-1)^m}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big(SFP\big[F,P\big]^{2m+1} \;+\; SF\big[F,P\big]^{2m+1}P\Big) \\
&=\; \tfrac{(-1)^m}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big(SF\big[F,P\big]^{2m+1}\Big) \\
&=\; \tfrac{1}{m!}\,\tau_{2m}(p,\ldots,p)\;,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we used the identity

$$P\big[F,P\big]^{2m+1} \;+\; \big[F,P\big]^{2m+1}P \;=\; \big[F,P\big]^{2m+1}\;.$$

The statement now follows. □

6.4 Generalized odd Connes-Chern character

Throughout, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are assumed to be un-graded unital C*-algebras and the latter to be equipped with a continuous and normalized trace. Let us start again by characterizing the analytic index associated with the upper line of the diagram (6.7) as it follows directly from the theory developed so far.

Proposition 6.36 *There exists the following group-pairing between $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ and $KK^1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ with values in a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$:*

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\langle \big[U\big]_1, \Big[\big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, \pi\hat{\otimes}1, H\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\Big]\Big\rangle &= \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\big[U\big]_1 \times \Big[\big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}_1, \pi\hat{\otimes}1, H\hat{\otimes}\epsilon\big)\Big]\Big)\\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{Ind}\big((1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}} P)\Pi(U)(1\otimes_{\mathbb{C}} P)\big)\Big)\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $U\in\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$ such that $U-1\in\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})$, $P=\frac{1}{2}(1+H)$ and $\Pi:\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}})\to\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}\otimes E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is the ungraded version of the canonical homomorphism from Example 3.59.

Definition 6.37 (Odd generalized Connes-Chern character) *Let $\big(E_{\mathcal{B}},\pi,H\big)$ be a normalized ungraded Kasparov $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-cycle which is n-summable over a smooth sub-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ with n even. Then the odd generalized Connes-Chern character $\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{odd}}(E_{\mathcal{B}},\pi,H)$ is defined as the class in the periodic cyclic cohomology of the following odd cocycles*

$$\tau_{2m-1}(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{2m-1}) \;=\; \Gamma_{2m-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big(H[H,\pi(a_0)][H,\pi(a_1)]\cdots[H,\pi(a_{2m-1})]\Big)\,, \tag{6.18}$$

where m is such that $2m\geq n$.

Remark 6.38 One can once again verify that the r.h.s. of (6.18) is indeed a cyclic cocycle by repeating the steps in the classical setting, *e.g.* by following [40] pp. 166-168. ◇

Theorem 6.39 *Let $(E_{\mathcal{B}},\pi,H)$ be a normalized un-graded Kasparov $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-cycle which is n-summable over a smooth sub-algebra $\mathcal{A}\subset\mathcal{A}$, with n even. Then the following index theorem holds,*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{Ind}\big(P\pi(u)P\big)\Big) \;=\; \Lambda_{2m-1}\,\tau_{2m-1}(u^*-1,u-1,\cdots,u^*-1,u-1)\,,\qquad 2m\geq n\,, \tag{6.19}$$

where $P=\frac{1}{2}(1+H)$.

Proof. Let $U=\pi(u)$ and let us start with the list of useful identities

$$U^*\big[U,P\big] \;=\; -\big[U^*,P\big]U\,,\qquad P\big[U,P\big] \;=\; \big[U,P\big](1-P)\,,\qquad P\big[U^*,P\big] \;=\; \big[U^*,P\big](1-P)\,, \tag{6.20}$$

as well as

$$P\big[U^*,P\big]\big[U,P\big] \;=\; P(U^*P-PU^*)(UP-PU)P \;=\; PU^*PUP-P\,,$$

from which one can derive

$$\begin{aligned}\big(P-PU^*PUP\big)^m &= (-1)^m P\Big(\big[U^*,P\big]\big[U,P\big]\Big)^m \;=\; \frac{(-1)^m}{2^{2m}}\,P\Big(\big[U^*,H\big]\big[U,H\big]\Big)^m\,,\\ \big(P-PUPU^*P\big)^m &= (-1)^m P\Big(\big[U,P\big]\big[U^*,P\big]\Big)^m \;=\; \frac{(-1)^m}{2^{2m}}\,P\Big(\big[U,H\big]\big[U^*,H\big]\Big)^m\,.\end{aligned}$$

Provided that $2m\geq n$, the Calderón-Fedosov principle of Theorem 6.28 now applies and shows

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{Ind}\big(PUP\big)\Big) \;=\; \frac{(-1)^m}{2^{2m}}\Big[\mathrm{Tr}\Big(P\big(\big[U^*,H\big]\big[U,H\big]\big)^m\Big)-\mathrm{Tr}\Big(P\big(\big[U,H\big]\big[U^*,H\big]\big)^m\Big)\Big]\,.$$

At this point, the P in front of the traces can be written as $\frac{1}{2}(1+H)$. Using

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\big([U^*,H]\,[U,H]\big)^m\Big) \;=\; \mathrm{Tr}\Big(U^*\big([U,H]\,[U^*,H]\big)^m U\Big) \;=\; \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\big([U,H]\,[U^*,H]\big)^m\Big)$$

as well as

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\big(H\,[U,H]\,[U^*,H]\big)^m\Big) \;=\; -\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big(H\big([U^*,H]\,[U,H]\big)^m\Big)\,,$$

one can now conclude that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{Ind}\big(PUP\big)\Big) \;=\; \frac{(-1)^m}{2^{2m}}\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big(H\big([U^*,H]\,[U,H]\big)^m\Big)\,.$$

This is precisely the statement of the theorem. □

7 Implementation for twisted crossed products

7.1 Twisted crossed product algebras by $\mathbb{Z}^k$

Twisted C*-dynamical systems and their covariant representations were introduced and studied in [16], and the associated twisted crossed product algebras were further studied in [53]. Most facts and arguments are direct generalizations from crossed products without a twist, as treated in [57]. This work will be dealing exclusively with twisted crossed products by $\mathbb{Z}^k$, in which case the definitions simplify somewhat.

Definition 7.1 (Twisted crossed product, [49] pp. 121) *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a C*-algebra, θ a $k\times k$ anti-symmetric matrix with real entries and ξ a $*$-action of $\mathbb{Z}^k$ on $\mathcal{B}$,*

$$\xi \;:\; \mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{B})\,, \qquad \xi_x\xi_y \;=\; \xi_{x+y}\,, \qquad \xi_0 \;=\; \mathrm{id}\,.$$

The full twisted crossed product algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \rtimes^{\theta}_{\xi} \mathbb{Z}^k$ is the universal unital C-algebra generated by a copy of $\mathcal{B}$ and by the unitary elements u_x, $x\in\mathbb{Z}^k$, satisfying the commutation relations:*

$$u_x u_y \;=\; e^{\imath(x,\theta y)}u_{x+y}\,, \qquad bu_x \;=\; u_x\xi_{-x}(b)\,, \qquad b\in\mathcal{B}\,, \qquad x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^k\,. \tag{7.1}$$

Throughout, $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ represents the Euclidean scalar product.

Remark 7.2 Since any element of a C*-algebra can be written as the sum of four unitary elements [7], the crossed product algebra is generated by the group of unitary elements of $\mathcal{B}$, together with the unitaries u_{e_j}, where e_j, $j=1,\ldots,k$, generate $\mathbb{Z}^k$. ◇

Proposition 7.3 (Alternative characterization, [26] pp. 216) *Let $\mathcal{A}_0$ be the $*$-algebra of polynomials*

$$a \;=\; \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}^k} u_q\, b_q\,, \qquad \text{with}\;\; b_q = 0 \;\text{ if }\; |q|>R\,,$$

for some $R<\infty$, which satisfy the algebraic operations

$$a'a \;=\; \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}^k} u_q\Big[\sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}^k} e^{\imath(q,\theta p)}\xi_{-p}(b'_{q-p})b_p\Big]\,, \qquad a^* \;=\; \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}^k} u_q\,\xi_q(b^*_{-q})\,. \tag{7.2}$$

Then the twisted crossed product algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \rtimes^{\theta}_{\xi} \mathbb{Z}^k$ is obtained by closing $\mathcal{A}_0$ in the universal norm

$$\|a\| \;=\; \sup_{\pi} \|\pi(a)\|_{\mathbb{B}}\,,$$

where the supremum is taken over all $*$-representations π of $\mathcal{A}_0$ on separable Hilbert spaces.

Remark 7.4 Opposite to some of the standard textbooks, we chose here to place the unitary elements u_q in front of the coefficients b_q, because the Hilbert C*-modules on which $\mathcal{A}$ will be represented are right $\mathcal{B}$-modules. ◇

Definition 7.5 ([26], pp. 222) *The Fourier calculus on $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \rtimes_\xi^\theta \mathbb{Z}^k$ is associated to the continuous group of automorphisms $\{\rho_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^k}$ on $\mathcal{A}$, often called the torus action, given by*

$$\rho_\lambda(u_q) \;=\; \lambda^q u_q, \quad \rho_\lambda(b) = b \;, \qquad \lambda^q \;=\; \lambda_1^{q_1} \cdots \lambda_k^{q_k} \;. \tag{7.3}$$

The Fourier coefficients of $a \in \mathcal{B} \rtimes_\xi^\theta \mathbb{Z}^k$ are defined by the Riemann integral

$$\Phi_q(a) \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{T}^k} \mu(d\lambda)\, \rho_\lambda(u_q^{-1}\, a) \;=\; u_q^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^k} \mu(d\lambda)\, \lambda^{-q} \rho_\lambda(a) \;\in\; \mathcal{B} \;, \tag{7.4}$$

where μ is the Haar measure on the k-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^k$. In particular, Φ_0 provides a continuous, positive and faithful expectation from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$.

Proposition 7.6 ([26], pg. 223) *For any $a \in \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \rtimes_\xi^\theta \mathbb{Z}^k$, the Cesàro sums:*

$$a_N \;=\; \sum_{q_1=-N}^{N} \cdots \sum_{q_k=-N}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \Big(1 - \frac{|q_j|}{N+1}\Big)\, u_q\, \Phi_q(a) \tag{7.5}$$

converge in norm to $a \in \mathcal{A}$ as $N \to \infty$. As a consequence, all the elements of $\mathcal{A}$ (and not just those from $\mathcal{A}_0$) can be represented as a Fourier series

$$a \;=\; \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^k} u_q\, b_q \;,$$

where $b_q \in \mathcal{B}$ should be interpreted as the Fourier coefficients of a and the infinite sum as the limit of (7.5).

Definition 7.7 (Canonical trace, [26]) *Assume that there is a continuous ξ-invariant trace $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}$ on $\mathcal{B}$. Then the Fourier calculus generates a canonical trace on $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \rtimes_\xi^\theta \mathbb{Z}^k$. Indeed, the trace $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}$ can be canonically promoted to a continuous trace on $\mathcal{A}$ by*

$$\mathcal{T}(a) \;=\; \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}\big(\Phi_0(a)\big) \;=\; \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(b_0) \;.$$

Proposition 7.8 *The following relations hold true for the trace $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}$:*

(i) $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(b^*) = \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(b)^* \,, \quad \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|b|) = \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|b^*|)$ *and* $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|\xi_x(b)|) = \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|b|)$

(ii) $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|b'b|) \leq \|b'\|\, \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|b|)$ *and* $\big|\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(b)\big| \leq \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|b|)$

(iii) $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|bb'|^s)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|b|^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}\, \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|b|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ *for* $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p}$ *with* $s, r, p \geq 1$

(iv) $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|b_1 b_2 \cdots b_k|) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|b_i|^k)^{\frac{1}{k}}$

(v) $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|b + b'|^s)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|b|^s)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}(|b'|^s)^{\frac{1}{s}}$ *for* $s \in [1, \infty)$

Proof. (i) The first identity follows once b is decomposed into its real and imaginary parts and the fact is used that $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}$ is a real number when applied on self-adjoint operators. For the second identity, recall that $|b| = \sqrt{b^* b}$ and $|b^*| = \sqrt{b b^*}$. The continuous functional calculus can be approximated in norm by polynomial functional calculus. Then the statement follows because $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}\big((bb^*)^n\big) = \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}\big((b^*b)^n\big)$ due to the cyclic property of the trace. As for the third identity, note that the automorphisms commute with the continuous functional calculus and, as a consequence,

$|\xi_x(b)| = \xi_x(|b|)$. The statement then follows from the invariance of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ w.r.t. to the action ξ. (ii) For the first inequality, note that $|b'b|^2 = b^*|b'|^2 b \leq \|b'\|^2|b|^2$, which automatically implies $|b'b| \leq \|b'\||b|$ for any $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$ (*cf.* [7] pp. 66). Since $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a positive map, indeed $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(|b'b|) \leq \|b'\|\,\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(|b|)$. For the second inequality, let $e^{\imath\phi}$ be the complex phase of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(b)$. Then $\big|\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\big| = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(e^{\imath\phi}b)$ and the statement reduces to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(b_\phi) \leq \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(|b_\phi|)$, with $b_\phi = e^{-\imath\phi}b$. If $b_\phi = b_\phi^{(r)} + \imath b_\phi^{(i)}$ is the standard decomposition in the real and imaginary parts, then $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(b_\phi) = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\big(b_\phi^{(r)}\big)$ and the statement reduced to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\big(b_\phi^{(r)}\big) \leq \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(|b_\phi|)$. The latter follows from

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\big(b_\phi^{(r)}\big) \;=\; \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(b_\phi + b_\phi^*) \;\leq\; \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(|b_\phi + b_\phi^*|) \;\leq\; \tfrac{1}{2}\,\big(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(|b_\phi|) + \mathcal{T}(|b_\phi^*|)\big) \;=\; \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(|b_\phi|)\;,$$

where we have made use of point (v) and (i), see below. Items (iii)-(v) By imbedding the C*-algebra into its weak von Neumann closure, the statements become standard and the proofs can be found, for example, in [68]. □

Definition 7.9 (Canonical derivations, [26]) *The Fourier calculus generates a set of un-bounded derivations $\partial = (\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_k)$ on $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \rtimes^\theta_\xi \mathbb{Z}^k$ as the generators of the k-parameter group of automorphisms $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^k \mapsto \rho_\lambda$. Explicitly, let $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of elements in $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \rtimes^\theta_\xi \mathbb{Z}^k$ for which $\rho_\lambda(a)$ is first order differentiable in λ. On this space, the derivations act as*

$$\partial_i a \;=\; -\imath \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}^k} q_i u_q\, b_q\;, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, k\;.$$

Definition 7.10 (Smooth sub-algebra, [65]) *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of elements from $\mathcal{A}$ for which $\rho_\lambda(a)$ are infinitely differentiable w.r.t. λ. This set is closed under the algebraic operations hence it is a $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Furthermore, when endowed with the topology induced by the seminorms*

$$\|a\|_\alpha \;=\; \|\partial^\alpha a\|\;, \qquad \partial^\alpha = \partial_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots\partial_k^{\alpha_k}\;,\;\; \alpha \;=\; (\alpha_1, \ldots \alpha_k)\;,$$

it becomes a dense Fréchet sub-algebra of $\mathcal{A}$ which is stable under holomorphic calculus. As before, this sub-algebra will be called the smooth sub-algebra and will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}$.

7.2 Representation on the standard Hilbert C^*-module

Let $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^k)$ be the Hilbert space of square summable sequences over the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^k$. Let us denote its standard basis by $(\delta_x)_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k}$, and introduce the shifts $S_y\delta_x = \delta_{x+y}$, as well as the magnetic shifts

$$U_y\delta_x \;=\; e^{\imath(y,\theta x)}\delta_{x+y}\;, \qquad U_yU_x \;=\; e^{\imath 2(y,\theta x)}\,U_xU_y \;=\; e^{\imath(y,\theta x)}\,U_{x+y}\;.$$

Lastly let us use the usual bra-ket notation for the rank-one operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^k)$:

$$\Theta_{\delta_x,\delta_y} \;=\; |x\rangle\langle y|\;.$$

Proposition 7.11 *Any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ can be uniquely represented as*

$$\psi \;=\; \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \delta_x \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} b_x\;, \qquad \lim_{R\to\infty}\sum_{|x|<R} b_x^*b_x \in \mathcal{B}\;,$$

with coefficients given by $b_x = \langle \delta_x \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} 1, \psi\rangle$. In particular, the Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is countably generated and $(\delta_x \otimes_{\mathbb{C}})_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k}$ is a set of generators.

Proof. Using a bijection from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^k$, an isomorphism between the standard presentation of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$ of Example 3.18 and the presentation from above is readily established. □

Remark 7.12 If $\psi = (b_x)_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$ (in the presentation of Example 3.18), then

$$\langle\psi,\psi\rangle \;=\; \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} b_x^* b_x \;=\; \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \langle\psi, \delta_x\otimes_\mathbb{C} 1\rangle\langle\delta_x\otimes_\mathbb{C} 1,\psi\rangle,$$

with the sums converging in $\mathcal{B}$. This shows that $(\delta_x\otimes_\mathbb{C} 1)_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k}$ is a standard normalized tight frame for $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$ and, according to [29], every element of $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$ accepts a decomposition

$$\psi \;=\; \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} (\delta_x\otimes_\mathbb{C} 1)\langle\delta_x\otimes_\mathbb{C} 1,\psi\rangle \;=\; \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \delta_x\otimes_\mathbb{C} b_x\;,$$

where the sums converge in the norm topology of $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$. This provides an alternative proof of the above statement. ◇

Remark 7.13 The following representation of the crossed-product algebra is the heart of our application. It is certainly related to the stabilizing representation considered in [53], as well as the representation used in [13]. ◇

Proposition 7.14 ([60]) *Let $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{H}\otimes_\mathbb{C}\mathcal{B}$ be the standard ungraded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module and choose specifically $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^k)$ as the separable Hilbert space. Then the map*

$$\mathcal{B}\rtimes_\xi^\theta \mathbb{Z}^k \;\ni\; a \;=\; \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}^k} u_q\, b_q \;\mapsto\; \eta(a) \;=\; \sum_{q,x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} U_q|x\rangle\langle x|\otimes_\mathbb{C}\xi_{-x}(b_q) \;\in\; \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}) \tag{7.6}$$

is well-defined and it provides a faithful morphism of C-algebras. Above, $\xi_{-x}(b_q)$ are seen as operators acting by left multiplications on $\mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. Let us consider a generic element from $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$

$$\psi \;=\; \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \delta_x\otimes_\mathbb{C} c_x\;, \qquad \lim_{R\to\infty}\sum_{|x|<R} c_x^* c_x \;\in\; \mathcal{B}\;,$$

and let us write out the action of $\eta(a)$ on ψ and its inner product:

$$\eta(a)\psi \;=\; \sum_{q,x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} U_q\,\delta_x\otimes_\mathbb{C}\xi_{-x}(b_q)c_x\;, \qquad a \;=\; \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}^k} b_q u_q \;\in\; \mathcal{A}\;, \tag{7.7}$$

and

$$\big\langle\eta(a)\psi,\eta(a)\psi\big\rangle \;=\; \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} c_x^*\xi_{-x}\Big(\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}^k} b_q^* b_q\Big)c_x \;=\; \sum_x c_x^*\xi_{-x}\Big(\Phi_0(a^*a)\Big)c_x\;. \tag{7.8}$$

Since ξ is a $*$-action, all operators $\xi_{-x}\Big(\Phi_0(a^*a)\Big)$ are positive elements in $\mathcal{B}$ and thus

$$\big\langle\eta(a)\psi,\eta(a)\psi\big\rangle \;\le\; \big\|\Phi_0(a^*a)\big\|\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} c_x^* c_x \;=\; \big\|\Phi_0(a^*a)\big\|\langle\psi,\psi\rangle\;.$$

Hence, $\eta(a)\psi\in\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$ for all $a\in\mathcal{A}$ and $\psi\in\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B}$ and one can check explicitly that $\eta(a)^* = \eta(a^*)$. The conclusion is that $\eta(a)$ is indeed an adjointable operator for all $a\in\mathcal{A}$. Next let us focus on the generators of $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\eta(u_{e_j}) \;=\; U_{e_j}\otimes 1\;, \qquad \eta(b) \;=\; \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} |x\rangle\langle x|\otimes\xi_{-x}(b)\;,$$

with b unitary, *cf.* Remark 7.2. From (7.7) and (7.8) one can see that the representations of the generators are indeed unitary operators from $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{B})$. Furthermore

$$\eta(u_{e_i})\eta(u_{e_j}) \;=\; U_{e_i}U_{e_j}\otimes 1 \;=\; e^{\imath\theta_{i,j}}U_{e_i+e_j}\otimes 1 \;=\; e^{\imath\theta_{i,j}}\eta(u_{e_i+e_j})\;,$$

and

$$\eta(b)\eta(u_{e_j}) \;=\; \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} |x\rangle\langle x|U_{e_j} \otimes \xi_{-x}(b) \;=\; \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} U_{e_j}|x-e_j\rangle\langle x-e_j| \otimes \xi_{-x}(b)\;.$$

After a change of summation variable $x \to x+e_j$, one obtains

$$\eta(b)\eta(u_{e_j}) \;=\; (U_{e_j}\otimes 1)\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \xi_{-x-e_j}(b) \;=\; \eta(u_{e_j})\eta\big(\xi_{-e_j}(b)\big)\;.$$

The commutation relations (7.1) are thus indeed satisfied. □

7.3 A generalized Connes-Chern character: the even case

Throughout this section, k is considered even, and, as above, $\mathcal{B}$ is unital, separable and trivially graded. All this then also holds for the crossed product $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \rtimes^{\theta}_{\xi} \mathbb{Z}^k$. Let us next consider the Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}_k$ with the grading described in Example 3.7. We will freely use the isomorphism $\mathbb{C}_k \cong \mathbb{C}(2^{\frac{k}{2}})$ and treat the elements of the Clifford algebras as matrices with complex entries. This provides a trace on $\mathbb{C}_k$, given by the ordinary trace over $\mathbb{C}(2^{\frac{k}{2}})$ and denoted by tr. The relevant Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module for our calculation is

$$E_{\mathcal{B}} \;=\; \mathbb{C}^{2^{\frac{k}{2}}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}\;,$$

with the grading operator

$$S \;=\; \gamma_0\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{id}\;.$$

Note that this is a graded Hilbert C*-module over the ungraded C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$.

Proposition 7.15 *Let δ_α, $\alpha = 1,\ldots,2^{\frac{k}{2}}$, be a basis for $\mathbb{C}^{2^{\frac{k}{2}}}$ and let us introduce the notation $\Theta_{\delta_\alpha,\delta_\beta} = |\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|$. Then*

(i) *Any $\psi \in E_{\mathcal{B}}$ can be uniquely represented as*

$$\psi \;=\; \sum_{\alpha}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \delta_\alpha\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\delta_x \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} b_{\alpha,x}\;, \qquad \lim_{R\to\infty}\sum_{\alpha}\sum_{|x|<R} b^*_{\alpha,x}b_{\alpha,x} \in \mathcal{B}\;.$$

Here $b_{\alpha,x} = \langle \delta_\alpha\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\delta_x \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} 1, \psi\rangle$.

(ii) *Any $T \in \mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ can be uniquely represented as*

$$T \;=\; \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^k} |\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}|x\rangle\langle y| \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} b_{\alpha,x;\beta,y}\;,$$

where the coefficients are $b_{\alpha,x;\beta,y} = \langle \delta_\alpha\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\delta_x \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} 1, T(\delta_\beta\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\delta_y \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} 1)\rangle$ and satsify

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\sum_{|x|,|y|<R} |\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}|x\rangle\langle y| \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} b_{\alpha,x;\beta,y} \;\in\; \mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})\;,$$

with the limit in norm topology of $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$.

(iii) *Any $T \in \mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ can be uniquely represented as*

$$T \;=\; \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^k} |\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}|x\rangle\langle y| \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} b_{\alpha,x;\beta,y}\;, \tag{7.9}$$

where the coefficients are such that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\sum_{|x|,|y|<R} |\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}|x\rangle\langle y| \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} b_{\alpha,x;\beta,y} \;\in\; \mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}}),$$

with limit in the strict topology of $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$.

(iv) *The unique extension of the trace $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ to $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ is given by*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(T) \;=\; \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(b_{\alpha,x;\alpha,x}) \;, \qquad T \in \mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \;.$$

(v) *Let $T \in \mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ be decomposed as in* (7.9)*. If*

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\big(|b_{\beta,y;\alpha,x}|\big) \;<\; \infty \;,$$

then $T \in \mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}})$. Since $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(|b|) \leq \|b\|$ for any $b \in \mathcal{B}$, one also has

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \|b_{\beta,y;\alpha,x}\| \;<\; \infty \quad\Longrightarrow\quad T \in \mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \;.$$

Proof. (i) follows from Proposition 7.11. (ii) Since any compact operator can be approximated in norm by a finite sum of rank-one operators it is enough to establish the statement just for the latter. Using the representation of the vectors from (i), one can see that any rank-one operator takes the form

$$\Theta_{\psi',\psi} \;=\; \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^k} |\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}|x\rangle\langle y| \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} b'_{\alpha,x} b^*_{\beta,y} \;, \tag{7.10}$$

hence they are indeed of the form stated. Clearly, finite linear combinations preserve this form and can be approximated in norm by sums. (iii) It follows from (ii) since the unit ball of $\mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is dense in the unit ball of $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$, when the strict topology is used (*cf.* [44] pp. 11). The coefficients of the expansion are give by the same formula as in (ii). (iv) It is enough to verify the formula on rank-one operators. From its defining property,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\Theta_{\psi',\psi}) \;=\; \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(\langle\psi,\psi'\rangle) \;=\; \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(b^*_{\alpha,x} b'_{\alpha,x}) \;,$$

where we could inter-change the trace and the summation because $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is continuous and the sum $\sum_\alpha \sum_x b^*_{\alpha,x} b'_{\alpha,x}$ converges in $\mathcal{B}$. Using (7.10) and the cyclic property of the trace, the affirmation follows. (v) The difficulty here is to deal with the absolute value because T may not have a polar decomposition. Recall also that $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is stable only under the continuous functional calculus. Let us assume for the beginning that T is self-adjoint, in which case the absolute value can be computed by applying the continuous function $|t| = t\,\mathrm{sgn}(t)$ on the operator T. Using the approximation $\mathrm{sgn}_\epsilon(t) = \tanh(t/\epsilon)$, one can write $|T| = \lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} \mathrm{sgn}_\epsilon(T)\,T$, with the limit taken w.r.t. the C*-norm of $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$. The point of the last expression is that $\mathrm{sgn}_\epsilon(T)$ belongs to $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$, while $\mathrm{sgn}(T)$ does not, in general. Now, as $\mathrm{sgn}_\epsilon(T)\,T$ is an increasing sequence of positive operators as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ and Tr is lower semi-continuous,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(|T|) \;=\; \lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} \mathrm{Tr}\big(\mathrm{sgn}_\epsilon(T)\,T\big) \;=\; \lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\Big(\big(\mathrm{sgn}_\epsilon(T)\,T\big)_{\alpha,x;\alpha,x}\Big) \;.$$

The representation from (ii) leads to

$$\big(\mathrm{sgn}_\epsilon(T)\,T\big)_{\alpha,x;\alpha,x} \;=\; \sum_{\beta} \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \mathrm{sgn}_\epsilon(T)_{\alpha,x;\beta,y}\, b_{\beta,y;\alpha,x}$$

with

$$\mathrm{sgn}_\epsilon(T)_{\alpha,x;\beta,y} \;=\; \big\langle \delta_\alpha \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}} \delta_x \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} 1, \mathrm{sgn}_\epsilon(T)(\delta_\beta \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}} \delta y \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} 1)\big\rangle \;,$$

satisfying

$$\|\mathrm{sgn}_\epsilon(T)_{\alpha,x;\beta,y}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \;\leq\; \|\mathrm{sgn}_\epsilon(T)\|_{\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})} \;\leq\; 1 \;.$$

Thus, by applying points (iv) and (v) of Proposition 7.8,

$$\Big|\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\Big(\big(\mathrm{sgn}_\epsilon(T)\,T\big)_{\alpha,x;\alpha,x}\Big)\Big| \;\leq\; \sum_{\beta}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^k}\big\|\,\mathrm{sgn}_\epsilon(T)_{\alpha,x;\beta,y}\big\|\,\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\big(|b_{\beta,y;\alpha,x}|\big)\;,$$

and hence

$$\mathrm{Tr}\big(|T|\big) \;\leq\; \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^k}\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\big(|b_{\beta,y;\alpha,x}|\big)\;. \tag{7.11}$$

A generic operator can be decomposed $T=\frac{1}{2}(T+T^*)+\imath\frac{1}{2\imath}(T-T^*)$ into a sum of two self-adjoint onece, and for each summand (7.11) applies:

$$\mathrm{Tr}\big(|T\pm T^*|\big) \;\leq\; \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^k}\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\big(|b_{\beta,y;\alpha,x}\pm b^*_{\beta,y;\alpha,x}|\big)\;.$$

Then point (viii) of Proposition 7.8 assures us that, if the hypothesis of (v) holds, then both the real and imaginary parts of T belong to $\mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}})$, hence $T\in\mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}})$. □

Definition 7.16 *Let X be the position operator over $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^k)$, $X\delta_x=x\delta_x$. Then the shifted Dirac operator on $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ is defined as*

$$D_{x_0} \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{k}\gamma_i\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}(X_i+x_0)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}1 \;=\; \gamma\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}(X+x_0)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}1\;,\qquad x_0\in(0,1)^k\;, \tag{7.12}$$

where $\gamma=(\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_k)$ and contraction over the indices is assumed in the second equality. The phase of D_{x_0},

$$F_{x_0} \;=\; \frac{D_{x_0}}{|D_{x_0}|} \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{k}\gamma_i\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\frac{(X_i+x_0)}{\sqrt{(X+x_0)^2}}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}1 \;=\; \gamma\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\widehat{X+x_0}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}1\;, \tag{7.13}$$

is an element of $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$. Throughout, we will employ the notation $\widehat{x}=x|x|^{-1}$ for invertible operators as well as ordinary vectors.

Remark 7.17 The shift x_0 assures that D_{x_0} is invertible so that its phase is well-defined. But the considerations leading to the inclusion of the shift x_0 go well beyond that. In particular, the average over x_0 is absolutely essential for the key identities discovered in [61, 62] and used later on. ◇

Theorem 7.18 *The triples*

$$\mathcal{E}^0_{x_0} \;=\; \Big(E_{\mathcal{B}}=\mathbb{C}^{2^{\frac{k}{2}}}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}},\pi=1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\eta,F_{x_0}=\gamma\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\widehat{X+x_0}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}1\Big)\;,\qquad x_0\in(0,1)^k,$$

define a field of Kasparov $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$-cycles.

Proof. We will cover one by one the properties (i)-(v) listed in Definition 5.1.

(i) follows from $\gamma_0\gamma=-\gamma\gamma_0$. (ii) The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is ungraded, hence this property translates into $S\pi(a)S=\pi(a)$, and this follows from

$$S\pi(a)S \;=\; (\gamma_0\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{id})\big(1\hat{\otimes}\eta(a)\big)(\gamma_0\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{id}) \;=\; 1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\eta(a) \;=\; \pi(a)\;.$$

(iii) This property becomes

$$\big[\gamma\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\widehat{X+x_0}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}1,1\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\eta(a)\big] \;=\; \gamma\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}}\big[\widehat{X+x_0}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}1,\eta(a)\big]\in\mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}})\;,\qquad a\in\mathcal{A}\;.$$

Since $\mathbb{K}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a closed double-sided ideal, it is enough to verify the statement just for the generators. We have $\big[\widehat{X+x_0}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}1,\pi(b)\big]=0$ for any $b\in\mathcal{B}$, and

$$\big[\widehat{X+x_0}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}1,\eta(u_q)\big] \;=\; \big[\widehat{X+x_0},U_q\big]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}1 \;=\; \Big(\widehat{X+x_0}-\widehat{X-q+x_0}\Big)U_q\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}1\;.$$

Since

$$\widehat{x+x_0} - \widehat{x-q+x_0} \;\sim\; |x+x_0|^{-1}\big(q + (\widehat{x+x_0}, q)\,\widehat{x+x_0}\big) \tag{7.14}$$

as $|x| \to \infty$, it follows that $\widehat{X+x_0} - \widehat{X-q+x_0}$ is compact as an operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^k)$. As such, the commutator $\big[\widehat{X+x_0}, \eta(u_q)\big]$ can be approximated in the norm topology of $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ by finite rank operators, and is hence a compact operator over $E_{\mathcal{B}}$.
(iv) and (v) are satisfied because $F_{x_0}^2 = 1$ and $F_{x_0}^* = F_{x_0}$. □

Theorem 7.19 *The Kasparov cycles defined in Theorem 7.18 are n-summable over the smooth subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ for any $n \geq k+1$, that is,*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \big[F_{x_0}, \pi(a_i)\big] \;\in\; \mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}})$$

for any $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. We will show that

$$Y \;=\; \sum_{\beta} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \Big\| \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \big[F_{x_0}, \pi(a_i)\big] \Big)_{\alpha, x; \beta, y} \Big\| \;\leq\; C\,|\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{x}_0|^{-n}\,, \tag{7.15}$$

for some constant $C < \infty$. This implies the claim because the criterion (v) of Proposition 7.15 applies to $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \big[F_{x_0}, \pi(a_i)\big]$, as long as $n \geq k+1$. To start out, let us write the $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ as in Proposition 7.6:

$$a_i \;=\; \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^k} u_q\, b_q^{(i)} \;\in\; \mathcal{A}\,, \qquad i = 1, \ldots n\,.$$

It is also useful to write each commutator explicitly

$$\big[F_{x_0}, \pi(a)\big] \;=\; \sum_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^k} e^{\imath(x_1, \theta x_2)} \gamma \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}} (\widehat{x_1 + x_0} - \widehat{x_2 + x_0}) |x_1\rangle\langle x_2| \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \xi_{-x_2}(b_{x_1 - x_2})\,,$$

where as above the summation over indices in γ and the x_1, x_2 is suppressed. Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \big[F_{x_0}, \pi(a_i)\big] = \sum_{x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{\imath(x_i, \theta x_{i+1})} \gamma \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{C}} (\widehat{x_i + x_0} - \widehat{x_{i+1} + x_0}) |x_i\rangle\langle x_{i+1}| \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \xi_{-x_{i+1}}(b^{(i)}_{x_i - x_{i+1}})\,,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \big[F_{x_0}, \pi(a_i)\big] \Big)_{\alpha, x; \beta, y} \;=\; & \sum_{x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \delta_{x_1, x}\, \delta_{x_{n+1}, y} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{\imath(x_i, \theta x_{i+1})} \Big) \\ & \times \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \big(\gamma, \widehat{x_i + x_0} - \widehat{x_{i+1} + x_0}\big) \Big)_{\alpha, \beta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{-x_{i+1}}(b^{(i)}_{x_i - x_{i+1}})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{7.16}$$

Hence

$$Y \;\leq\; \sum_{x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \delta_{x_1, 0} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \big| \widehat{x_i + x + x_0} - \widehat{x_{i+1} + x + x_0} \big|\, \big\| b^{(i)}_{x_i - x_{i+1}} \big\|\,.$$

Due to the asymptotic behavior in (7.14), the supremum

$$S(y, y') \;=\; \sup \big\{ |x| \big| \widehat{y + x} - \widehat{y' + x} \big| \;:\; x \in \mathbb{R}^k \big\}$$

is finite and it has the scaling property $S(y,y') = s^{-1}S(sy,sy')$. By taking $s = (|y|+|y'|)^{-1}$, one obtains the upper bound

$$S(y,y') \;\leq\; (|y|+|y'|)\,\sup\{S(x,x') \;:\; |x|+|x'|=1\}\,.$$

The conclusion is

$$\big|\widehat{y+x} \;-\; \widehat{y'+x}\big| \;\leq\; C\,|x|^{-1}\,(|y|+|y'|)\,.$$

Thus

$$Y \;\leq\; C\,|x\,+\,x_0|^{-n} \sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_{n+1}\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \delta_{x_1,0} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (|x_i|+|x_{i+1}|)\,\big\|b^{(i)}_{x_i-x_{i+1}}\big\|\,.$$

Let us now make the change of variables $y_i = x_{i+1}-x_i$, $i=1,\ldots,k$. Since $x_1=0$,

$$x_{i+1} \;=\; y_1+\ldots+y_i \quad\Longrightarrow\quad |x_{i+1}| \;\leq\; \prod_{j=1}^{n}\big(1+|y_j|\big)\,.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} Y \;&\leq\; C\,|x+x_0|^{-n} \sum_{y_1,\ldots,y_n\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \prod_{i=1}^{n} 2^n\big(1+|y_i|\big)^n\big\|b^{(i)}_{y_i}\big\| \\ &=\; C\,2^n\,|x+x_0|^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\Big(\sum_{y_i\in\mathbb{Z}^k}\big(1+|y_i|\big)^n\big\|b^{(i)}_{y_i}\big\|\Big)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since the elements a_i belong to the smooth sub-algebra, the norms $\big\|b^{(i)}_x\big\|$ decay in $|x|$ faster than any inverse power, so the sums are finite and (7.15) is proved, with another constant C. □

Remark 7.20 Now all the conditions of the general theory as developed in the previous chapter are established. Hence we can formulate at once the following statement. ◇

Corollary 7.21 *The multilinear map*

$$\tau_k(a_0,\ldots,a_k) \;=\; \Gamma_k\,\mathrm{Tr}\Big(SF_{x_0}\prod_{i=0}^{k}\big[F_{x_0},\pi(a_i)\big]\Big) \tag{7.17}$$

is a well-defined cyclic $(k+1)$-cocycle over the smooth sub-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Its class in the periodic cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$ defines the generalized Connes-Chern character of the Kasparov cycle $\mathcal{E}^0_{x_0}$. It fulfills the following index theorem

$$\Lambda_k\,\tau_k(p,\ldots,p) \;=\; \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{Ind}\big(\pi(p)F_{x_0}\pi(p)\big)\Big)\,, \tag{7.18}$$

for any projection $p\in\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 7.22 (Even local formula) *The generalized Connes-Chern characters of the Kasparov cycles $\mathcal{E}^0_{x_0}$ coincide and can be represented by a cyclic cocycle $\bar\tau_k$ which accepts the following local formula,*

$$\bar\tau_k(a_0,\ldots,a_k) \;=\; \Delta_k\sum_{\rho\in S_k}(-1)^\rho\,\mathcal{T}\Big(a_0\prod_{i=1}^{k}\partial_{\rho_i}a_i\Big)\,,\qquad \Delta_k \;=\; (2\pi\imath)^{\frac{k}{2}}\,, \tag{7.19}$$

for any $a_i\in\mathcal{A}$, $i=0,\ldots,k$. Here, S_k denotes the permutation group of order k, and $(-1)^\rho$ the signum of $\rho\in S_k$.

Proof. The first part of the affirmation follows from the fact that F_{x_0} is continuous of x_0 as an element of $\mathbb{B}(E_{\mathcal{B}})$ so that the cyclic cocycles τ_k defined for different x_0 are homotopic. Indeed, they therefore belong to the same cohomology class [24]. As a consequence, for any $x < x' \in (0,1)$ the cyclic cocycle

$$\bar{\tau}_k(a_0,\dots,a_k) \;=\; (\mathrm{Vol}_k[x,x']^k)^{-1} \int\limits_{[x,x']^k} dx_0\, \tau_k(a_0,\dots,a_k) \tag{7.20}$$

represents the same cohomology class. Further let us note that, since the summability estimates in Theorem 7.19 are uniform w.r.t. x_0, the integration over x_0 can and will be extended over the whole unit cube $[0,1]^k$ which has unit volume. Then we also write $\bar{\tau}_k = \bar{\tau}_k(a_0,\dots,a_k)$ for sake of brevity.

For the local formula, let us start from (7.17) and apply (iv) of Proposition 7.15. By opening the first commutator,

$$\bar{\tau}_k \;=\; \Gamma_k \int\limits_{[0,1]^k} dx_0 \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\Big(\Big(S\big(\pi(a_0) - F_{x_0}\pi(a_0)F_{x_0}\big)\prod_{i=1}^{k}\big[F_{x_0},\pi(a_i)\big]\Big)_{\alpha,x;\alpha,x}\Big)\,.$$

We recall that the sum is absolute convergent due to Theorem 7.19. Given (6.15) and $SF_{x_0} = -F_{x_0}S$, as well as the fact that k is even, one can push the two operators F_{x_0} flanking $\pi(a_0)$ to the edges where they annihilate due to $F_{x_0}^2 = 1$. Thus

$$\bar{\tau}_k \;=\; 2\,\Gamma_k \int\limits_{[0,1]^k} dx_0 \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\Big(\Big(S\pi(a_0)\prod_{i=1}^{k}\big[F_{x_0},\pi(a_i)\big]\Big)_{\alpha,x;\alpha,x}\Big)\,. \tag{7.21}$$

Using (7.16) for $n = k$,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\tau}_k \;&= 2\,\Gamma_k \int\limits_{[0,1]^k} dx_0 \sum_{x,x_1,\dots,x_{k+1}\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \delta_{x,x_{k+1}} e^{\imath(x,\theta x_1)}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} e^{\imath(x_i,\theta x_{i+1})}\Big)\\ &\quad\times\; \mathrm{tr}\Big(\gamma_0\prod_{i=1}^{k}\big(\gamma,\widehat{x_0+x_i} - \widehat{x_0+x_{i+1}}\big)\Big)\;\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\Big(\xi_{-x_1}(b^{(0)}_{x-x_1})\prod_{i=1}^{k}\xi_{-x_{i+1}}(b^{(i)}_{x_i-x_{i+1}})\Big)\,.\end{aligned}$$

We next re-define the summation variables as $x_i \to x_i + x$, $i = 1,\dots,k+1$. By using the invariance of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ under the automorphisms ξ_x, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\tau}_k \;&= 2\,\Gamma_k \int\limits_{[0,1]^k} dx_0 \sum_{x,x_1,\dots,x_{k+1}\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \delta_{x_{k+1},0}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} e^{\imath(x_i,\theta x_{i+1})}\Big)\\ &\quad\times\; \mathrm{tr}\Big(\gamma_0\prod_{i=1}^{k}\big(\gamma,\widehat{x_0+x+x_i} - \widehat{x_0+x+x_{i+1}}\big)\Big)\;\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\Big(\xi_{-x_1}(b^{(0)}_{-x_1})\prod_{i=1}^{k}\xi_{-x_{i+1}}(b^{(i)}_{x_i-x_{i+1}})\Big)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the variable x is no longer present in the phase factors. We now combine the summation over x and the integration over x_0 in one integration over the whole $\mathbb{R}^k$:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\tau}_k \;&= 2\,\Gamma_k \sum_{x,x_1,\dots,x_{k+1}\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \delta_{x_{k+1},0}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} e^{\imath(x_i,\theta x_{i+1})}\Big)\\ &\quad\times \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^k} dx\,\mathrm{tr}\Big(\gamma_0\prod_{i=1}^{k}\big(\gamma,\widehat{x+x_i} - \widehat{x+x_{i+1}}\big)\Big)\;\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\Big(\xi_{-x_1}(b^{(0)}_{-x_1})\prod_{i=1}^{k}\xi_{-x_{i+1}}(b^{(i)}_{x_i-x_{i+1}})\Big)\,.\end{aligned}$$

At this point we appeal to the identity discovered in [61] (see also [63] for a detailed proof),

$$\delta_{x_{k+1},0}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^k} dx\ \mathrm{tr}\Big(\gamma_0\prod_{i=1}^k\big(\gamma,\widehat{x+x_i}-\widehat{x+x_{i+1}}\big)\Big)\ =\ \tilde\Lambda_k\sum_{\rho\in S_k}(-1)^\rho\prod_{i=1}^k(x_i)_{\rho_i}$$

$$=\ \delta_{x_{k+1},0}\,\tilde\Lambda_k\sum_{\rho\in S_k}(-1)^\rho\prod_{i=1}^k(x_i-x_{i+1})_{\rho_i}\ ,$$

where $\tilde\Lambda_k=\frac{(2\pi\imath)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{(k/2)!}$ and the second equality is simply due to the anti-symmetrizing factor. Now let us note that $2\,\Gamma_k\tilde\Lambda_k=\imath^k\Delta_k$. Thus

$$\bar\tau_k\ =\ \imath^k\Delta_k\sum_{\rho\in S_k}(-1)^\rho\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_{k+1}\in\mathbb{Z}^k}\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\Big(b^{(0)}_{-x_1}\prod_{i=1}^k e^{\imath(x_i,\theta x_{i+1})}\xi_{-x_{i+1}}\big((x_i-x_{i+1})_{\rho_i}b^{(i)}_{x_i-x_{i+1}}\big)\delta_{x_{k+1},0}\Big)$$

$$=\ \Delta_k\sum_{\rho\in S_k}(-1)^\rho\,\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\Big(\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_{k+1}\in\mathbb{Z}^k}b^{(0)}_{-x_1}\prod_{i=1}^k e^{\imath(x_i,\theta x_{i+1})}\xi_{-x_{i+1}}\big(\partial_{\rho_i}b^{(i)}_{x_i-x_{i+1}}\big)\delta_{x_{k+1},0}\Big)\ .$$

By examining the rule of multiplication in the crossed product algebra in (7.2), one sees that the last expression is indeed the same as (7.19). □

7.4 A generalized Connes-Chern character: the odd case

In this section k is odd. Hence the Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}_k$ has two distinct irreducible representations on $\mathbb{C}^{2^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}$. As in [63], we choose the representation $\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_k$ satisfying $\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_k=\imath^k\,\mathrm{id}$. The relevant Hilbert C*-module for the calculation below is

$$E_{\mathcal{B}_{(1)}}\ =\ \Big(\mathbb{C}^{2^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}\oplus\mathbb{C}^{2^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}\Big)\hat\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}\ \cong\ \big(\mathbb{C}^{2^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}\big)\oplus\big(\mathbb{C}^{2^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}\big)\ \cong\ E_{\mathcal{B}}\oplus E_{\mathcal{B}}\ ,$$

where similar as above $E_{\mathcal{B}}\ =\ \mathbb{C}^{2^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}}$. The grading operator in the last representation is the swap $S(\psi\oplus\psi')=\psi'\oplus\psi$. As the notation suggests, this will be viewed as a graded Hilbert module over the graded C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}_{(1)}=\mathcal{B}\hat\otimes\mathbb{C}_1$.

On $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ the representation $\pi=1\hat\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\eta$ of the crossed product algebra $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}\rtimes^\theta_\xi\mathbb{Z}^k$ is still given, and it trivially extends to a graded representation (of degree 0) to $E_{\mathcal{B}_{(1)}}$, which we still denote by π. On $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ act the adjointable operators

$$H_{x_0}\ =\ \gamma\hat\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\widehat{X+x_0}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}1\ ,\qquad x_0\in(0,1)^k\ ,$$

defined as in Definition (7.16) by contraction over the indices. The operators H_{x_0} are selfadjoint and satisfy $H^2_{x_0}=1$. However, there is no natural grading making them odd for odd k. However, proceeding as in Proposition 5.13, one obtains a candidate for a $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}_{(1)})$-cycle by considering $H_{x_0}\oplus(-H_{x_0})$ on $E_{\mathcal{B}_{(1)}}$. Arguing similarly as in the proof of Theorem 7.18, one readily deduces the following.

Theorem 7.23 *Let $x_0\in(0,1)^k$. The triples*

$$\mathcal{E}^1_{x_0}\ =\ \big(E_{\mathcal{B}_{(1)}},\pi\hat\otimes 1,H_{x_0}\hat\otimes\epsilon\big)\ \cong\ \big(E_{\mathcal{B}}\oplus E_{\mathcal{B}},\pi\oplus\pi,H_{x_0}\oplus(-H_{x_0})\big)\ ,\ ,$$

define a field of Kasparov $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}_{(1)})$-cycles.

Proposition 7.15 and the estimates of Theorem 7.19 apply verbatim, so that:

Theorem 7.24 *The Kasparov cycles defined in Theorem 7.23 are n-summable over the smooth sub-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ for any $n \geq k+1$, that is,*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \big[H_{x_0}, \pi(a_i)\big] \ \in \ \mathbb{S}_1(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \ ,$$

for any $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

In conclusion, the results of Section 6.4 can be applied and lead to the following.

Corollary 7.25 *The multilinear map*

$$\tau_k(a_0, \ldots, a_k) \ = \ \Gamma_k \ \mathrm{Tr}\Big(H_{x_0} \prod_{i=0}^{k} \big[H_{x_0}, \pi(a_i)\big]\Big) \tag{7.22}$$

is a well-defined cyclic $(k+1)$-cocycle over the smooth sub-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Its class in the periodic cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$ defines the Connes-Chern character of the Kasparov cycle $\mathcal{E}^1_{x_0}$. It fulfills the following index theorem

$$\Lambda_k \, \tau_k(u^*, u, \ldots, u^*, u) \ = \ \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{Ind}\big(P_{x_0} \pi(u) P_{x_0}\big)\Big) \ ,$$

for any unitary element $u \in \mathcal{A}$ and where $P_{x_0} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + H_{x_0})$.

Theorem 7.26 (Odd local formula) *The generalized Connes-Chern characters of the Kasparov cycles $\mathcal{E}^1_{x_0}$ coincide and can be represented by a cyclic cocycle which accepts the following local formula:*

$$\bar{\tau}_k(a_0, \ldots, a_k) \ = \ \Delta_k \sum_{\rho \in S_k} (-1)^\rho \mathcal{T}\Big(a_0 \prod_{i=1}^{k} \partial_{\rho_i} a_i\Big) \ , \qquad \Delta_k \ = \ -4\imath \, (2\imath\pi)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \ , \tag{7.23}$$

for any $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $i = 0, \ldots, k$.

Proof. The first part of the affirmation follows as in Theorem 7.22 and $\bar{\tau}_k$ is defined as in (7.20), and again we set $\bar{\tau}_k = \bar{\tau}_k(a_0, \ldots, a_k)$. Let us now apply Proposition 7.15(iv) on (7.22). By opening the first commutator,

$$\bar{\tau}_k \ = \ \Gamma_k \int\limits_{[0,1]^k} dx_0 \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\Big(\Big(\big(\pi(a_0) - H_{x_0} \pi(a_0) H_{x_0}\big) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \big[H_{x_0}, \pi(a_i)\big]\Big)_{\alpha, x; \alpha, x}\Big) \ .$$

As in the even case, using 6.15 as well as the fact that k is odd, one can push the two H_{x_0} operators flanking $\pi(a_0)$ to the edges where they annihilate. Thus

$$\bar{\tau}_k \ = \ 2\,\Gamma_k \int\limits_{[0,1]^k} dx_0 \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\Big(\Big(\pi(a_0) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \big[H_{x_0}, \pi(a_i)\big]\Big)_{\alpha, x; \alpha, x}\Big) \ .$$

In the following, tr will represent the trace over $\mathbb{C}(2^{\frac{k-1}{2}})$. Using 7.16,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\tau}_k \ = \, & 2\,\Gamma_k \int\limits_{[0,1]^k} dx_0 \sum_{x, x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \delta_{x, x_{k+1}} e^{\imath(x, \theta x_1)} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} e^{\imath(x_i, \theta x_{i+1})}\Big) \\ & \times \ \mathrm{tr}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \big(\gamma, \widehat{x_0 + x_i} - \widehat{x_0 + x_{i+1}}\big)\Big) \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}\Big(\xi_{-x_1}(b^{(0)}_{x - x_1}) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{-x_{i+1}}(b^{(i)}_{x_i - x_{i+1}})\Big) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Re-define the summation variables as $x_i \to x_i + x$, $i = 1, \ldots, k+1$ and using the invariance of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ under the automorphisms ξ_x, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\tau}_k \; &= 2\,\Gamma_k \int\limits_{[0,1]^k} dx_0 \sum_{x,x_1,\ldots,x_{k+1}\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \delta_{x_{k+1},0} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} e^{\imath(x_i,\theta x_{i+1})} \Big) \\ &\quad \times \operatorname{tr}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \big(\gamma, \widehat{x_0 + x + x_i} - \widehat{x_0 + x + x_{i+1}}\big) \Big) \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} \Big(\xi_{-x_1}(b^{(0)}_{-x_1}) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{-x_{i+1}}(b^{(i)}_{x_i - x_{i+1}}) \Big) \,.\end{aligned}$$

Now combining the summation over x and the integration over x_0 to an integration over $\mathbb{R}^k$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\tau}_k \; &= 2\,\Gamma_k \sum_{x,x_i\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \delta_{x_{k+1},0} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} e^{\imath(x_i,\theta x_{i+1})} \Big) \\ &\quad \times \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^k} dx \operatorname{tr}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \big(\gamma, \widehat{x + x_i} - \widehat{x + x_{i+1}}\big) \Big) \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} \Big(\xi_{-x_1}(b^{(0)}_{-x_1}) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{-x_{i+1}}(b^{(i)}_{x_i - x_{i+1}}) \Big) \,.\end{aligned}$$

At this point let us use the identity discovered in [62, 63],

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{x_{k+1},0} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^k} dx \operatorname{tr}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \big(\gamma, \widehat{x + x_i} - \widehat{x + x_{i+1}}\big) \Big) \; &= \; \tilde{\Lambda}_k \sum_{\rho\in S_k} (-1)^{\rho} \prod_{i=1}^{k} (x_i)_{\rho_i} \\ &= \; \delta_{x_{k+1},0}\, \tilde{\Lambda}_k \sum_{\rho\in S_k} (-1)^{\rho} \prod_{i=1}^{k} (x_i - x_{i+1})_{\rho_i} \,,\end{aligned}$$

with $\tilde{\Lambda}_k = -\frac{2^k (\imath\pi)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}{k!!}$. As $2\Gamma_k \tilde{\Lambda}_k = \imath^k \Delta_k$, one thus finds

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\tau}_k \; &= \; \imath^k \Delta_k \sum_{\rho\in S_k} (-1)^{\rho} \sum_{x_i\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} \Big(b^{(0)}_{-x_1} \prod_{i=1}^{k} e^{\imath(x_i,\theta x_{i+1})} \xi_{-x_{i+1}}\big((x_i - x_{i+1})_{\rho_i} b^{(i)}_{x_i - x_{i+1}}\big) \Big) \\ &= \; \Delta_k \sum_{\rho\in S_k} (-1)^{\rho} \sum_{x_i\in\mathbb{Z}^k} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} \Big(b^{(0)}_{-x_1} \prod_{i=1}^{k} e^{\imath(x_i,\theta x_{i+1})} \xi_{-x_{i+1}}\big(\partial_{\rho_i} b^{(i)}_{x_i - x_{i+1}}\big) \Big) \,,\end{aligned}$$

and the statement follows. □

8 Application to topological insulators

This section presents an application of the previous results to solid state systems describing topological insulators. While this was our original motivation, we attempt to condense the discussion as much as possible and refer the reader to our recent work [63] for physical motivation and a detailed mathematical description of the formalism.

8.1 Disordered crystals

Definition 8.1 ([3, 63]) *Let Ω be a compact topological space equipped with a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-action τ and an invariant and ergodic probability measure $\mathbb{P}$. This ergodic dynamical system $(\Omega, \tau, \mathbb{Z}^d, d\mathbb{P})$ encodes the disorder or quasicrystaline configurations. A homogeneous crystal is a family $H = \{H_\omega\}_{\omega\in\Omega}$ of*

quantum mechanical Hamiltonians given by selfadjoint operators H_ω on the physical Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)\otimes\mathbb{C}^N$ of the form

$$H_\omega \;=\; \sum_{q\in R}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d} U_q|x\rangle\langle x|\otimes W_q(\tau_{-x}\omega)\;, \qquad W_q\in C\big(\Omega,\mathbb{C}(N)\big)\;. \tag{8.1}$$

The set $R\subset\mathbb{Z}^d$ is finite and it is called the hopping range. The operators U_q are the dual magnetic translations on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ defined by $U_q\delta_x = e^{\imath(q,\Phi x)}\delta_{x+q}$, where the entries in the skew symmetric matrix Φ are directly related to the magnetic fluxes through the facets of the unit cell of the crystal.

Remark 8.2 The magnetic translations are defined by $V_q\delta_x = e^{-\imath(q,\Phi x)}\delta_{x+q}$ and they commute with the dual magnetic translations. The homogeneity property of H_ω is reflected in the covariant property $V_qH_\omega V_q^* = H_{\tau_q\omega}$. ⋄

Example 8.3 The low energy physics of the 2-dimensional electron gas subjected to a perpendicular electric field, a periodic potential and white disorder is often analyzed using the following lattice model,

$$H_\omega = \sum_{j=1,2}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^2}\big(1+\lambda_j\omega_j(x)\big)\Big(e^{\imath\phi\, e_j\wedge x}|x+e_j\rangle\langle x| + e^{-\imath\phi\, e_j\wedge x}|x\rangle\langle x+e_j|\Big),$$

where ϕ is just a number, equal to the magnetic flux through the repeating cell (in appropriate physical units), $q\wedge x = q_1x_2-q_2x_1$, and $\omega_j(x)$ are random numbers drawn independently from the interval $\big[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\big]$. This model is well known to harbor many topological quantum Hall phases, even at relatively large disorder (see *e.g.* [59] for numerical representations of its phase diagram). Note that in this model, $W_{e_j}(\omega) = 1+\lambda_j\omega_j(0)$, so that $W_{e_j}(\tau_x\omega) = 1+\lambda_j\omega_j(x)$. ⋄

Definition 8.4 *A homogeneous crystal is said to posses a spectral gap, if there exists an open interval Δ such that the spectra of H_ω satisfy $\sigma(H_\omega)\cap\Delta=\emptyset$ for all $\omega\in\Omega$. If the chemical potential μ belongs to a spectral gap, the homogeneous crystal is said to be insulating.*

Remark 8.5 The regime described above is known as the weak disorder regime. The strong disorder regime, which is not treated here, refers to situation where the chemical potential is located in the essential spectrum which is nevertheless Anderson localized (see [63] for details). ⋄

Definition 8.6 *A homogeneous crystal posses the chiral symmetry if there exists a symmetry $J = 1\otimes\Gamma$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)\otimes\mathbb{C}^{2N}$, i.e. $J^*=J$ and $J^2=1$, such that*

$$JH_\omega J^{-1} \;=\; -H_\omega, \quad \omega\in\Omega\;,$$

and if the chemical potential μ is fixed at the origin. Without loss the generality, we can assume that $J=\mathrm{diag}(1_N,-1_N)$. Note that a chiral symmetric H can posses a spectral gap at the origin only if the dimension of the fiber is even.

Remark 8.7 The ground state of a homogeneous rystal is encoded in the covariant family of Fermi projections:

$$P_F \;=\; \{\chi(H_\omega\le\mu)\}_{\omega\in\Omega}\;. \tag{8.2}$$

If the chiral symmetry is present and the system is insulating, then the unitary $1-2P_F$ (called flat band Hamiltonian) necessarily takes the form

$$1-2P_F \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U_F^* \\ U_F & 0\end{pmatrix}\;, \qquad P_F \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -U_F^* \\ -U_F & 1\end{pmatrix}\;. \tag{8.3}$$

As such, the ground state of a chiral symmetric system is encoded in the family of unitary operators $U_F=\{U_{F,\omega}\}_{\omega\in\Omega}$, which are called the Fermi unitary operators. ⋄

8.2 Algebra of physical observables

To ease the notation, we will use $C_N(\Omega)$ instead of $C\big(\Omega, \mathbb{C}(N)\big)$ for the C*-algebra of continuous functions over Ω with values in the algebra of $N \times N$ complex matrices.

Definition 8.8 *The algebra of the bulk observables is defined as the universal C*-algebra generated by $C_N(\Omega)$ and unitaries $u_1, \ldots, u_d$,*

$$\mathcal{A}_d \;=\; C^*\big(C_N(\Omega), u_1, \ldots, u_d\big)\;,$$

with the following commutation relations:

$$u_i u_j \;=\; e^{\imath\phi_{i,j}} u_j u_i\;, \qquad u_j^* u_j \;=\; u_j^* u_j \;=\; 1\;, \qquad i,j = 1,\ldots,d, \tag{8.4}$$

as well as

$$f\, u_j \;=\; u_j\, (f \circ \tau_j)\,, \qquad f \in C_N(\Omega)\,,\;\; j = 1,\ldots,d\,. \tag{8.5}$$

Proposition 8.9 (Equivalent presentations) *The algebra of bulk observables admits the following equivalent presentation:*

(i) *As twisted crossed product:*

$$\mathcal{A}_d \;=\; C_N(\Omega) \rtimes_\tau^\Phi \mathbb{Z}^d\;,$$

where u_x in Definition 7.1 are given by

$$u_x \;=\; e^{\imath(x,\Phi_+ x)} u_1^{x_1} \cdots u_d^{x_d}\;, \qquad u_x u_y \;=\; e^{\imath(x,\Phi y)} u_{x+y}\;,$$

and Φ_+ is the lower triangular part of Φ, and the action of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ on $C_N(\Omega)$, also denoted by the symbol τ, is given by

$$\tau_x(f) \;=\; u_x f u_x^* = f \circ \tau_x^{-1}\;.$$

(ii) *As decomposed twisted crossed product:*

$$\mathcal{A}_d \;=\; \mathcal{A}_j \rtimes_\xi^\Theta \mathbb{Z}^k\;, \qquad \mathcal{A}_j \;=\; C_N(\Omega) \rtimes_\sigma^\varphi \mathbb{Z}^j\;, \qquad \mathbb{Z}^d \;=\; \mathbb{Z}^j \times \mathbb{Z}^k\;,$$

where $\sigma = \tau|_{\mathbb{Z}^j}$ and the matrix φ is given by

$$\varphi_{m,n} \;=\; \phi_{m,n}\;, \qquad n,m = 1,\ldots,j\;,$$

while the matrix Θ

$$\theta_{m,n} \;=\; \Phi_{j+m,j+n}\;, \qquad n,m = 1,\ldots,k\;,$$

and

$$\xi_x \;=\; \mathrm{Ad}_{u_x} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_j)\;, \qquad u_x \;=\; e^{\imath(x,\Theta_+ x)} u_{j+1}^{x_1} \cdots u_{j+k}^{x_k}\;, \qquad x \in \mathbb{Z}^k\;. \tag{8.6}$$

Proof. All statements are direct consequences of the definition of the twisted crossed product given in Section 7 as well as the standard decomposition of the twisted crossed products in [53]. □

Remark 8.10 In the following, $\mathbb{Z}^j$ and $\mathbb{Z}^k$ will be viewed as the subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ generated by $\{e_n\}_{n=1\ldots,j}$ and $\{e_n\}_{n=j+1,\ldots,d}$, respectively. As such, for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ the operator u_x is automatically understood to be the one defined in (8.6). ◇

Proposition 8.11 (Canonical Representation, *e.g.* **[63])** *The following relations*

$$\pi_\omega(u_j) \;=\; U_{e_j} \otimes 1\;, \qquad \pi_\omega(f) \;=\; \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes f(\tau_{-x}\omega)\;, \qquad j = 1,\ldots,d\;,\;\; f \in C_N(\Omega)\;,$$

define a field of covariant and faithful $*$-representations

$$\pi_\omega : \mathcal{A}_d \to \mathbb{B}\big(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}^N\big)\;, \qquad V_x \circ \pi_\omega \circ V_x^{-1} \;=\; \pi_{\tau_x \omega}\;, \qquad \omega \in \Omega\;.$$

Any homogeneous crystals can be generated from this representation.

Example 8.12 The generic model in (8.1) is generated by the element of $\mathcal{A}_d$

$$h \;=\; \sum_{q\in R\subset\mathbb{Z}^d} u_q\, W_q\;, \qquad W_q \;\in\; C_N(\Omega)\;.$$

Note that h is actually an element of the smooth algebra. In the weak disorder regime, this automatically implies that the Fermi projector $p_F = \chi(h \leq \mu)$ is also an element of the smooth algebra, because p_F can be computed using the holomorphic functional calculus (as a Riesz projection). When the chiral symmetry is present, it also automatically follows that the element u_F which generates the family of Fermi unitary operators is an element of the smooth algebra. ⋄

8.3 Weak topological invariants in the weak disorder regime

In this section, it is shown how the mathematical results of the paper can be applied to homogeneous crystals and allow to write out formulas for their weak topological invariants, as in [63]. Our new results then provide index theorems and local formulas for these invariants. In order to apply the results of Section 7, let first $C_N(\Omega)$ play the role of the C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and $\int_\Omega d\mathbb{P}(\omega)\mathrm{tr}_N(.)$ the role of trace $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{B}$. Also, $(\partial, \mathcal{T})$ will represent the non-commutative calculus on $C_N(\Omega) \rtimes^\Phi_\tau \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\mathcal{A}_d$ the smooth sub-algebra w.r.t. this non-commutative calculus. To start out, let us write out two existing results in order to place our discussion in a proper context. The first assumes Ω to be contractible. This holds for typical disordered models with continuous distribution of the random variables, but *not* for quasicrystals. One of the main points below is that we are able to lift this hypothesis.

Proposition 8.13 *Assume Ω to be a contractible topological set. Then the K-theory of $\mathcal{A}_d$ coincides with the K-theory of the d-dimensional non-commutative torus. Furthermore, the periodic cyclic cohomology of the smooth algebra $\mathcal{A}_d$ is generated by the cyclic cocycles*

$$\zeta_I(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{|I|}) \;=\; \Delta_{|I|} \sum_{\rho\in S_{|I|}} (-1)^\rho\, \mathcal{T}\Big(a_0 \prod_{i=1}^{|I|} \partial_{\rho_i} a_i\Big)\;, \qquad I \subset \{1, \ldots, d\}\;, \tag{8.7}$$

where the bijections $\rho \in S_{|I|}$ are viewed as maps from $\{1, \ldots, |I|\}$ to the ordered set I.

Remark 8.14 The first statement is standard (see [71]). The second statement is due to Nest [50, 51]. The next result follows directly from Connes' book [24], see also [63]. It does not pend on Ω being contractible. ⋄

Proposition 8.15 *Suppose given a homogeneous crystal with spectral gap and let p_F be the Fermi projection given in* (8.2) *and, in case tha the crystal has a chiral symmetry, u_F the Fermi unitary given by* (8.3)*. Then the pairings of K-theory and cyclic cohomology applied to their associated classes in K-theory,*

$$\big\langle [p_F]_0, [\zeta_I] \big\rangle \;=\; \zeta_I(p_F, \ldots, p_F)\;, \qquad |I| \text{ even}\;,$$

and

$$\big\langle [u_F]_1, [\zeta_I] \big\rangle \;=\; \zeta_I(u_F^*, u_F, \ldots, u_F^*, u_F)\;, \qquad |I| \text{ odd}\;,$$

generate numerical invariants for the homogeneous crystals which are stable at least in the regime of weak disorder.

Remark 8.16 The physical interpretation of these invariants was established in [63]. For $|I|$ = even, the invariants are directly related to the linear and non-linear magneto-electric transport coefficients of the crystal, while for $|I|$ = odd they are directly related to the chiral electric polarization and its derivatives w.r.t. the magnetic field. ⋄

Remark 8.17 The range of the numerical invariants of Proposition 8.15 was computed in [63] under the hypothesis that Ω is contractible. It was also established that, still under this hypothesis, that the invariants pinpoint uniquely the class of p_F in $K_0(\mathcal{A}_d)$ and that of u_F in $K_1(\mathcal{A}_d)$. $\diamond$

The following is our new contribution. By re-labeling the space coordinates, one can always assume that the set $I \subset \{1, \ldots, d\}$ defining the cyclic cocycles in Proposition 8.13 is of the form $I = \{d-k+1, \ldots, d\}$, with $k = |I|$. Let us now consider the presentation (ii) of Proposition 8.9 of the algebra of physical observables, namely $\mathcal{A}_d = \mathcal{A}_j \rtimes^{\theta}_{\xi} \mathbb{Z}^k$. Thus let us take $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}_j = C_N(\Omega) \rtimes^{\varphi}_{\sigma} \mathbb{Z}^j$ with canonical trace $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ from Definition 7.7 now induced by the trace $\mathcal{T}_{C_N(\Omega)}(.) = \int_\Omega d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \mathrm{tr}_N(.)$ on $C_N(\Omega)$. Following again the standard procedure from Definitions 7.7 and 7.9, we define next the non-commutative calculus on $\mathcal{A}_d = \mathcal{B} \rtimes^{\theta}_{\xi} \mathbb{Z}^k$. The procedure leads to the same trace $\mathcal{T}$ on $\mathcal{A}_d$ and to the derivations $\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_k$ which actually coincide with the derivations $\partial_{i_1}, \ldots, \partial_{i_k}$, $\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} = I$. As a consequence, the cyclic cocycles defined by the local formulas in Theorems 7.22 and 7.26 coincide with the cocycles ζ_I defined in Proposition 8.13. Then, in the notations from Sections 7.3 and 7.4, we can state at once the following results, which, as already mentioned above, do not require Ω to be contractible as in [63].

Corollary 8.18 (Index theorems for weak topological invariants) *The class of ζ_I in the periodic cyclic cohomology is equal to the generalized Connes-Chern character canonically associated to $\mathcal{A}_j \rtimes^{\theta}_{\xi} \mathbb{Z}^k$. Consequently, the numerical topological invariants defined in Proposition 8.13 accept a generalized index formula:*

$$\Lambda_k \, \zeta_I(p_F, \ldots, p_F) \; = \; \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{Ind}\big(\pi(p_F) F_{x_0} \pi(p_F)\big)\Big) \, , \qquad |I| \; \textit{even} \, ,$$

and, if the chiral symmetry is present,

$$\Lambda_k \, \zeta_I(u_F^*, \ldots, u_F) \; = \; \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\mathrm{Ind}\big(P_{x_0} \pi(u_F) P_{x_0}\big)\Big) \, , \qquad |I| \; \textit{odd} \, .$$

Theorem 8.19 (Range of weak topological invariants) *The topological invariants defined in Proposition 8.15 take values in the image of $K_0(\mathcal{A}_j)$ through the trace* Tr*,*

$$\mathrm{Ran}(\zeta_I) \; \subset \; \mathrm{Tr}\big(K_0(\mathcal{A}_j)\big) \, ,$$

regardless of the parity of $|I|$.

Acknowledgements: The work of E. P. was partially supported by the U.S. NSF grant DMR-1056168, that of H. S.-B. by the DFG grant SCHU-1358/6. E. P. also wants to acknowledge the hospitality of the Department of Mathematics of Friedrich-Alexander Universität, where a large part of this work was completed.

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah, *Global theory of elliptic operators*, Proc. Internat. Symp. on Functional Analysis, pp. 21-30, Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, (1969).

[2] S. Baaj, P. Julg, *Théorie bivariante de Kasparov et opérateurs non bornés dans les C^*-modules hilbertiens*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **296**, 875-878 (1983).

[3] J. Bellissard, *K-theory of C^*-algebras in solid state physics*, in T. Dorlas, M. Hugenholtz, M. Winnink, editors, Lecture Notes in Physics **257**, 99-156, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986).

[4] J. Bellissard, A. van Elst, H. Schulz-Baldes, *The non-commutative geometry of the quantum Hall effect*, J. Math. Phys. **35**, 5373-5451 (1994).

[5] L. Balents, M. P. A. Fisher, *Chiral surface states in the bulk Quantum Hall Effect*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2782-2785 (1996).

[6] B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras*, volume 5 of Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2nd Edition, 1998).

[7] B. Blackadar, *Operator Algebras: Theory of C^*-Algebras and von Neumann Algebras*, (Springer, Berlin, 2006).

[8] C. Bourne, *Topological states of matter and noncommutative geometry*, PhD Thesis, Australian National University (2015).

[9] M. Breuer, *Fredholm theories in von Neumann algebras. I*, Math. Ann. **178**, 243-254 (1968).

[10] M. Breuer, *Fredholm theories in von Neumann algebras. II*, Math. Ann. **180**, 313-325 (1969).

[11] C. Bourne, A. L. Carey, A. Rennie, *The bulk-edge correspondence for the quantum Hall effect in Kasparov theory*, Lett. Math. Phys. **105**, 1253-1273 (2015).

[12] C. Bourne, A. L. Carey, A. Rennie, *A noncommutative framework for topological insulators*, Rev. Math. Phys. **28**, 1650004 (2016).

[13] C. Bourne, J. Kellendonk, A. Rennie, *The K-theoretic bulk-edge correspondence for topological insulators*, preprint 2016, `arXiv:1604.02337`.

[14] S. Brain, B. Mesland, W. D. van Suijlekom, *Gauge theory for spectral triples and the unbounded Kasparov product*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **10**, 135-206 (2016).

[15] R. C. Busby, *Double centralizers and extensions of C^*-algebras*, Transactions AMS **132**, 79-99 (1968).

[16] R. C. Busby, H. A. Smith, *Representations of twisted group algebras*, Transactions AMS **149**, 503-537 (1970).

[17] A. P. Calderón, *The analytic calculation of the index of elliptic equations*, Proc. National Academy of Sciences USA **57**, 1193-1196 (1967).

[18] A. L. Carey, V. Gayral, A. Rennie, F. A. Sukochev, *Index theory for locally compact noncommutative geometries*, Mem. AMS (AMS, Providence, 2014).

[19] A.L. Carey, J. Phillips, *Unbounded Fredholm modules and spectral flow*, Canadian J. Math. **50**, 673-718 (1998).

[20] A.L. Carey, J. Phillips, *Spectral flow in summable Fredholm modules, eta invariants and the JLO cocycle*, K-Theory **31**, 135-194 (2004).

[21] A. L. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie, F. A. Sukochev, *The local index formula in semifinite von Neumann algebras I: Spectral flow*, Advances in Mathematics **202**, 451-516 (2006).

[22] A. L. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie, F. A. Sukochev, *The local index formula in semifinite von Neumann algebras II: The even case*, Advances in Mathematics **202**, 517-554 (2006).

[23] A. L. Carey, J. Phillips, A. Rennie, F. A. Sukochev, *The Chern character of semifinite spectral triples*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **2**, 253-283 (2008).

[24] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, (Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1994).

[25] A. Connes, H. Moscovici, *The local index formula in noncommutative geometry*, Geometric and Functional Analysis GAFA **5**, 174-243 (1995).

[26] K. R. Davidson, *C*-algebras by example*, (AMS, Providence, 1996).

[27] B. V. Fedosov, *Analytic formulas for the index of elliptic operators*, Trans. Mosc. Math. Soc. **30**, 159-240 (1974).

[28] I. Forsyth, A. Rennie, *Factorisation of equivariant spectral triples in unbounded KK-theory*, `arXiv:1505.02863`, preprint 2015.

[29] M. Frank, D. R. Larson, *Frames in Hilbert C*-modules and C*-algebras*, J. Operator Theory **48**, 273-314 (2002).

[30] N. Higson, *A primer on KK-theory*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **51**, 239-283 (1990).

[31] N. Higson, *The local index formula in noncommutative geometry*, Contemporary developments in algebraic K-theory, ICTP Lect. Notes, XV, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., 443-536 (2004).

[32] N. Higson, J. Roe, *Analytic K-homology*, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000).

[33] K. K. Jensen, K. Thomsen, *Elements of KK-theory*, (Springer, New York, 1991).

[34] J. Kaad, M. Lesch, *Spectral flow and the unbounded Kasparov product*, Adv. Math. **298**, 495-530 (2013).

[35] J. Kaad, R. Nest, A. Rennie, *KK-Theory and Spectral Flow in von Neumann Algebras*, Journal of K-Theory **10**, 241-277 (2012).

[36] G. G. Kasparov, *Topological invariants of elliptic operators. I: K-homology*, Mathematics of the USSR - Izvestiya **9**, 751-792 (1975).

[37] G. G. Kasparov, *Hilbert C*-modules: theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu*, J. Operator Theory **4**, 133-150 (1980).

[38] G. G. Kasparov, *The operator K-functor and extensions of C*-algebras*, Math. USSR Izv. **16**, 513-572 (1981).

[39] G. G. Kasparov, *Operator K-theory and its applications*, J. Soviet Math. **37**, 1373-1396 (1987).

[40] M. Khalkhali, *Basic Noncommutative Geometry*, (EMS, Zürich, 2009).

[41] K. Kobayashi, T. Ohtsuki, K.-I. Imura, *Disordered weak and strong topological insulators*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 236803 (2013).

[42] D. Kucerovsky, *The KK-product of unbounded modules*, K-Theory **11**, 17-34 (1997).

[43] M. Laca, S. Neshveyev, *KMS states of quasi-free dynamics on Pimsner algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **211**, 457-482 (2004).

[44] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C*-modules: a toolkit for operator algebraists*, (Cambridge University Press, 1995).

[45] B. Mesland, *Unbounded bivariant K-Theory and correspondences in noncommutative geometry*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **691**, 101-172 (2014).

[46] J. A. Mingo, *K-Theory and multipliers of stable C*-algebras*, Transactions AMS **299**, 397-411 (1987).

[47] A. S. Miščenko, A. T. Fomenko, *The index of elliptic operators over C^*-algebras*, Math. USSR Izv. **15**, 87-112 (1980).

[48] R. S. K. Mong, J. H. Bardarson, J. E. Moore, *Quantum Transport and Two-Parameter Scaling at the Surface of a Weak Topological Insulator*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 076804 (2012).

[49] S. Neshveyev, E. Størmer, *Dynamical Entropy in Operator Algebras*, (Springer, Berlin, 2006).

[50] R. Nest, *Cyclic Cohomology of Crossed Products with $\mathbb{Z}$*, J. Funct. Ana. **80**, 235-283 (1988).

[51] R. Nest, *Cyclic cohomology of non-commutative tori*, Can. J. Math. **40**, 1046-1057 (1988).

[52] C. L. Olsen, *Index theory in von Neumann algebras*, Mem. AMS (Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1984).

[53] J. A. Packer, I. Raeburn, *Twisted crossed products of C^*-algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **106**, 293–311 (1989).

[54] D. Pask, A. Rennie, *The noncommutative geometry of graph C^*-algebras I: The index theorem*, J. Func. Anal. **233**, 92-134 (2006).

[55] D. Pask, A. Rennie, and Aidan Sims, *The noncommutative geometry of k-graph C^*-algebras*, J. K-Theory **1**, 259-304 (2008).

[56] W. L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B^*-algerbas*, Transactions AMS, **182**, 443-468 (1973).

[57] G. K. Pedersen, *C^*-algebras and their automorphism groups*, (Academic Press, London, 1979).

[58] M. Pimsner, S. Popa, D. Voiculescu, *Homogeneous C^*-Extensions of $C(X) \otimes K(H)$. Part II*, J. Operator Theory **4**, 211-249 (1980).

[59] E. Prodan, *Quantum Transport in Disordered Systems under Magnetic Fields: A Study based on Operator Algebras*, Appl. Math. Res. Express AMRX **2013**, 176-255 (2013).

[60] E. Prodan, *Intrinsic Chern-Connes characters for crossed products by $\mathbb{Z}^d$*, `arXiv:1501.03479`, preprint 2015.

[61] E. Prodan, B. Leung, J. Bellissard, *The non-commutative n-th Chern number ($n \geq 1$)*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 485202 (2013).

[62] E. Prodan, H. Schulz-Baldes, *Non-commutative odd Chern numbers and topological phases of disordered chiral systems*, J. Funct. Anal. **271**, 1150-1176 (2016).

[63] E. Prodan, H. Schulz-Baldes, *Bulk and Boundary Invariants for Complex Topological Insulators: From K-theory to Physics*, (Springer, Berlin, 2016).

[64] M. Puschnigg, *Asymptotic cyclic cohomology*, (Springer, Berlin, 1996).

[65] A. Rennie, *Smoothness and locality for nonunital spectral triples*, K-Theory **28**, 127-165 (2003).

[66] Z. Ringel, Y. E. Kraus, A. Stern, *Strong side of weak topological insulators*, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 045102 (2012).

[67] M. Rordam, F. Larsen, N. Laustsen, *An Introduction to K-theory for C^*-algebras*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).

[68] I. E. Segal, *A non-commutative extension of abstract integration*, Annals Math. **57**, 401-457 (1953).

[69] G. Skandalis, *Kasparov's bivariant K-theory and applications*, Expositiones Mathematicae **9**, 193-250 (1991).

[70] W. van Suijlekom, *Noncommutative geometry and particle physics*, (Springer, Berlin, 2015).

[71] N. E. Wegge-Olsen, *K-theory and C^*-algebras*, (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1993).